

Supplemental Digital List 1

Full Search Strategy for a Systematic Review of Computerized Virtual Patients: Search Date August 22, 2008; Update February 16, 2009

KEY

Information is presented as search terms, then number of articles identified in parentheses

Exp = explode

/ identifies a controlled vocabulary term

Adj = adjacent, i.e., adj3 = within 3 words

Mp = multiple locations

\$ = wild card

Ovid MEDLINE, Ovid CINAHL (Aug. 08), Ovid PsychINFO

1. exp education, professional/ (192,645)
2. medical education.mp *or* exp education, medical/ (107,021)
3. virtual patient\$.mp (187)
4. 1 *or* 2 (196,288)
5. 3 *and* 4 (62)
6. case-based learning.mp (102)
7. problem based learning.mp *or* Problem-Based Learning/ (3,710)
8. computer simulat\$.mp (87,177)
9. 6 *or* 7 (3,771)
10. 8 *and* 9 (94)
11. clinical simulat\$.mp (186)
12. 4 *and* 8 *and* 11 (21)
13. 10 *or* 12 *or* 5 (171)

EBSCO CINAHL (Feb. 09 update)

CINAHL has moved to the EBSCO platform, so the update was performed keeping as close as possible to the original strategy.

Ovid EMBASE

1. exp education, professional/ (3,120)
2. medical education.mp *or* exp education, medical/ (90,730)
3. virtual patient\$.mp (125)
4. 1 *or* 2 (93,299)
5. 3 *and* 4 (49)
6. case-based learning.mp (75)
7. problem based learning.mp *or* Problem-Based Learning/ (1,276)
8. computer simulat\$.mp (19,328)
9. 6 *or* 7 (1,344)
10. 8 *and* 9 (15)
11. clinical simulat\$.mp (75)
12. 4 *and* 8 *and* 11 (6)
13. 10 *or* 12 *or* 5 (69)

Ovid ERIC

1. medical education {Including Limited Related Terms} (736)
2. virtual patient {Including Limited Related Terms} (25)
3. case-based learning {Including Limited Related Terms} (6,273)
4. problem-based learning {Including Limited Related Terms} (514)
5. computer simulation {Including Limited Related Terms} (521)
6. clinical simulation {Including Limited Related Terms} (263)
7. nursing education {Including Limited Related Terms} (631)
8. nurse education {Including Limited Related Terms} (607)
9. internship {Including Limited Related Terms} (1,229)
10. residency {Including Limited Related Terms} (733)
11. 1 *or* 7 *or* 8 *or* 9 (3,073)
12. 3 *or* 4 *or* 5 *or* 6 (7,509)
13. 11 *and* 12 (32)
14. 2 *or* 13 (57)
15. from 14 keep 1-57 (57)

Scopus

(TITLE-ABS-KEY((simulat* OR virtual) AND (clinical OR patient*) AND ("computer-based" OR "problem-based" OR "case-based"))) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY((educat* OR instruct* OR train* OR teach*) AND (clinical* OR medical OR nursing OR dentist* OR "internship and residency") AND (random* OR compar* OR random*)) AND TITLE(simulat* OR virtual) (89)

University of Toronto Research and Development Resource Base

Keywords: (“virtual”) OR (“simulator”) OR (“simulation”)

Keywords: (“patient”) OR (“clinical”)

Keywords: (“comparative”) (0 unique hits)

Supplemental Digital List 2

Duplicate Reports Identified in a 2009 Systematic Review of Research on Virtual Patients*

Friedman 1989¹⁴⁷ – see Friedman 1991³⁶

Lyon 1991¹⁴⁸ – see Lyon 1991³⁹

Bearman 2001¹⁴⁹ – see Bearman 2001⁷⁶

Cohen 2007¹⁵⁰ – see Deladisma 2007¹²⁶

Dev 2007¹⁵¹ – see Youngblood 2008¹⁴⁵

* When we encountered duplicate reporting of the same research study, we selected one report (the most detailed; listed on the right) for full review, and did not review the other report (on the left) in full. See Supplemental Tables 1 and 2 for details about the reports reviewed in full.

Supplemental Digital Table 1

All Articles Reporting Original Research or Descriptions of Virtual Patients Identified in a 2009 Systematic Review of Research on Computerized Virtual Patients*

Author, year	Targeted learners	Clinical topic	Information requests [†]	Case progression [‡]	Collaboration [§]	Outcomes	Included in full review
Bitzer, 1966 ¹	Nursing students	Myocardial infarction	M	F	A	K	Yes
de Dombal, 1969 ²	Medical students	Surgery	F	V	A		No
de Dombal, 1969 ³	Medical students	Surgery	F	V	A		No
Harless, 1971 ⁴	Medical students	Various topics	F	F	A		No
Cassidy, 1972 ⁵	Dental students	Toothache	M	F	A	C	Yes
Friedman, 1973 ⁶	Medical students, physicians	Various topics	F	F	A	R,C	No
Harless, 1973 ⁷	Medical students	Generic	F	F	ND		No
Hoffer, 1973 ⁸	Medical students, residents	Endocrinology	F	B	A		No
Mullaney, 1976 ⁹	Dental students	Endodontics	F	L	A	R,C	Yes
Murray, 1977 ¹⁰	Medical students	General medicine topics	M	ND	A	K,C	Yes
Webster, 1979 ¹¹	Physicians in practice	Internal medicine	ND	ND	A	C	No
Tira, 1980 ¹²	Dental students	Dental evaluation	M	F	A		No
Lewis, 1981 ¹³	Medical students	Generic	M	F	A		No
Windom, 1981 ¹⁴	Physical therapy students	Communication	ND	ND	A		No
Raj, 1982 ¹⁵	Medical students	Not specified	M	B	A		No
Schleutermann, 1983 ¹⁶	Nurse practitioner students	Ambulatory medicine	M	B	A	R,S	Yes
Abdulla, 1984 ¹⁷	Medical students	Not specified	ND	B	A		No
Dale, 1986 ¹⁸	Dental students	Clinical endodontics	M	L	A	R,C	Yes
Diserens, 1986 ¹⁹	Medical students, residents	Internal medicine topics	M	F	A	C	No
Garrett, 1986 ²⁰	Residents	Lung cancer	M	ND	A	C	Yes
Harless, 1986 ²¹	Medical students	Alcoholic liver disease	F	B	G		No
Harless, 1986 ²²	Medical students	Alcoholic liver disease	F	B	G		No

Author, year	Targeted learners	Clinical topic	Information requests [†]	Case progression [‡]	Collaboration [§]	Outcomes [¶]	Included in full review
Krahn, 1986 ²³	Medical students	Acid-base disturbances	ND	L	A	K	Yes
Norcini, 1986 ²⁴	Physicians in practice	Internal medicine	F	ND	A	R,C	No
Pickell, 1986 ²⁵	Medical students	Not specified	F	F	AG		No
Eisenberg, 1987 ²⁶	Medical students, residents	Internal medicine	M	L	A	C	No
Sandoval, 1987 ²⁷	Dental students	Endodontics	M	L	A	R,C	Yes
Verbeek, 1987 ²⁸	Medical students	Not specified	M	F	A		No
Finkelstein, 1988 ²⁹	Dental students	Dental evaluation	M	F	A		No
Gerritsma, 1988 ³⁰	Physicians in practice	Various	M	F	A	R,C	No
Beck, 1989 ³¹	Medical students	Cardiology	M	F	A		No
Harless, 1990 ³²	Medical students	Gastrointestinal bleed, obesity	F	B	G	K	Yes
Lyon, 1990 ³³	Medical students	Chest pain, anemia	ND	ND	A	K	Yes
Engberg, 1991 ³⁴	Residents	Gynecology	F	F	A	S	No
Finkelstein, 1991 ³⁵	Dental students	Dental evaluation	M	F	A		No
Friedman, 1991 ³⁶	Medical students	Various medical topics	F	B	A	R,K	Yes
Hayes, 1991 ³⁷	Physical therapy students	Physical therapy referrals	M	B	A	R,C	No
Lowdermilk, 1991 ³⁸	Nursing students	Various clinical nursing topics	ND	ND	A	C	Yes
Lyon, 1991 ³⁹	Medical students	Chest pain, anemia	ND	ND	A	K	Yes
Henry, 1992 ⁴⁰	Nurses	Tachyarrhythmia	ND	B	A	K,S	No
Pincetl, 1992 ⁴¹	Medical students, allied health students	Geriatric medicine	F	B	AG		No
Ajmani, 1993 ⁴²	Medical students	Generic	M	B	ND		No
Clark, 1993 ⁴³	Dental students	Temporomandibular disorders, oral pain	M	F	A	C	No
Clauser, 1993 ⁴⁴	Medical students	Pediatrics	F	B	A	C	No
Henry, 1993 ⁴⁵	Nurses in practice	Tachyarrhythmia	ND	B	A	K,S	No
Cobbs, 1994 ⁴⁶	Medical students	Geriatric medicine	M	B	A		No
Felciano, 1994 ⁴⁷	Medical students	Neonatal care	M	F	A		No
MacDonald, 1994 ⁴⁸	Medical students	Pediatric infectious disease	M	B	A		No

Author, year	Targeted learners	Clinical topic	Information requests [†]	Case progression [‡]	Collaboration [§]	Outcomes [¶]	Included in full review
Myers, 1994 ⁴⁹	Medical students	Generic	ND	ND	ND		No
Berger, 1995 ⁵⁰	Medical students	Generic	ND	B	A		No
Johnson, 1995 ⁵¹	Medical students	Pulmonary pathophysiology	M	F	A		No
Rouhani, 1995 ⁵²	Medical students, residents	Pediatric rheumatology	M	F	A		No
Dorsey, 1996 ⁵³	Medical students	Sexual abuse	ND	ND	A	R,K	No
Hayes, 1996 ⁵⁴	Medical students, resident	Back pain	F	F	A		No
Weverling, 1996 ⁵⁵	Medical students	Neurology	M	F	A	K	Yes
Bryce, 1997 ⁵⁶	Medical students	Various topics	ND	ND	G		No
Farnsworth, 1997 ⁵⁷	Veterinary students	Neuroanatomy	ND	ND	G	C	No
Johnson, 1997 ⁵⁸	Dental hygienists	Geriatric dental hygiene	M	B	A	C	Yes
Kinney, 1997 ⁵⁹	Physical therapy students	Carpal tunnel syndrome	ND	ND	A	K	Yes
Vahl, 1997 ⁶⁰	Clinical researchers	Cardiovascular surgery (research calibration)	M	L	A		No
Bryce, 1998 ⁶¹	Medical students	Not specified	M	B	G	,Q	Yes
Christensen, 1998 ⁶²	Medical students, resident	Cardiac resuscitation	M	B	ND		No
Henderson, 1998 ⁶³	Physicians, nurse practitioners, physician assistants in practice	HIV/AIDS	M	V	A		No
Loke, 1998 ⁶⁴	Medical students	Peptic ulcer	M	V	A		No
Pinto, 1998 ⁶⁵	Medical students	Pathophysiology	ND	ND	G		No
Vick, 1998 ⁶⁶	Dental students	Periodontal dentistry	M	L	AG		No
Weis, 1998 ⁶⁷	Nursing students	Abdominal pain	F	L	A		No
Downs, 1999 ⁶⁸	Medical students	Infectious disease	M	F	A		No
Rendas, 1999 ⁶⁹	Medical students	Pathophysiology	M	L	G		No
Schwid, 1999 ⁷⁰	Residents, physicians in practice	ACLS	M	B	A	S	Yes
Bremner, 2000 ⁷¹	Nursing students	Various topics	M	L	A		No
Clauser, 2000 ⁷²	Medical students	Various topics	F	B	A	C	No
Fleetwood, 2000 ⁷³	Medical students	Medical ethics	FM	F	A	K,S	Yes

Author, year	Targeted learners	Clinical topic	Information requests [†]	Case progression [‡]	Collaboration [§]	Outcomes	Included in full review
Hubal, 2000 ⁷⁴	Medical students	Generic	F	F	ND		No
Reece Jones, 2000 ⁷⁵	Nurses in practice	Nursing care	ND	F	A		No
Bearman, 2001 ⁷⁶	Medical students	Communication skills	M	F	A	S	Yes
Garrett, 2001 ⁷⁷	Nursing students	Not specified	ND	F	ND		No
Schwid, 2001 ⁷⁸	Residents	Anesthesia emergencies	M	B	A	S	Yes
Abbey, 2002 ⁷⁹	Dental students	Dental evaluation	ND	F	A		No
Buyse, 2002 ⁸⁰	Medical students	Ambulatory medicine	ND	ND	A	R	Yes
Grabowski, 2002 ⁸¹	Medical students	Emergency medicine	M	F	A	R	No
Maulitz, 2002 ⁸²	Medical students	Generic	ND	ND	ND		No
Oliffe, 2002 ⁸³	Nursing students	Pediatric nursing	ND	V	A	R	No
Abbey, 2003 ⁸⁴	Dental students	Dental evaluation	F	ND	A		No
Bearman, 2003 ⁸⁵	Medical students	Communication, chest pain	M	V	A	,Q	Yes
Bergin, 2003 ⁸⁶	Medical students	Fever of unknown origin	F	F	G	R,Q	Yes
Bergin, 2003 ⁸⁷	Medical, dental, nursing students	Various topics	F	F	A	R	No
Chaikoolvatana, 2003 ⁸⁸	Pharmacy students	Pharmacy care plans	F	F	A	S	Yes
Halvorsrud, 2003 ⁸⁹	Physicians in practice, medical students	Acute trauma	M	B	G	R,S	No
Kumta, 2003 ⁹⁰	Medical students	Orthopedics	ND	L	AG	S	Yes
Littlefield, 2003 ⁹¹	Dental students	Endodontics	M	F	A	R,K,C	No
Nielson, 2003 ⁹²	Medical students	Not specified	M	ND	ND		No
Tochtermann, 2003 ⁹³	Clinical researchers	Cardiovascular surgery (research calibration)	M	L	A		No
D'Alessandro, 2004 ⁹⁴	All health professionals	Pediatrics	ND	L	A	R	No
Hayes-Roth, 2004 ⁹⁵	Medical students, Nursing students	Motivational interviewing	F	F	A	R,S	Yes
Jerant, 2004 ⁹⁶	Medical students	Internal medicine	M	F	A	K,C	No
Rascher, 2004 ⁹⁷	Medical students	Pediatrics	ND	L	AG	R	No
Ruderich, 2004 ⁹⁸	Medical students	Not specified	F	F	G	R	No
Schitteck Janda, 2004 ⁹⁹	Dental students	Interviewing skills	F	B	A	S	Yes

Author, year	Targeted learners	Clinical topic	Information requests [†]	Case progression [‡]	Collaboration [§]	Outcomes [¶]	Included in full review
Shyu, 2004 ¹⁰⁰	Medical students, residents	Generic	ND	F	ND		No
Watkinson, 2004 ¹⁰¹	Nursing students	Generic	ND	L	ND		No
Deterding, 2005 ¹⁰²	Medical students	Pediatrics	F	ND	A		No
Dickerson, 2005 ¹⁰³	Medical students	Abdominal pain	F	F	A		No
Fall, 2005 ¹⁰⁴	Medical students	Pediatrics	M	L	A	R	No
Johnsen, 2005 ¹⁰⁵	Medical students	Abdominal pain	F	F	A	R,C	No
Johnsen, 2005 ¹⁰⁶	Medical students	Abdominal pain	F	F	A	R,C	No
Jung, 2005 ¹⁰⁷	Medical students	Social interactions	M	B	A		No
Mallott, 2005 ¹⁰⁸	Medical students	Surgery, gynecology, medicine	M	L	A	,Q	Yes
Ong, 2005 ¹⁰⁹	Physicians	Trauma	M	B	A		No
Walsh, 2005 ¹¹⁰	Physicians in practice	Not specified	ND	ND	ND		No
Dickerson, 2006 ¹¹¹	Medical students	Abdominal pain	F	F	A	R,S	Yes
Ferguson, 2006 ¹¹²	Residents	Developmental disabilities	M	L	A	K,S	Yes
Kabanza, 2006 ¹¹³	Medical students	Various topics	FM	F	A		No
Owen, 2006 ¹¹⁴	Residents	Medical emergencies	M	ND	A	K,S	No
Raij, 2006 ¹¹⁵	Medical students	Abdominal pain	F	F	A	R,C,S	Yes
Roy, 2006 ¹¹⁶	Physicians	Bioterrorism	F	F	A		No
Stevens, 2006 ¹¹⁷	Medical students	Abdominal pain	F	F	A	R	No
Thompson, 2006 ¹¹⁸	Medical students	Cardiology and bioterrorism	ND	ND	A	K,C	Yes
Triola, 2006 ¹¹⁹	Nurses, physicians, psychologists, other	Distress disorders	M	F	A	R,C	Yes
Turner, 2006 ¹²⁰	Medical students	Abdominal pain, headache	M	B	A	R,C,S	Yes
Villaume, 2006 ¹²¹	Pharmacy students	Motivational interviewing	M	B	ND		No
Wahlgren, 2006 ¹²²	Medical students	Dermatology, venereology	ND	F	A	K	Yes
Waldmann, 2006 ¹²³	Medical students	Various topics	ND	ND	A	R	No
Wilson, 2006 ¹²⁴	Medical students	Rheumatology	ND	F	A	R,C	No

Author, year	Targeted learners	Clinical topic	Information requests [†]	Case progression [‡]	Collaboration [§]	Outcomes [¶]	Included in full review
Zary, 2006 ¹²⁵	Medical, dental, pharmacy students	Various topics	M	F	A	R	No
Deladisma, 2007 ¹²⁶	Medical students	Abdominal pain	F	F	A	S	Yes
Haag, 2007 ¹²⁷	Medical students	Not specified	ND	F	A	R	No
Jarrell, 2007 ¹²⁸	Medical students	Gastroesophageal reflux	M	B	ND		No
Kleinert, 2007 ¹²⁹	Physician assistant students	Developmental disabilities	M	L	A	K,S	Yes
Kleinert, 2007 ¹³⁰	Dental students	Developmental disabilities	M	L	A	K,S	Yes
McKenzie, 2007 ¹³¹	Clinical psychologists	Learning disabilities	ND	B	ND		No
Raj, 2007 ¹³²	Medical students	Abdominal pain	F	F	A	C,S	Yes
Sanders, 2007 ¹³³	Nursing students	Developmental disabilities	M	L	A	K,S	Yes
Sijstermans, 2007 ¹³⁴	Medical students	Inter-physician communication	M	F	G	C	Yes
Smith, 2007 ¹³⁵	Medical students	Various topics	ND	ND	G	R	No
Sumner, 2007 ¹³⁶	Residents	Coronary artery disease	F	B	ND		No
Vash, 2007 ¹³⁷	Medical students	Abdominal pain	FM	F	G	K,C	Yes
Boyd, 2008 ¹³⁸	Physician assistant students, nursing students, residents	Developmental disabilities	M	L	A	K,S	Yes
Courteille, 2008 ¹³⁹	Medical students	Various topics	F	F	A	R,C	No
Orton, 2008 ¹⁴⁰	Medical students	Geriatric medicine	M	V	AG	R	No
Parsons, 2008 ¹⁴¹	Residents	Psychiatry	F	F	A		No
Sanders, 2008 ¹⁴²	Dental students	Developmental disabilities in dentistry	M	L	A	K,S	Yes
Sanders, 2008 ¹⁴³	Nurse practitioner students	Developmental disabilities	M	L	A	K,S	Yes
Waldmann, 2008 ¹⁴⁴	Medical students	General medicine	M	F	A	R,C	No
Youngblood, 2008 ¹⁴⁵	Medical students, residents	Trauma management	M	B	G	R,S	Yes
Dillon, 2009 ¹⁴⁶	Residents	Various topics	F	B	A	C	No

* See Cook, David A, et al. Computerized virtual patients in health professions education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acad Med*. 2010, published ahead of print and also in the October 2010 issue, for additional information, including term definitions.

Note that citation reference numbers in this table will not match the reference numbers in the article full text.

† Information requests: F = free text (natural language), M = menu, ND = not defined.

‡ Case progression: B = branching, F = free navigation, L = linear, ND = not defined, V = varies.

§ Collaboration: A = learners worked alone, G = learners worked in groups, AG = worked alone and in groups.

¶ Outcomes: B = behaviors, C = clinical reasoning, K = knowledge, P = patient effects, Q = qualitative, R = satisfaction (reaction), S = skills.

Supplemental Digital Table 2

Detailed Description of 43 Comparative Studies that were Reviewed in Full and Included in a 2009 Systematic Review of Research on Computerized Virtual Patients*

Author, year	Participants, no. [†]	Design	Clinical topic	Integrated	Virtual patient [‡]			Comp. [§]	Comparison intervention [‡]			Outcomes
					Int.	FB	LS		Int.	FB	LS	
Bitzer, 1966 ¹	NS; N=14	Pretest-posttest, 2 groups	Myocardial infarction	No	High	Med.	Few	T	Med.	Low	Many	K
Cassidy, 1972 ³	DS	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Toothache	No	High	High	Mod.					C
Mullaney, 1976 ⁹	DS; N=147	Posttest-only, 3 groups	Endodontics	No	Low	Low	Few	1.VP/CAI 2.ST	1.Med. 2.Med.	1.Med. 2.Med.	1.Few 2.Few	R,C
Murray, 1977 ¹⁰	MS; N=22	Pretest-posttest, 2 groups	General medicine topics	No	Med.	Low	Few	NI				K,C
Schleutermann, 1983 ¹⁶	NPS; N=12	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Ambulatory medicine	No	High	Low	Few	P	High	Low	Few	R,S
Dale, 1986 ¹⁸	DS; N=24	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Clinical endodontics	No	Med.	Med.	Few	VP/CAI	Med.	Med.	Few	R,C
Garrett, 1986 ²⁰	R; N=14	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Lung cancer	No	Med.	Med.	Few					C
Krahn, 1986 ²³	MS; N=79	Pretest-posttest, 2 groups	Acid-base disturbances	No	Med.	Low	Mod.	VP/CAI	Med.	Low	Few	K
Sandoval, 1987 ²⁷	DS; N=105	Pretest-posttest, 8 groups**	Endodontics	Yes	Med.	Med.	Few	1.ST 2.P 3.VP/CAI	1.Low 2.Med. 3.Med.	1.Low 2.Med. 3.Med.	1.Few 2.Few 3.Few	R,C
Harless, 1990 ³²	MS; N=80	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	GI bleed, obesity	Yes	Med.	Med.	Few					K

Author, year	Participants, no. [†]	Design	Clinical topic	Integrated	Virtual patient [‡]				Comp. [§]	Comparison intervention [‡]			Outcomes [¶]
					Int.	FB	LS			Int.	FB	LS	
Lyon, 1990 ³⁵	MS; N=176	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Chest pain, anemia	Yes		Med.	Many		VP/CAI		Med.	Many	K
Friedman, 1991 ³⁶	MS; N=80	Posttest-only, 3 groups	Various medical topics	Yes	Med.	Low	Few	1.VP/CAI 2.VP/CAI		1.High 2.High	1.High 2.High	1.Mod. 2.Mod.	R,K
Lowdermilk, 1991 ³⁸	NS; N=64	Pretest-posttest, 2 groups	Various clinical nursing topics	No	Med.		Few	CT				Few	C
Lyon, 1991 ³⁹	MS; N=169	Pretest-posttest, 2 groups	Chest pain, anemia	No		Med.	Many		P		Med.	Few	K
Weverling, 1996 ⁵⁵	MS; N=103	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Neurology	No	Med	Med.	Few		NI				K
Johnson, 1997 ⁵⁸	DH; N=58	Pretest-posttest, 3 groups	Geriatric dental hygiene	No	High	High	Mod.	1.T 2.NI				1.Few	C
Kinney, 1997 ⁵⁹	PTS; N=10	Pretest-posttest, 2 groups	Carpal tunnel syndrome	No	Med.		Few	T		Med.	Med.	Mod.	K
Bryce, 1998 ⁶¹	MS; N=110	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Not specified	No	High	High	Many		NI				K ^{††}
Schwid, 1999 ⁷⁰	R, PP; N=45	Posttest-only, 2 groups	ACLS	No	High	High	Mod.		P	Low	Low	Few	S
Fleetwood, 2000 ⁷³	MS; N=173	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Medical ethics	Yes	High	Med.	Mod.		T	High	Med.	Mod.	K,S
Bearman, 2001 ⁷⁶	MS; N=255	Posttest-only, 3 groups	Communication skills	Yes	High	Med.	Mod.	1.VP/CAI 2.NI		1.High	1.Med.	1.Mod.	S
Schwid, 2001 ⁷⁸	R; N=31	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Anesthesia emergencies	No	High	High	Mod.		P	Low	Low	Few	S
Buyse, 2002 ⁸⁰	MS; N=82	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Ambulatory medicine	Yes			Few		VP/CAI			Few	R
Chaikoolvatana, 2003 ⁸⁸	PS; N=79	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Pharmacy care plans	Yes	High	Low	Mod.		SP	High	Low	Few	S
Kumta, 2003 ⁹⁰	MS; N=163	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Orthopedics	No	High	Med.	Many		CT,T	High		Mod.	S

Author, year	Participants, no. [†]	Design	Clinical topic	Integrated	Virtual patient [‡]				Comp. [§]	Comparison intervention [‡]			Outcomes [¶]
					Int.	FB	LS			Int.	FB	LS	
Hayes-Roth, 2004 ⁹⁵	MS, NS; N=31	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Motivational interviewing	No	High	High	Few		VP/CAI	Low	Low	Few	R,S
Schitteck Janda, 2004 ⁹⁹	DS; N=50	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Interviewing skills	Yes	High	Med.	Mod.		NI				S
Dickerson, 2006 ¹¹¹	MS; N=17	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Abdominal pain	No	High	Low	Few		VP/CAI	High	Low	Few	R,S
Ferguson, 2006 ¹¹²	R; N=30	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Developmental disabilities	Yes	Med.	Med.	Few						K,S
Raij, 2006 ¹¹⁵	MS; N=24	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Abdominal pain	No	High	Low	Few		SP	High	Low	Few	R,C,S
Thompson, 2006 ¹¹⁸	MS; N=96	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Cardiology and bioterrorism	No	High	High	Many		VP/CAI	Med.	Med.	Mod.	K,C
Triola, 2006 ¹¹⁹	NP, PP, Psychologist, Other; N=55	Pretest-posttest, 2 groups	Distress disorders	No	High	High	Mod.		SP	High	High	Mod.	R,C
Turner, 2006 ¹²⁰	MS; N=30	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Abdominal pain, headache	No	High	High	Many		SP	High	High	Few	R,C,S
Wahlgren, 2006 ¹²²	MS; N=116	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Dermatology, venereology	No	Med.	Med.	Many		NI				K
Deladisma, 2007 ¹²⁶	MS; N=84	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Abdominal pain	No	High	Low	Mod.		SP	High	Low	Mod.	S
Kleinert, 2007 ¹²⁹	PAS; N=42	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Developmental disabilities	Yes	Med.	High	Few						K,S
Kleinert, 2007 ¹³⁰	DS; N=51	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Developmental disabilities	Yes	Med.	High	Few						K,S
Raij, 2007 ¹³²	MS; N=58	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Abdominal pain	Yes	High	Low	Few		SP	High	Low	Few	C,S
Sanders, 2007 ¹³³	NS; N=98	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Developmental disabilities	Yes	Med.	High	Few						K,S

Author, year	Participants, no. [†]	Design	Clinical topic	Integrated	Virtual patient [‡]				Comp. [§]	Comparison intervention [‡]			Outcomes [¶]
					Int.	FB	LS			Int.	FB	LS	
Sijstermans, 2007 ¹³⁴	MS; N=134	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Inter-physician communication	No	High	Low	Many						C
Vash, 2007 ¹³⁷	MS; N=48	Posttest-only, 2 groups	Abdominal pain	No	High	Low	Mod.	CT		Med.	Med.	Few	K,C
Boyd, 2008 ¹³⁸	PAS, NS, R; N=101	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Developmental disabilities	No	Med.	High	Few						K,S
Sanders, 2008 ¹⁴²	DS; N=44	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Developmental disabilities	Yes	Med.	High	Few						K,S
Sanders, 2008 ¹⁴³	NPS; N=35	Pretest-posttest, 1 group	Developmental disabilities	Yes	Med.	High	Few						K,S
Youngblood, 2008 ¹⁴⁵	MS, R; N=30	Pretest-posttest, 2 groups	Trauma management	No	High	Med.	Few	HPS		High		Few	R,S

* Note that citation reference numbers in this table will not match the reference numbers in article full text.

[†] NS = nursing students; DS = dental students; MS = medical students; NPS = nurse practitioner students; R = residents (physicians in postgraduate training); DH = dental hygienists; PTS = physical therapy students; PP = physicians in practice; NP = nurses in practice; PAS = physician assistant students.

[‡] Int. = cognitive interactivity (low, medium, high), FB = feedback (low, medium, high), LS = learning strategies (few, moderate, many). Blank cells indicate insufficient information to code.

[§] Comparison intervention: CT = clinical teaching, HPS = human patient simulator (manikin), P = paper (handout, textbook, or latent image cases), NI = no intervention control group, SP = standardized patient, ST = slide-tape, T = traditional (typically lecture), VP/CAI = virtual patient / computer-assisted instruction. Blank cells indicate no comparison group (i.e., 1-group pretest-posttest study).

[¶] Outcomes reported in text: R = reaction (satisfaction), K = knowledge, C = clinical reasoning, S = skills.

** Sandoval²⁷ compared slide-tape instruction, latent image paper cases, 2 virtual patients, and 4 combinations of these interventions (8 groups). We abstracted information on the 4 main interventions.

^{††} Insufficient data reported to determine effect size.

Supplemental Digital Table 3

Subgroup Analyses Comparing Outcomes for Different Study Design and Virtual Patient Design Features for Studies Included in a 2009 Systematic Review of Research on Computerized Virtual Patients

Feature	Level or feature	No. studies	Pooled effect size [†]	Lower CI	Upper CI	I ²	P for interaction [†]
Comparisons with no intervention							
All studies [†]		17	0.78	0.58	0.97	79	
Study design	2-group	6	0.49	0.25	0.73	40	0.015
	1-group pretest-posttest	11	0.92	0.67	1.16	83	
Blinded outcome	Yes	11	0.78	0.49	1.07	82	0.87
	No	6	0.81	0.58	1.04	63	
Quality score	≥4	4	0.51	0.14	0.87	64	0.11
	≤3	13	0.86	0.64	1.08	80	
Participants	Medical students	6	0.53	0.18	0.88	85	
	Dental students	4	1.21	0.70	1.71	70	
	Other students	4	0.72	0.52	0.92	45	
	Practitioners	3	0.92	0.24	1.60	79	
Interactivity	High	5	0.68	0.27	1.10	82	0.58
	Low	12	0.82	0.59	1.06	79	
Feedback	High	8	0.88	0.62	1.13	75	0.36
	Low	9	0.69	0.38	1.00	82	
Instructional strategies	Moderate/high	6	0.63	0.26	0.99	80	0.28
	Few	11	0.87	0.62	1.11	80	
Time spent learning [§]	≥1 hour	3	0.66	0.32	0.99	33	0.78
	<1 hour	2	0.53	-0.33	1.40	81	
Comparisons with non-virtual-patient interventions							
All studies		21	0.08	-0.13	0.30	78	
Study design	Randomized	13	0.22	-0.08	0.51	79	0.10
	Nonrandomized	8	-0.14	-0.45	0.17	74	
Blinded outcome	Yes	13	0.16	-0.13	0.45	73	0.43
	No	8	-0.02	-0.36	0.32	85	
Quality score	≥4	13	0.18	-0.09	0.46	69	0.29
	≤3	8	-0.07	-0.43	0.30	86	
Participants	Medical students	9	-0.02	-0.36	0.31	84	

	Dental students	3	-0.31	-0.98	0.36	69	
	Other students	5	0.27	0.06	0.48	14	
	Practitioners	5	0.34	-0.18	0.87	81	
Interactivity [¶]	Virtual patient > Comparison	5	0.62	-0.01	1.24	72	0.96
	Virtual patient = Comparison	11	-0.004	-0.31	0.30	81	
	Virtual patient < Comparison	1	-0.61	-1.22	0.00		
Feedback [¶]	Virtual patient > Comparison	4	0.56	-0.29	1.41	78	0.98
	Virtual patient = Comparison	10	-0.06	-0.29	0.18	68	
	Virtual patient < Comparison	3	0.07	-0.62	0.76	81	
Instructional strategies [¶]	Virtual patient > Comparison	8	0.39	0.05	0.73	83	0.97
	Virtual patient = Comparison	11	-0.17	-0.4	0.06	59	
	Virtual patient < Comparison	2	0.29	-1.20	1.77		
Time spent learning [¶]	Virtual patient > Comparison	1	0.38	0.13	0.62		0.99
	Virtual patient = Comparison	11	-0.06	-0.31	0.19	64	
	Virtual patient < Comparison	1	0.19	-0.11	0.48		
Comparison intervention	Clinical teaching	3	0.58	0.10	1.07	79	
	Human patient simulator (manikin)	1	0.02	-0.35	0.39		
	Paper (handout, textbook, etc.)	4	0.47	-0.03	0.98	72	
	Standardized patient	6	-0.15	-0.56	0.25	80	
	Slide tape	3	-0.31	-0.98	0.36	69	
	Traditional (e.g., lecture)	5	0.14	-0.50	0.77	88	

* Knowledge, clinical reasoning, and skill outcomes were merged for these analyses, with higher-order outcomes (skill > reasoning > knowledge) taking precedence when multiple outcomes were reported.

† P values reflect paired or 3-way comparisons among pre-specified instructional design subgroups. Participant and comparison intervention subgroups are not mutually exclusive, and thus no statistical comparisons are made.

‡ One no-intervention-comparison study did not report sufficient information to calculate an effect size.

§ Only four no-intervention-comparison studies presented sufficient information to discern time spent learning.

¶ We classified studies according to relative between-intervention differences in key instructional methods and features; namely, did the virtual patient intervention have more Virtual patient > Comparison), less (<), or the same (=) amount of interactivity, feedback, instructional strategies, and time spent on learning. Studies for which these features could not be determined are not included in these analyses.

Supplemental References*

1. Bitzer M. Clinical Nursing Instruction via the PLATO Simulated Laboratory. *Nurs Res*. 1966;15(2):144-150.
2. de Dombal FT, Hartley JR, Sleeman DH. A computer-assisted system for learning clinical diagnosis. *Lancet*. 1969;1(7586):145-148.
3. de Dombal FT, Hartley JR, Sleeman DH. Teaching surgical diagnosis with the aid of a computer. *Br J Surg*. 1969;56:754-757.
4. Harless WG, Drennon GG, Marxer JJ, Root JA, Miller GE. CASE: A Computer-Aided Simulation of the Clinical Encounter. *J Med Educ*. 1971;46:443-448.
5. Cassidy RE, Marshall FJ, Gaston GW, Snodgrass M. Computer assisted instruction for diagnostic problem solving of toothache. *J Dent Educ*. 1972;36:46-56.
6. Friedman RB. A computer program for simulating the patient-physician encounter. *J Med Educ*. 1973;48:92-97.
7. Harless WG, Drennon GG, Marxer JJ, Root JA, Wilson LL, Miller GE. CASE: A Natural Language Computer Model. *Comput Biol Med*. 1973;3:227-246.
8. Hoffer EP. Experience with the use of computer simulation models in medical education. *Comput Biol Med*. 1973;3:269-279.
9. Mullaney TP, Smith TA, Duell RC, Kaplan A. Four-phase study of computer-assisted and slide-tape methods of stimulating clinical endodontic problems. *J Dent Educ*. 1976;40:681-687.
10. Murray TS, Cupples RW, Barber JH, Dunn WR, Scott DB, Hannay DR. Teaching decision making to medical undergraduates by computer-assisted learning. *Med Educ*. 1977;11:262-264.
11. Webster GB, Langdon LO, Norcini JJ, Meskauskas JA, Elstein AS, Neufeld VR. Results of a National Study of a Computer Simulation (CASE) and the American Board of Internal Medicine's Recertification Examination: the MERIT Project. *Annu Conf Res Med Educ*. 1979:345-357.
12. Tira DE. A computer-based dental diagnostic case simulation (DDS) system. *Comput Biol Med*. 1980;10(1):23-39.
13. Lewis JS. EPS: An Epidemiologic Patient Simulator. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*. 1981:670-674.
14. Windom PA. Use of a computerized simulation in physical therapy education (abstract). *Phys Ther*. 1981;61:682,684.
15. Raj PP, Kricka LJ, Clewett AJ. Microcomputer simulations as aids in medical education: application in clinical chemistry. *Med Educ*. 1982;16:332-342.
16. Schleutermann JA, Holzemer WL, Farrand LL. An evaluation of paper-and-pencil and computer-assisted simulations. *J Nurs Educ*. 1983;22:315-323.
17. Abdulla AM, Watkins LO, Henke JS. The use of natural language entry and laser videodisk technology in CAI. *J Med Educ*. 1984;59:739-745.
18. Dale RA, Sandoval VA, Hendricson WD, Herbert RJ. A comparison of simulation programs for endodontic review. *J Biocommun*. 1986;12:17-23.

19. Diserens D, Schwartz MW, Guenin M, Taylor LA. Measuring the problem-solving ability of students and residents by microcomputer. *J Med Educ*. 1986;61:461-466.
20. Garrett TJ, Ashford AR. Computer-assisted instruction in patient management for internal medicine residents. *J Med Educ*. 1986;61:987-989.
21. Harless WG. An interactive videodisc drama: the case of Frank Hall. *Journal of Computer-Based Instruction*. 1986;13(4):113-116.
22. Harless WG, Zier MA, Duncan RC. A voice-activated, interactive videodisc case study for use in the medical school classroom. *J Med Educ*. 1986;61:913-915.
23. Krahn CG, Blanchaer MC. Using an advance organizer to improve knowledge application by medical students in computer-based clinical simulations. *Journal of Computer-Based Instruction*. 1986;13(3):71-74.
24. Norcini JJ, Meskauskas JA, Langdon LO, Webster GB. An evaluation of a computer simulation in the assessment of physician competence. *Evaluation & the Health Professions*. 1986;9(3):286-304.
25. Pickell GC, Medal D, Mann WS, Staebler RJ. Computerizing clinical patient problems: an evolving tool for medical education. *Med Educ*. 1986;20:201-203.
26. Eisenberg H, Gordon S. Computer assisted teaching program: A pulmonary patient management problem. *Int J Clin Monit Comput*. 1987;4:195-197.
27. Sandoval VA, Dale RA, Hendricson WD, Alexander JB. A comparison of four simulation and instructional methods for endodontic review. *J Dent Educ*. 1987;51:532-538.
28. Verbeek HA. Self-instruction through patient simulation by computer. *Med Educ*. 1987;21:10-14.
29. Finkelstein MW, Johnson LA, Lilly GE. Interactive videodisc patient simulations of oral diseases. *J Dent Educ*. 1988;52:217-220.
30. Gerritsma JG, Smal JA. An interactive patient simulation for the study of medical decision-making. *Med Educ*. 1988;22:118-123.
31. Beck JR, O'Donnell JF, Hirai F, Simmons JJ, Healy JC, Lyon HC, Jr. Computer-based exercises in anemia diagnosis (PlanAlyzer). *Methods Inf Med*. 1989;28:364-369.
32. Harless WG, Duncan RC, Zier MA, Ayers WR, Berman JR, Pohl HS. A field test of the TIME patient simulation model. *Acad Med*. 1990;65:327-333.
33. Lyon HC, Jr., Healy JC, Bell JR, et al. Computer-based exercises in anemia and chest pain diagnosis: an interim evaluation of the PlanAlyzer project. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*. 1990:483-487.
34. Engberg SJ, White JE, Belle S. Establishing criterion validity of a computer-based clinical simulation. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*. 1991:737-741.

35. Finkelstein MW, Johnson LA, Lilly GE. A computer management system for patient stimulations. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed*. 1991;34:257-261.
36. Friedman CP, France CL, Drossman DD. A randomized comparison of alternative formats for clinical simulations. *Med Decis Making*. 1991;11:265-272.
37. Hayes KW, Rogers J, Sullivan JE, Huber G. Computer-based patient management problems in an entry-level physical therapy program: acceptance and cost. *Journal of Physical Therapy Education*. 1991;5(2):65-71.
38. Lowdermilk DL, Hopkins Fishel A. Computer simulations as a measure of nursing students' decision-making skills. *J Nurs Educ*. 1991;30:34-39.
39. Lyon HC, Jr., Healy JC, Bell JR, et al. Significant efficiency findings while controlling for the frequent confounders of CAI research in the PlanAlyzer project's computer-based, self-paced, case-based programs in anemia and chest pain diagnosis. *J Med Syst*. 1991;15(2):117-132.
40. Henry SB, Waltmire D, 2nd. Computerized clinical simulations: a strategy for staff development in critical care. *Am J Crit Care*. 1992;1:99-107.
41. Pincetl P, Cobbs E, Hubshman J, et al. PC CLIN-SIM: a toolbox based clinical simulation environment. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*. 1992:793-794.
42. Ajmani AK, Boxwala A, Berger R. Multimedia Case Authoring and Simulation System. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*. 1993:916.
43. Clark GT, Koyano K, Nivichanov A. Case-based learning for orofacial pain and temporomandibular disorders. *J Dent Educ*. 1993;57:815-820.
44. Clauser BE, Subhiyah RG, Piemme TE, et al. Using clinician ratings to model score weights for a computer-based clinical-simulation examination. *Acad Med*. 1993;68 (10 suppl):S64-S66.
45. Henry SB, Holzemer WL. The relationship between performance on computer-based clinical simulations and two written methods of evaluation: cognitive examination and self-evaluation of expertise. *Comput Nurs*. 1993;11(1):29-34.
46. Cobbs E, Pincetl P, Silverman B, Liao RL, Motta C. An interactive learning environment for health care professionals. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*. 1994:49-53.
47. Felciano RM, Dev P. Multimedia Clinical Simulation Based on Patient Records: Authoring, User Interface, Pedagogy. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*. 1994:59-63.
48. MacDonald S. A Knowledge-based Learning and Testing System for Medical Education. Paper presented at: Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia (ED-MEDIA 94)1994; Vancouver.
49. Myers JH, Dorsey JK. Using diagnostic reasoning (DxR) to teach and evaluate clinical reasoning skills. *Acad Med*. 1994;69:428-429.
50. Berger RG, Boxwala A. Multimedia medical case authorship and simulator program. *Medinfo*. 1995;8 Pt 2:1693.

51. Johnson DF. A Case Based Multimedia Tool for Integrating Basic Science Concepts with Clinical Case Data in Medical Education. *Journal of Instruction Delivery Systems*. 1995;9(2):17-21.
52. Rouhani R, Cronenberger H, Stein L, et al. FDDI information management system for centralizing interactive, computerized multimedia clinical experiences in pediatric rheumatology/Immunology. *Medinfo*. 1995;8 Pt 2:1204-1208.
53. Dorsey JK, Gocey J, Murrell K, Rinderer-Rand H, Hall C, Myers JH. Medical student response to an interactive patient simulation program used to supplement child abuse education. *Child Abuse Negl*. 1996;20:973-977.
54. Hayes KA, Lehmann CU. The interactive patient: a multimedia interactive educational tool on the World Wide Web. *MD Comput*. 1996;13:330-334.
55. Weverling GJ, Stam J, ten Cate TJ, van Crevel H. Computer-assisted education in problem-solving in neurology: a randomized educational study[Dutch]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd*. 1996;140:440-443.
56. Bryce DA, King NJC, Jeremy RW, Myers JH. Facilitating Clinical Reasoning Through Computer Based Learning and Feedback. Paper presented at: Australian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education (ASCILITE) Conference 97/1997; Perth.
57. Farnsworth CC. Measuring the effects of problem-based learning on the development of veterinary students' clinical expertise. *Acad Med*. 1997;72:552-554.
58. Johnson LA, Cunningham MA, Finkelstein MW, Hand JS. Geriatric patient simulations for dental hygiene. *J Dent Educ*. 1997;61:667-677.
59. Kinney P, Keskula DR, Perry JF. The effect of a computer assisted instructional program on physical therapy students. *J Allied Health*. 1997;26(2):57-61.
60. Vahl CF, Carl I, de Simone R, Meinzer HP, Thomas G, Hagl S. Calibration of clinical database systems using 'virtual patients'. [German]. *Z Kardiol*. 1997;86(1):35-41.
61. Bryce DA, King NJC, Graebner CF, Myers JH. Evaluation of a Diagnostic Reasoning Program (DxR): Exploring Student Perceptions and Addressing Faculty Concerns. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education*. 1998;98(1):1-34.
62. Christensen UJ, Heffernan D, Andersen SF, Jensen PF. ResusSim 98 - a PC advanced life support trainer. *Resuscitation*. 1998;39:81-84.
63. Henderson JV. Comprehensive, technology-based clinical education: the "virtual practicum". *Int J Psychiatry Med*. 1998;28(1):41-79.
64. Loke E, Lun KC. Virtual patients for a virtual hospital. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 1998;52 Pt 2:1278-1281.
65. Pinto PR, Gamboa T, Robert Y, Rendas A. A computer simulation designed for problem-based learning (PBL) in pathophysiology. *Med Educ*. 1998;32:213.
66. Vick VC, Birdwell-Miller LP. Implementation of an interactive case study on CD-ROM. *J Dent Educ*. 1998;62:248-252.
67. Weis PA, Guyton-Simmons J. A computer simulation for teaching critical thinking skills. *Nurse Educ*. 1998;23(2):30-33.

68. Downs S, Marasigan F, Abraham V, Wildemuth B, Friedman C. Scoring performance on computer-based patient simulations: Beyond value of information. *Proc AMIA Symp*. 1999;520-524.
69. Rendas A, Pinto PR, Gamboa T. A computer simulation designed for problem-based learning. *Med Educ*. 1999;33:47-54.
70. Schwid HA, Rooke GA, Ross BK, Sivarajan M. Use of a computerized advanced cardiac life support simulator improves retention of advanced cardiac life support guidelines better than a textbook review. *Crit Care Med*. 1999;27:821-824.
71. Bremner MN, Brannan JD. A computer simulation for the entry-level RN: enhancing clinical decision making. *J Nurses Staff Dev*. 2000;16(1):5-9.
72. Clauser BE, Harik P, Clyman SG. The generalizability of scores for a performance assessment scored with a computer-automated scoring system. *J Educ Measurement*. 2000;37:245-261.
73. Fleetwood J, Vaught W, Feldman D, Gracely E, Kassutto Z, Novack D. MedEthEx online: A computer-based learning program in medical ethics and communications skills. *Teach Learn Med*. 2000;12:96-104.
74. Hubal RC, Kizakevich PN, Guinn CI, Merino KD, West SL. The virtual standardized patient. Simulated patient-practitioner dialog for patient interview training. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2000;70:133-138.
75. Reece Jones P, Maguire BT. Development of a contextual computer-based nursing simulation. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2000;57:144-152.
76. Bearman M, Cesnik B, Liddell M. Random comparison of 'virtual patient' models in the context of teaching clinical communication skills. *Med Educ*. 2001;35:824-832.
77. Garrett BM, Callear D. The value of intelligent multimedia simulation for teaching clinical decision-making skills. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2001;21:382-390.
78. Schwid HA, Rooke GA, Michalowski P, Ross BK. Screen-Based Anesthesia Simulation with Debriefing Improves Performance in a Mannequin-Based Anesthesia Simulator. *Teach Learn Med*. 2001;13(2):92-96.
79. Abbey LM. Interactive multimedia patient simulations in dental and continuing dental education. *Dent Clin North Am*. 2002;46:575-587.
80. Buysse H, Van Maele G, De Moor GJ. The Dynamic Patient Simulator: learning process, first results and students' satisfaction. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2002;93:19-23.
81. Grabowski M, Rudowski R. The simulation of emergency cases and other computer-based learning programs on the Internet for medical students and young doctors. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2002;90:784-787.
82. Maulitz R, McGrath D. The active learning framework. *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces*. Miami Beach, FL: Association for Computing Machinery; 2002. p. 238.
83. Oliffe J. Jimmy turns two! On-line Problem-Based Learning Patient Situated Scenarios: A comparison of 1999 and 2000 undergraduate student evaluations. *Australian Electronic Journal of Nursing Education*. 2002;8(1):10-18.

84. Abbey LM, Arnold P, Halunko L, Huneke MB, Lee S. CASE STUDIES for Dentistry: development of a tool to author interactive, multimedia, computer-based patient simulations. *J Dent Educ*. 2003;67:1345-1354.
85. Bearman M. Is virtual the same as real? Medical students' experiences of a virtual patient. *Acad Med*. 2003;78:538-545.
86. Bergin R, Youngblood P, Ayers MK, et al. Interactive simulated patient: Experiences with collaborative e-learning in medicine. *J Educ Comput Res*. 2003;29:387-400.
87. Bergin RA, Fors UGH. Interactive simulated patient - an advanced tool for student-activated learning in medicine and healthcare. *Computers & Education*. 2003;40:361-376.
88. Chaikoolvatana A, Goodyer L. Evaluation of a Multimedia Case-History Simulation Program for Pharmacy Students. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2003;67:108-115.
89. Halvorsrud R, Hagen S, Fagernes S, Mjelstad S, Romundstad L. Trauma team training in a distributed virtual emergency room. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2003;94:100-102.
90. Kumta SM, Tsang PL, Hung LK, Cheng JCY. Fostering critical thinking skills through a Web-based tutorial programme for final year medical students - a randomized, controlled study. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*. 2003;12:267-273.
91. Littlefield JH, Demps EL, Keiser K, Chatterjee L, Yuan CH, Hargreaves KM. A multimedia patient simulation for teaching and assessing endodontic diagnosis. *J Dent Educ*. 2003;67:669-677.
92. Nielson JA, Maloney C, Robison R. Internet-based standardized patient simulation with automated feedback. *AMIA Annu Symp Proc*. 2003:952.
93. Tochtermann U, Osswald BR, Thomas G, Carl I, Vahl CF, Hagl S. The "virtual patient" as a calibration tool for multicentric quality assessment. *Cardiovascular Engineering*. 2003;8(1-2):104-108.
94. D'Alessandro DM, Lewis TE, D'Alessandro MP. A pediatric digital storytelling system for third year medical students: the virtual pediatric patients. *BMC Med Educ*. 2004;4:10.
95. Hayes-Roth B, Amano K, Saker R, Sephton T. Training brief intervention with a virtual coach and virtual patients. *Annual Review of CyberTherapy and Telemedicine*. 2004;2:85-95.
96. Jerant AF, Azari R. Validity of scores generated by a web-based multimedia simulated patient case software: a pilot study. *Acad Med*. 2004;79:805-11.
97. Rascher W, Ackermann A, Knerr I. Interactive communication systems in curricular teaching of paediatrics for medical students.[German]. *Monatsschr Kinderheilkd*. 2004;152:432-436.
98. Ruderich F, Bauch M, Haag M, et al. CAMPUS--a flexible, interactive system for web-based, problem-based learning in health care. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2004;107:921-925.
99. Schittek Janda M, Mattheos N, Nattestad A, et al. Simulation of patient encounters using a virtual patient in periodontology instruction of dental students: design, usability, and learning effect in history-taking skills. *Eur J Dent Educ*. 2004;8:111-119.

100. Shyu FM, Liang YF, Hsu WT, Luh JJ, Chen HS. A problem-based e-Learning prototype system for clinical medical education. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2004;107:983-987.
101. Watkinson G, Spencer A, Monger E, et al. Virtual interactive practice: utilizing healthcare information systems to contextualize the skills associated with clinical decision making within nurse education. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2004;107(pt 1):746-752.
102. Deterding R, Milliron C, Hubal R. The virtual pediatric standardized patient application: formative evaluation findings. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2005;111:105-107.
103. Dickerson R, Johnsen K, Raij A, Lok B, Hernandez J, Stevens A. Evaluating a Script-Based Approach for Simulating Patient-Doctor Interaction. Paper presented at: International Conference on Human-Computer Interface Advances for Modeling and Simulation 052005; New Orleans.
104. Fall LH, Berman NB, Smith S, White CB, Woodhead JC, Olson AL. Multi-institutional Development and Utilization of a Computer-Assisted Learning Program for the Pediatrics Clerkship: The CLIPP Project. *Acad Med*. 2005;80:847-855.
105. Johnsen K, Dickerson R, Raij A, et al. Evolving an immersive medical communication skills trainer. *Presence*. 2005;15(1):33-46.
106. Johnsen K, Dickerson R, Raij A, et al. Experiences in using immersive virtual characters to educate medical communication skills. Paper presented at: IEEE Virtual Reality 2005 Conference2005; Bonn, Germany.
107. Jung B, Ahad A, Weber M. The Affective Virtual Patient: An E-Learning Tool for Social Interaction Training within the Medical Field. Paper presented at: Training, Education, Simulation International (TESI 2005) Conference2005; Maastricht.
108. Mallott D, Raczek J, Skinner C, Jarrell K, Shimko M, Jarrell B. A basis for electronic cognitive simulation: the heuristic patient. *Surgical Innovation*. 2005;12:43-49.
109. Ong LS, Vijayan A, Koh CS, et al. An intelligent tutoring system for trauma management (Trauma-Teach): a preliminary report. *Ann Acad Med Singapore*. 2005;34:499-504.
110. Walsh K. Virtual patients get real. *Med Educ*. 2005;39:1153-4.
111. Dickerson R, Johnsen K, Raij A, et al. Virtual patients: assessment of synthesized versus recorded speech. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2006;119:114-119.
112. Ferguson JE, 2nd, Kleinert HL, Lunney CA, Campbell LR. Resident physicians' competencies and attitudes in delivering a postnatal diagnosis of Down syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2006;108:898-905.
113. Kabanza F, Bisson G, Charneau A, Jang T-S. Implementing tutoring strategies into a patient simulator for clinical reasoning learning. *Artif Intell Med*. 2006;38(1):79-96.
114. Owen H, Mugford B, Follows V, Plummer JL. Comparison of three simulation-based training methods for management of medical emergencies. *Resuscitation*. 2006;71:204-211.

115. Raij A, Johnsen K, Dickerson R, et al. Interpersonal Scenarios: Virtual ~ Real? Paper presented at: IEEE Virtual Reality Conference; March 2006; Alexandria, VA.
116. Roy MJ, Sticha DL, Kraus PL, Olsen DE. Simulation and virtual reality in medical education and therapy: a protocol. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior*. 2006;9:245-247.
117. Stevens A, Hernandez J, Johnsen K, et al. The use of virtual patients to teach medical students history taking and communication skills. *Am J Surg*. 2006;191:806-811.
118. Thompson GA, Holyoak KJ, Morrison RG, Clark TK. Evaluation of an online analogical patient simulation program. Paper presented at: IEEE Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems; June 2006; Salt Lake City, UT.
119. Triola M, Feldman H, Kalet AL, et al. A randomized trial of teaching clinical skills using virtual and live standardized patients. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2006;21:424-429.
120. Turner MK, Simon SR, Facemyer KC, Newhall LM, Veach TL. Web-based learning versus standardized patients for teaching clinical diagnosis: a randomized, controlled, crossover trial. *Teach Learn Med*. 2006;18:208-214.
121. Villaume WA, Berger BA, Barker BN. Learning motivational interviewing: scripting a virtual patient. *Am J Pharm Educ*. 2006;70(2):33.
122. Wahlgren C-F, Edelbring S, Fors U, Hindbeck H, Stahle M. Evaluation of an interactive case simulation system in dermatology and venereology for medical students. *BMC Med Educ*. 2006;6:40.
123. Waldmann UM, Gulich MS, Zeitler HP. Blended learning in the 4th year general practice course - Implementation and acceptance of virtual patients.[German]. *Z Allgemeinmed*. 2006;82:543-548.
124. Wilson AS, Goodall JE, Ambrosini G, et al. Development of an interactive learning tool for teaching rheumatology--a simulated clinical case studies program. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2006;45:1158-1161.
125. Zary N, Johnson G, Boberg J, Fors U. Development, implementation and pilot evaluation of a Web-based Virtual Patient Case Simulation environment - Web-SP. *BMC Med Educ*. 2006;6:10.
126. Deladisma AM, Cohen M, Stevens A, et al. Do medical students respond empathetically to a virtual patient? *Am J Surg*. 2007;193:756-760.
127. Haag M, Singer R, Bauch M, Heid J, Hess F, Leven FJ. Challenges and perspectives of computer-assisted instruction in medical education: lessons learned from seven years of experience with the CAMPUS system. *Methods Inf Med*. 2007;46:67-69.
128. Jarrell B, Nirenburg S, McShane M, et al. An interactive, cognitive simulation of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2007;125:194-199.
129. Kleinert HL, Fisher SB, Sanders CL, Boyd S. Improving physician assistant students' competencies in developmental disabilities using virtual patient modules. *Journal of Physician Assistant Education*. 2007;18(2):33-40.

130. Kleinert HL, Sanders C, Mink J, et al. Improving student dentist competencies and perception of difficulty in delivering care to children with developmental disabilities using a virtual patient module. *J Dent Educ*. 2007;71:279-286.
131. McKenzie K, O'Shea C. The use of online clinical 'quandaries' in professional training. *Learning Disability Practice*. 2007;10(7):16-21.
132. Rajj AB, Johnsen K, Dickerson RF, et al. Comparing interpersonal interactions with a virtual human to those with a real human. *IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph*. 2007;13:443-457.
133. Sanders CL, Kleinert HL, Free T, et al. Caring for children with intellectual and developmental disabilities: virtual patient instruction improves students' knowledge and comfort level. *J Pediatr Nurs*. 2007;22:457-466.
134. Sijstermans R, Jaspers MW, Bloemendaal PM, Schoonderwaldt EM. Training inter-physician communication using the Dynamic Patient Simulator. *Int J Med Inform*. 2007;76:336-343.
135. Smith SR, Cookson J, McKendree J, Harden RM. Patient-centred learning - back to the future. *Med Teach*. 2007;29:33-37.
136. Sumner W, 2nd, Xu JZ, Roussel G, Hagen MD. Modeling relief. *Proc AMIA Symp*. 2007:706-10.
137. Vash JH, Yunesian M, Shariati M, Keshvari A, Harirchi I. Virtual patients in undergraduate surgery education: a randomized controlled study. *ANZ J Surg*. 2007;77(1-2):54-59.
138. Boyd SE, Sanders CL, Kleinert HL, et al. Virtual patient training to improve reproductive health care for women with intellectual disabilities. *J Midwifery Womens Health*. 2008;53:453-460.
139. Courteille O, Bergin R, Stockeld D, Ponzer S, Fors U. The use of a virtual patient case in an OSCE-based exam - a pilot study. *Med Teach*. 2008;30:e66-76.
140. Orton E, Mulhausen P. E-learning virtual patients for geriatric education. *Gerontology & Geriatrics Education*. 2008;28:73-88.
141. Parsons TD, Kenny P, Ntuen CA, et al. Objective structured clinical interview training using a virtual human patient. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2008;132:357-362.
142. Sanders C, Kleinert HL, Boyd SE, Herren C, Theiss L, Mink J. Virtual patient instruction for dental students: can it improve dental care access for persons with special needs? *Spec Care Dentist*. 2008;28:205-213.
143. Sanders CL, Kleinert HL, Free T, King P, Slusher I, Boyd S. Developmental disabilities: improving competence in care using virtual patients. *J Nurs Educ*. 2008;47(2):66-73.
144. Waldmann UM, Gulich MS, Zeitler HP. Virtual patients for assessing medical students--important aspects when considering the introduction of a new assessment format. *Med Teach*. 2008;30:17-24.
145. Youngblood P, Harter PM, Srivastava S, Moffett S, Heinrichs WL, P. D. Design, development, and evaluation of an online virtual emergency department for training trauma teams. *Simulation in Healthcare*. 2008;3:146-153.
146. Dillon GF, Clauser BE. Computer-delivered patient simulations in the United States Medical Licensing Examination (USMLE). *Simulation in Healthcare*. 2009;4:30-34.

147. Friedman CP, France CL, Drossman DD. A randomized comparison of alternative formats for clinical simulations. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*. 1989:893-897.
148. Lyon HC, Jr., Healy JC, Bell JR, et al. Findings from an evaluation of PlanAlyzer's double cross-over trials of computer-based, self-paced, case-based programs in anemia and chest pain diagnosis. *Proc Annu Symp Comput Appl Med Care*. 1991:88-93.
149. Bearman M, Cesnik B. Comparing student attitudes to different models of the same virtual patient. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2001;84(pt 2):1004-1008.
150. Cohen M, Stevens A, Wagner P, et al. Is There a Difference in the Empathetic Response of Health Professions Students to Standardized Patients and Virtual Patients? *Teach Learn Med*. 2007;19:79-80.
151. Dev P, Heinrichs WL, Youngblood P, et al. Virtual patient model for multi-person virtual medical environments. *American Medical Informatics Association Annual Symposium Proceedings*. 2007:181-185.

* Reference numbers in this list will not match those in the reference list of the main text; some but not all publications appear in both lists.