Supplemental Digital Table 1

Patterns of Principles and Modifiers Evoked for Each Scenario Across Five Focus Groups of 40 Practicing Internists Discussing Professionalism Dilemmas, 2011*

1 Totessionansin Ditemmas, 2011												
	Scenario number [*]											
Pattern		2a	2b	2c	3	4	5	7	8	10		
Composition of focus groups that discussed scenario [†]	PC office, Spec office, mixed	PC office, PC hosp	Spec hosp	Spec office, mixed	PC office, PC hosp, mixed	Spec office, Spec hosp	All	PC hosp, Spec hosp	All	Spec office, Spec hosp		
Guiding principles evoked to explain decisions												
Patient welfare	0	1	5	12	18	17	7	3	4	8		
Concern for colleagues	0	0	0	0	3	22	0	0	0	0		
Confidentiality, privacy, or security	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	12	0		
Reimbursement, financial considerations	23	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	7	3		
Time or efficiency	6	7	0	1	0	0	1	1	20	0		
Availability, accessibility	14	0	0	0	12	2	5	0	33	0		
Work-life balance	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	16	0		
Accountability	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	14	0		
Legality, regulations, policies	0	0	0	3	21	8	3	0	6	25		
Transparency, informed choice	6	1	2	3	4	0	0	8	3	22		
Follow or bend evidence-based medicine	0	12	19	13	0	0	0	0	1	0		
Patient or not	1	2	0	0	0	0	48	0	19	0		
Keeping patients happy	6	11	4	7	2	1	25	0	12	2		
Helpfulness or niceness [‡]	1	2	1	4	0	1	25	0	10	0		
Protect or support practice or institution ‡	6	10	3	3	2	0	0	0	2	2		

Supplemental digital content for Ginsburg S, Bernabeo E, Ross KM, Holmboe ES. "It depends": Results of a Qualitative Study Investigating How Practicing Internists Approach Professional Dilemmas. Acad Med. 2012; 87(12).

Fear of getting sued	0	0	1	7	2	0	8	0	1	0
Modifiers evoked to explain decision										
Relationship or role with colleague	0	0	0	0	10	18	0	0	0	0
Risk of danger or harm to patient	0	0	3	1	6	5	10	0	9	0
Relationship with patient	5	1	1	0	1	0	6	0	22	9
Type of patient	13	12	5	1	2	0	8	1	15	0
Patients is compliant vs. challenging [‡]	7	10	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Personality issues; whether the doctor likes or	6	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	11	0
wants the patient vs. does not want or like										
him/her [‡]										
Patient does vs. does not respect time and	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
boundaries [‡]										
Patient with simple vs. complex problems ‡	1	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
Extent to which doctor does vs. does not trust	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
the patient [‡]										
Nature of illness, diagnosis, or problem	4	4	13	5	18	12	24	19	13	0
Physical vs. mental or narcotic †	2	3	0	0	3	4	10	0	4	0
Diagnosis or issue - serious vs. minor [‡]	0	1	12	5	1	2	4	0	5	0
Sensitivity or stigma of diagnosis [‡]	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	0	0
Urgency or acuity vs. chronicity [‡]	1	0	2	0	7	6	10	10	3	0
Complexity [‡]	1	0	0	0	7	0	4	0	1	0
Familiarity or comfort with request	1	1	0	1	0	0	12	0	3	0
Number of codes arising in each scenario	105	82	77	68	122	98	236	79	250	71
Count corrected for no. times scenario used	35	41	77	34	41	49	47	39	50	36

The authors selected and adapted the scenarios used in their study from a larger bank of developed scenarios, thus the numbering of the scenarios.

[†] PC = primary care physicians, Spec = specialist physicians, Office = ambulatory-based practice, Hosp = inpatient-based practice, Mixed = a group of primary care physicians, specialists, and physicians who work in either ambulatory or inpatient-based settings.

[‡] Italicized principles or modifies indicate a subtheme.

Supplemental Digital Table 2

Patterns of Interaction Between Guiding Principles and Modifiers That Emerged from Five Focus Groups of 40 Practicing Internists Discussing Professionalism Dilemmas, 2011

					and a grant	u II om I I ve I ocus		Modifie	rs							
		Risk of				Personality			Extent to							
		danger				issues; whether	Patient does	Patient	which	Nature of						
						the doctor likes or	vs. does not		doctor does	illness,	Physical	Diagnosis	Sensitivity			
	Relationship	harm		Type		wants the patient	respect time	simple vs.	or does not	diagnosis,	vs. mental	or issue -	or stigma	Urgency or		Familiarity
Guiding	or role with	to	Relationship	of	compliant vs.	vs. does not like	and	complex	trust the			serious vs.	of	acuity vs.		or comfort
principles	colleague	patient	with patient	patient	challenging*	or want him/her*	boundaries*	problems*	patient*	problem	narcotic*	minor*	diagnosis*	chronicity*	Complexity*	with request
Patient welfare	4	10	4	5	1	2	0	2	0	18	4	5	0	4	6	1
Concern for colleagues	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	0	1	0	0
Confidentiality,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	9	10	0	0
privacy, or security																
Reimbursement, financial	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
considerations																
Time or efficiency	0	0	0	4	2	0	1	1	0		0		0	0	1	0
Availability, accessibility	4	2	6	11	2	7	2	0	2	5	0	2	0	2	1	0
Work-life balance	0	0	4	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Accountability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	2	0	2
Legality,	3	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	2	0	2	2	0
regulations, policies																
Transparency, informed choice	2	0	5	2	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	0
Follow or bend	0	3	0	7	5	0	0	1	0	17	3	13	0	2	0	0
evidence-based																
medicine																
Patient or not	0	7	10	6	0	5	0	1	0	17	8	3	1	6	2	8
Keeping patients happy	1	4	9	12	6	5	0	1	1	4	2	2	0	1	0	4
Helpfulness or niceness*	1	4	4	6	1	4	0	1	0	3	2	1	0	1	0	4
Protect or	0	0	5	6	5	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
support practice or institution*																
Fear of getting	n	3	0	0	0	0	n	n	0	2	0	1	0	1	n	2
sued	0	3	V	3	0	Ū	V	· ·	· ·	2	· ·	1	O .	1	0	

^{*} Italicized principles or modifies indicate a subtheme.