Reproductive-Aged Women

Abdominal Examination
Alcohol Misuse
Anemia
Bacteriuria
Blood Pressure Screening
Breast Awareness
Breast Cancer, Chemoprevention
Breast Cancer Screening
Breast Examination
Cardiovascular Disease/Dyslipidemia
Cervical Cancer Screening and Prevention
Colorectal Screening (45+ or 40 depending on risk)
Contraception, STIs, and Reproductive Health
Depression
Diabetes
Diabetes Postpartum
Diet, Fitness, Nutrition
Domestic and Intimate Partner Violence
Drug Use
Genetic Screening
Hearing
Hepatitis B Screening
Hepatitis C Screening
Hypothyroidism
Immunizations
Injury Prevention
Kidney Disease
Mental Health and Psychosocial Issues/ Suicide/Behavioral Assessment
Metabolic Syndrome
Neural Tube Defects
Obesity
Oral Cavity Examination
Oral Hygiene
Ovarian Cancer
Pelvic Examination
Piercing and Tattooing
Preconception/Interconception Care
Sexual Health
Skin Cancer
Tobacco Use
Visual Acuity/Glaucoma

Final WWTF Recommendation and Strength of Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
"Strong" = Based on evidence-based or evidence-informed guidelines "Qualified" = Based on expert opinion alone	Foundation for WWTF recommendations. Primarily USPSTF, IOM, and CDC guidelines.	Additional guidelines from medical societies used for developing consensus recommendations.	WWTF expert consensus: Describes resolution of conflicting guidelines or outlines expert opinion that does not have a strong evidence foundation.

TOPIC: ABDOMINAL EXAMINATION

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents (13–21 years)			
An abdominal examination is an appropriate component of the well-adolescent visit. (Qualified)		General: Standard physical examination taught in medical school and advanced practice programs includes abdominal examination as part of a routine periodic examination. The elements can include four-quadrant/nine-region abdominal inspection, auscultation, percussion, and palpation. Inspection can reveal distention, operative and traumatic scars, striae, pubic hair distribution, jaundice, and distended veins. Auscultation can reveal bowel sounds and fetal heart sounds. Percussion can reveal ascites. Palpation can assess superficial or deep tenderness, guarding, rebound tenderness, and rigidity. ACOG: Abdominal examination is recommended as part of well-woman physical examination regardless of age.[1,2,3] AAP Bright Futures: Annual well-child assessment and physical examination is recommended. At this visit, an age-appropriate physical examination is essential. The older child (11–21 years) will be undressed and suitably draped.[4]	
Adults (22 years and older)			
An abdominal examination is an appropriate component of the well-woman visit. (Qualified)		General: Standard physical examination taught in medical school and advanced practice programs includes abdominal examination as part of a routine periodic examination. An abdominal examination can assess a woman's risk of exposure to hepatitis C; drug and alcohol use or abuse; dietary, hereditary, or lifestyle risks for gastrointestinal disorders; and cancers of the skin and internal organs. ACOG: Abdominal examination is recommended as part of well-woman physical examination regardless of age.[1,2,3]	

TOPIC: ALCOHOL MISUSE

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents (13–18 years)			
Annual screening of adolescents for alcohol use by questionnaire, history, or both (not by laboratory testing) is recommended. Positive screening should prompt provision or referral of patient to counseling about alcohol use. (Strong)	USPSTF: There is inconclusive evidence that counseling is effective in adolescents.[5]	AAP Bright Futures: Recommends annual evaluation and counseling for alcohol use in adolescents.[6] AAP: Strongly advises against the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs by youth.[7] ACOG: Recommends annual screening for alcohol use in teens.[8] Recommends screening by questionnaire, history, or both (not by laboratory testing) at least yearly.[9] PCHHC: All women of childbearing age should be screened for alcohol use, and brief interventions should be provided in primary care settings, which should include advice regarding the potential for adverse health outcomes. Women who show signs of alcohol dependence should be educated about the risks of alcohol consumption; for women who are interested in modifying their alcohol use patterns, efforts should be made to identify programs that would assist them in achieving cessation and long-term abstinence (B I-a).[10] Assess at least annually for alcohol use patterns and risky drinking behaviors and provide appropriate counseling; all women should be advised of the risks to the embryo/ fetus of alcohol exposure in pregnancy and that no safe level of consumption has been established (A-III).[10] AAFP: The evidence is inconclusive that counseling is effective in adolescents. Recommends screening and behavioral counseling interventions in primary care settings to reduce alcohol misuse.[11] CDC: Binge drinking increases the risk of unintended pregnancy, delayed pregnancy recognition, STIs, and adverse pregnancy outcomes, including fetal alcohol spectrum disorder.[12] NIAAA: Recommends alcohol screening and brief intervention for youth.[13]	
Adults (19 years and older)			
Annual screening of adults for alcohol misuse by questionnaire, history, or both, but not by testing, is recommended. Provide or refer persons engaged in risky or hazardous drinking to brief behavioral counseling interventions to reduce alcohol misuse. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends that clinicians screen adults aged 18 years or older for alcohol misuse and provide persons engaged in risky or hazardous drinking with brief behavioral counseling interventions to reduce alcohol misuse.[5]	AAFP: Recommends screening and behavioral counseling interventions in primary care settings to reduce alcohol misuse.[11] ACOG: Recommends screening by questionnaire, history, or both, but not by testing, at least yearly.[9] AAP Bright Futures: Recommends annual evaluation and counseling for alcohol use in adolescents through age 21 years.[6] PCHHC: All women of childbearing age should be screened for alcohol use, and brief interventions should be provided in primary care settings, which should include advice regarding the potential for adverse health outcomes. Women who show signs of alcohol dependence should be educated about the risks of alcohol consumption; for women who are interested in modifying their alcohol use patterns, efforts should be made to identify programs that would assist them in achieving cessation and long-term abstinence(B I-a).[10] Assess at least annually for alcohol use patterns and risky drinking behaviors and provide appropriate counseling; all women should be advised of the risks to the embryo/fetus of alcohol exposure in pregnancy and that no safe level of consumption has been established (A-III).[10]	

TOPIC: ANEMIA

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents & Adults (all ages)			
Routine screening for anemia is not recommended. (Qualified)	USPSTF: Evidence is insufficient to recommend for or against routine iron supplementation for nonanemic pregnant women (Grade I).[14]	There is no recommendation for routine screening for anemia in asymptomatic girls or women.	

TOPIC: BACTERIURIA

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents (13–18 years)			
Screening for bacteriuria in asymptomatic nonpregnant women is not recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends against screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria in nonpregnant women.[15]	AAFP: Recommends against screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria in men and nonpregnant women.[16]	There is evidence of harm resulting from treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in nonpregnant women. There is no evidence of benefit to screening older, asymptomatic, nonpregnant women. Recommend following USPSTF guidelines.
Adults (19–64 years)			
Screening for bacteriuria in asymptomatic nonpregnant women is not recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends against screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria in men and nonpregnant women.[15]	AAFP: Recommends against screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria in nonpregnant women.[16]	There is evidence of harm resulting from treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria in nonpregnant women.

TOPIC: BLOOD PRESSURE SCREENING
(*See also* Cardiovascular Disease/Dyslipidemia)

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents and Adults (all ages)			
Routine blood pressure screening is recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Screen for high blood pressure in adults aged 18 years or older. Current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening for hypertension in asymptomatic children and adolescents to prevent subsequent cardiovascular disease in childhood or adulthood.[17,18]	AAFP: There is insufficient evidence to recommend for or against screening children and adolescents for high blood pressure to reduce risk of cardiovascular disease.[19] AAP Bright Futures: Recommends routine blood pressure measurement for adolescents.[6]	

TOPIC: BREAST AWARENESS

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adults (all ages)			
Physicians should educate women about breast self-awareness, encouraging them to understand the normal appearance and feel of their breasts and to report any changes in their breasts to their health care providers. Breast self-awareness can include breast self-examination. (Qualified)	USPSTF: Recommends against teaching breast self-examination (Grade D).[20,21] Cochrane Collaborative: The review of data from two large population-based studies involving 388,535 women compared breast self-examination with no intervention did not find a beneficial effect of screening in terms of improvement in breast cancer mortality. The trials showed that women who were randomized to breast self-examination were almost twice as likely to undergo a biopsy of the breast. The authors suggest that the lack of supporting evidence from the two major studies should be discussed with these women to enable them to make an informed decision. Women should, however, be aware of any breast changes.[22]	ACOG: Because other screening methods can have false-negative results, and because breast cancer can occur in unscreened women, breast self-examination and breast self-awareness have a role in breast cancer screening. Physicians should consider teaching breast self-examination to high-risk patients. Recommend educating women about breast self-awareness.[23] NCCN: Breast awareness is encouraged.[24] ACS: Starting at age 20 years, women should be counseled on the benefits and limitations of breast self-examination.[25]	

TOPIC: BREAST CANCER, CHEMOPREVENTION

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adults (all ages)			
For women who are at increased risk of breast cancer, it is recommended that clinicians engage in shared, informed decision-making with women about medications to reduce their risk. (Strong) **It is recommended that women who are at increased risk of breast cancer and at low risk of adverse medication effects be offered risk-reducing medications, such as tamoxifen or raloxifene. (Strong)**	USPSTF: Recommends that clinicians engage in shared, informed decision-making with women who are at increased risk of breast cancer about medications to reduce their risk. For women who are at increased risk of breast cancer and at low risk of adverse medication effects, clinicians should offer to prescribe risk-reducing medications, such as tamoxifen or raloxifene (Grade B).[26]	ACOG: Counsel women aged 35 years and older at high risk.[2] AAFP: Recommends that clinicians engage in shared, informed decision-making with women who are at increased risk for breast cancer about medications to reduce their risk. For women who are at increased risk for breast cancer and at low risk for adverse medication effects, clinicians should offer to prescribe risk-reducing medications, such as tamoxifen or raloxifene.[27]	Recommend following USPSTF guidelines.

TOPIC: BREAST CANCER SCREENING

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adults (all ages)			
For women aged 40 years and older, the decision to start or terminate regular screening mammography should be individualized and should take into account patient context, including an assessment of breast cancer risk, comorbidities, and the patient's values regarding specific benefits and harms of screening. (Strong) **Routine screening mammography should occur by age 50 years. (Strong)** **The frequency of routine screening should take into account patient context and should be either annual or biennial. (Qualified)**	**Age younger than 50 years** USPSTF: The decision to start regular, biennial screening mammography before the age of 50 years should be an individual one and take patient context into account, including the patient's values regarding specific benefits and harms (Grade C).[28] **Ages 50–74 years** USPSTF: Recommends biennial screening mammography for women between the ages of 50 years and 74 years (Grade B).[28] **Age older than 75 years** USPSTF: There is insufficient evidence to assess the additional benefits and harms of screening mammography in women aged 75 years or older.[28]	ACOG: Women aged 40 years and older should have annual mammograms.[23] ACP: Breast cancer risk is not evenly distributed in women between the ages of 40 years and 49 years. Thus, the benefits of screening mammography are not uniformly applicable in women in this age group. For women ages 40–49 years, individualized assessment of risk of breast cancer to guide decisions about mammography screening is recommended. Base screening on benefits and harms, women's preference, and risk.[29] AAFP: Mammography before age 50 years should be individualized and take into account patient context, including risks, values, and harms. There is insufficient evidence about the benefits and harms of mammography for women older than 75 years.[30] AWHONN: Supports access for women to screening mammography and other health care services based on an assessment for breast cancer risk. Risk assessment for breast cancer includes age; hormonal factors, such as early age of menarche, late age of menopause, late age of first pregnancy, nulliparity, and use of hormonal therapies; familial factors, including family history of breast cancer and genetic test results for *BRCA* mutations; and personal factors such as personal history of breast cancer, findings from earlier breast biopsies, and past exposure to chest irradiation. Other associated risk factors include postmenopausal obesity, lack of exercise, and alcohol use.[31] CTFPHC: Current evidence regarding the effectiveness of screening mammography does not suggest the inclusion of the manoeuvre or its exclusion from the periodic health examination of women aged 40–49 years at average risk of breast cancer (Grade C). Upon reaching the age of 40, Canadian women should be informed of the potential benefits and risks of screening mammography and assisted in deciding at what age they wish to initiate the manoeuvre (every 12–18 months).[32] ACS: Screening decisions in older women should be individualized by considering the potential benefits and risks of mammography in the context of current health status and estimated life expectancy. As long as a woman is in reasonably good health and would be a candidate for treatment, she should continue to be screened with mammography.[33]	The WWTF agrees with the USPSTF that "Mammography screening reduces breast cancer mortality for women aged 39–69 years: data are insufficient for older women. False-positive mammography and results and additional imaging are common."[20] Biennial screening achieves most, but not all, of the benefit of annual screening with less harm. "Decisions about the best strategy depend on program and individual objectives and the weight placed on benefits, harms and resource considerations."[34]

TOPIC: BREAST EXAMINATION

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adults (all women)			
Clinical breast examination (CBE) may be offered to women in the context of a shared, informed decision-making approach that recognizes the uncertainty of additional benefits and harms of clinical breast examination beyond screening mammography. (Qualified) **Clinical breast examination may be offered to women ages 19–39 years every 1–3 years. (Qualified)** **Clinical breast examination may be offered annually to women aged 40 years and older. (Qualified)**	USPSTF: Current evidence is insufficient to assess the additional benefits and harms of clinical breast examination beyond screening mammography in women 40 years or older.[21]	ACOG: Clinical breast examination should be performed every 1–3 years for women ages 20–39 years and annually for women aged 40 years and older (Level C).[2] Clinical breast examination should be performed annually for women 40 or older. Although the value of screening clinical breast examination for women with low prevalence of breast cancer (ie, women aged 20–39 years) is not clear, clinical breast examination for these women is recommended every 1–3 years.[23] AAFP: Current evidence is insufficient to assess the benefits and harms of clinical breast examination for women aged 40 years and older.[27] ACS: Recommends clinical breast examination about every 3 years for women in their 20s and 30s and every year for women 40 years and older.[35] Susan G Komen: Clinical breast examination can be helpful in finding tumors in women younger than 40 years for whom mammography is not indicated. In women 40 years and older, clinical breast examination and mammography may find more cancers than mammography alone (ie, when used together fewer breast cancers are missed). Clinical breast examination is not a substitute for mammography in women older than 40 years.[36,37] CTFPHC: Recommends not routinely performing clinical breast examinations alone or in conjunction with mammography to screen for breast cancer (Weak recommendation; low-quality evidence).[38] WHO: The only breast cancer screening method that has proved to be effective is mammography screening. Research is underway to evaluate clinical breast examination as a low-cost approach to breast cancer screening that can work in less affluent countries.[39] Cochrane Collaborative: The only large population-based trial of clinical breast examination combined with breast self-examination that was identified was discontinued because of poor compliance with follow-up.[22] KPCMI: Recommends clinical breast examination be offered to asymptomatic women without breast cancer risk factors, in the context of a shared decision-making approach that takes into account a woman's personal preferences and the balance of benefit to harm.[40]	Clinical breast examination should be offered to asymptomatic women without breast cancer risk factors in the context of a shared decision-making approach that takes into account a woman's personal preferences and the balance of benefit to harm. Clinical breast examination should be performed annually for women aged 40 years and older. For women aged 20–39 years, clinical breast examinations are recommended every 1–3 years.

TOPIC: CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE/DYSLIPIDEMIA

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adults (18–44 years) *(See also Blood Pressure Screening; Genetic Screening (other than BRCA gene); Diet, Fitness, Nutrition; Obesity; Preconception/Interconception Care; Tobacco Use)*			
Dyslipidemia screening is recommended once between ages 18 years and 21 years. (Qualified)		AAP: Recommends dyslipidemia screening once between ages 18 years and 21 years.[4]	
Screening for asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis in the general adult population is not recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends against screening for asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis in the general adult population (Grade D).[41]		
Screening with resting or exercise electrocardiography for the prediction of coronary heart disease events in asymptomatic adults at low risk of coronary heart disease events is not recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends against screening with resting or exercise electrocardiography for the prediction of coronary heart disease events in asymptomatic adults at low risk for coronary heart disease events (Grade D).[42]		
Routine screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm in women is not recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends against routine screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm in women (Grade D).[43]		
The use of beta-carotene supplements, either alone or in combination, for the prevention of cancer or cardiovascular disease is not recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends against the use of beta-carotene supplements, either alone or in combination, for the prevention of cancer or cardiovascular disease (Grade D).[44]		
Adults (20–54 years) *(See also Blood Pressure Screening; Diet, Fitness, Nutrition; Obesity; Preconception/Interconception Care; Tobacco Use)*			
Screening for lipid disorders in women aged 20–45 years who are at increased risk of coronary heart disease is recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends screening women aged 20–45 years for lipid disorders if they are at increased risk of coronary heart disease (Grade B).[45]		
The use of aspirin for stroke prevention in women younger than age 55 years is not recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends against the use of aspirin for stroke prevention in women younger than 55 years (Grade D).[46]		
Adults (45 years and older) *(See also Blood Pressure Screening; Diet, Fitness, Nutrition; Obesity; Tobacco Use)*			
Screening for lipid disorders in women aged 45 years and older who are at increased risk of coronary heart disease is recommended. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends screening women aged 45 years and older for lipid disorders if they are at increased risk of coronary heart disease (Grade A).[45]		
The use of aspirin is recommended for women aged 55–79 years when the potential benefit of a reduction in risk of ischemic stroke outweighs the potential harm of an increase in risk of gastrointestinal hemorrhage. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends the use of aspirin for women aged 55–79 years when the potential benefit of a reduction in ischemic stroke outweighs the potential harm of an increase in gastrointestinal hemorrhage (Grade A).[46]		

TOPIC: CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING AND PREVENTION

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents (13–20 years) Screening			
Screening for cervical cancer is not recommended for women younger than 21 years. (Strong)	USPSTF: Screening is not recommended for cervical cancer in women younger than age 21 years. The recommendation does not apply to women who have received a diagnosis of a high-grade precancerous cervical lesion or cervical cancer, women with in utero exposure to diethylstilbestrol, or women who are immunocompromised (such as those who are HIV positive).[47] ACS/ASCCP/ACSP: Cervical cancer screening should begin at age 21 years. Women younger than 21 years should not be screened regardless of the age of sexual initiation or other risk factors.[48]	ACOG: Screening is not recommended for adolescents.[49] AAFP: Screening is not recommended for adolescents.[50]	Healthy women younger than 21 years should not be screened.
Adolescents (13–20 years) HPV Vaccination			
HPV vaccine is recommended for adolescents, preferably before the initiation of sexual activity and according to the ACIP schedule. (Strong)	ACIP: Girls aged 11 or 12 years should receive bivalent or quadrivalent HPV vaccine. The vaccine can be given as early as 9 years of age and should be given to women aged 13–26 years who have not been previously vaccinated.[51]	AAP, AAFP, ACP, ACOG, ACNM: ACIP adult and childhood vaccination schedules are endorsed.[52,53] AWHONN: HPV vaccine is recommended.[54]	Adolescents should receive HPV vaccine prior to the initiation of sexual activity.
There are conflicting vaccination guidelines for HIV-infected girls and women younger than 21 years. Adherence to ACIP/CDC vaccination guidelines is acceptable. (Qualified)	CDC: For those who are HIV positive, a Pap test should be obtained twice during the first year after diagnosis of HIV infection and, if the results are normal, annually thereafter.[55]	ACOG: Annual cytology screening starting at age 21 years is reasonable for this group.[49]	
Adults Screening (21–29 years, with a cervix and without history of in utero diethylstilbestrol exposure, immunocompromise, prior high-grade precancerous cervical lesion, or cervical cancer)			
Screening for cervical cancer is recommended with cytology testing alone every 3 years in women with a cervix who have not had a prior high-grade precancerous cervical lesion or cervical cancer, who have not been exposed to diethylstilbestrol in utero, or who are not immunocompromised. (Strong) **Screening with HPV testing is not recommended. (Strong)**	USPSTF: Recommends cytology testing every 3 years. Recommends against screening for cervical cancer with HPV testing, alone or in combination with cytology. The recommendations do not apply to women who have received a diagnosis of a high-grade precancerous cervical lesion or cervical cancer, women with in utero exposure to diethylstilbestrol, or women who are immunocompromised (such as those who are HIV positive).[47] ACS/ASCCP/ASCP: Recommend cytology testing alone every 3 years. Human papillomavirus testing should not be used for screening in this age group.[48]	ACOG, AAFP: For women aged 21–29 years, cytology testing alone every 3 years is recommended.[49,50]	ACOG, ACS, and USPSTF guidelines are all similar and appropriate for this age group.
Adults Vaccine (21–29 years, with a cervix and without history of in utero diethylstilbestrol exposure, immunocompromise, prior high-grade precancerous cervical lesion, or cervical cancer)			
Human papillomavirus vaccine is recommended for women 26 years and younger according to the ACIP schedule. (Strong)	ACIP: Girls aged 11 years or 12 years should receive bivalent or quadrivalent HPV vaccine. The vaccine can be given as early as 9 years of age and should be given to women aged 13–26 years who have not been previously vaccinated.[50] Bivalent or quadrivalent HPV vaccine should be given to women 21–26 years who have not been previously vaccinated.[56,57]	AAP, AAFP, ACP, ACOG, ACNM: ACIP adult and childhood vaccination schedules are endorsed.[53]	
Adults Screening/Testing (30–65 years, with a cervix and without history of in utero diethylstilbestrol exposure, immunocompromise, prior high-grade precancerous cervical lesion, or cervical cancer)			
Screening for cervical cancer with a combination of cytology and HPV testing (co-testing) is recommended every 5 years in women with a cervix who have not had prior high-grade precancerous cervical lesion or cancer, who have not been exposed to diethylstilbestrol in utero, or who are not immunocompromised. Screening with cytology testing alone every 3 years is acceptable. (Strong)	IOM: Recommends the addition of high-risk HPV DNA testing in addition to cytology testing in women with normal cytology results. Screening should begin at 30 years of age and should occur no more frequently than every 3 years.[58] USPSTF: Recommends cytology testing every 3 years. For women who want to lengthen the screening interval, combine cytology and HPV testing every 5 years. The recommendation does not apply to women who have received a diagnosis of a high-grade precancerous cervical lesion or cervical cancer, women with in utero exposure to diethylstilbestrol, or women who are immunocompromised (such as those who are HIV positive).[47] ACS/ASCCP/ASCP: Human papillomavirus and cytology testing (co-testing) every 5 years is the preferred approach. Cytology testing alone every 3 years is acceptable. Screening by HPV testing alone is not recommended for most clinical settings.[48]	ACOG: Cytology testing alone every 3 years is acceptable. Co-testing is preferable.[49] AAFP: Cytology testing alone every 3 years is recommended. For women who want to lengthen the screening interval, co-testing every 5 years is recommended.[50]	Despite conflicting opinions among the major sources of recommendations, co-testing is an acceptable strategy that allows lengthening of screening intervals.
Adults Screening/Testing (21 years and older, without a cervix)			
Screening for cervical cancer is not recommended for women who have had a hysterectomy with removal of the cervix provided they have no history of CIN2 or higher in the past 20 years. (Strong)	USPSTF: Screening for cervical cancer is not recommended in women who have had a hysterectomy with removal of the cervix and who do not have a history of a high-grade precancerous lesion (CIN 2 or 3) or cervical cancer. The recommendation does not apply to women who have received a diagnosis of a high-grade precancerous cervical lesion or cervical cancer, women with in utero exposure to diethylstilbestrol, or women who are immunocompromised (such as those who are HIV positive).[47] ACS/ASCCP/ASCP: After hysterectomy, screening is not recommended. The recommendation applies to women without a cervix and without a history of cervical cancer or CIN 2 or a more severe diagnosis in the past 20 years.[48]	ACOG: Do not screen women after total hysterectomy who have no history of CIN 2 or higher.[49] AAFP: Do not screen women who have had hysterectomy with removal of cervix and who do not have a history of high-grade precancerous lesion (CIN 2 or 3) or cervical cancer.[50]	

TOPIC: COLORECTAL SCREENING
(45+ or 40 depending on risk)

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adults (45–75 years) Assessment			
Individualized assessment of risk, including family history and individualized counseling, is recommended. (Strong)	ACP: Individualized assessment for risk of colorectal cancer is recommended.[59]		
Adults (45–75 years) Screening			
Screening for colorectal cancer is recommended beginning at age 50 years. For African American women, screening should begin at age 45 years. (Strong)	USPSTF: Screening for colorectal cancer should begin at age 50 years and continue to age 75 years.[60]	ACP: All adults at average risk of colorectal cancer should be screened.[59] ACG: Screening should start at age 50 years. For African Americans, begin screening age 45 years.[61] ACOG: Screening should start at age 50 years. For African Americans, begin screening at age 45 years.[2]	Screening for all adults at average risk should begin at age 50 years, except for African Americans, for whom screening should begin at age 45 years.
Adults (45–75 years) Method of Screening			
Screening is recommended by one of the following methods: **• Colonoscopy every 10 years** **• Fecal occult blood testing or fecal immunochemical test annually** **• Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 5 years** **• Double contrast barium enema every 5 years** **• Computed tomography colonography every 5 years** **• Stool DNA, no interval determined** **Screening should not be performed using in-office fecal occult blood test-ing or fecal immunochemical test with sample collected during digital rectal examination. (Strong)**	USPSTF: The following methods of screening are recommended: Fecal occult blood testing Sigmoidoscopy Colonoscopy There is insufficient evidence to assess the benefits or harms of computed tomographic colonography and fecal DNA testing as screening modalities.[60]	AAFP: The following methods of screening are recommended: Fecal occult blood testing Sigmoidoscopy Colonoscopy There is insufficient evidence to assess the benefits or harms of computed tomographic colonography and fecal DNA testing as screening modalities.[62] ACP: The following methods of screening are recommended:[59] Stool-based test Flexible sigmoidoscopy Optical colonoscopy ACOG: Colonoscopy every 10 years is preferred method of screening. The following methods are acceptable: • Fecal occult blood testing or fecal immunochemical test annually • Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 5 years • Double contrast barium enema every 5 years • Computed tomography colonography every 5 years • Stool DNA (no interval determined) Do not use in-office fecal occult blood testing or fecal immunochemical test with samples collected during digital rectal examination.[2] ACG: Screening by colonoscopy is recommended. If colonoscopy is not available or affordable:[61] Flexible sigmoidoscopy every 5–10 years Computed tomography colonography every 5 years Cancer detection test (fecal immunochemical test for blood)	
Screening for Women at Increased Risk			
Screening for colorectal cancer with optical colonoscopy is recommended for women at increased risk, beginning at age 40 years or 10 years younger than the age at which the youngest affected relative was diagnosed with colorectal cancer. (Strong)		ACP: Screen via optical colonoscopy starting at age 40 years or 10 years younger than the age at which the youngest affected relative was diagnosed with colorectal cancer.[59]	
Treatment of Women at Increased Risk			
Prophylactic treatment is not recommended for women at increased risk of colorectal cancer. (Strong)		AAFP: Do not use aspirin or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to prevent colorectal cancer in individuals at risk for colorectal cancer.[62]	
Genetic Testing of Women at Increased Risk			
Women with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer should be offered genetic testing for Lynch syndrome to reduce morbidity and mortality to relatives. (Strong) **Women who are carriers of hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer may be offered genetic counseling and should undergo colonoscopy every 2 years beginning at age 20–25 years until age 40 years, then annually thereafter. (Strong)** **For women with familial adenomatous polyposis, adenomatous polyposis coli mutation testing is recommended; if negative, MYH-associated polyposis mutation testing is recommended. For women with familial adenomatous polyposis or at risk of familial adenomatous polyposis based on family history, annual flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy is recommended until such time when colectomy is deemed appropriate by the patient and her physician. (Strong)**		AAFP: Genetic testing for Lynch syndrome is recommended for patients with newly diagnosed colorectal cancer to reduce morbidity and mortality to relatives. Those with Lynch syndrome should be offered earlier and more frequent screening, and their first-degree relatives should be offered genetic testing.[62] ACG: Patients who are hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (Lynch syndrome) carriers may be offered genetic counseling and should undergo colonoscopy every 2 years beginning at age 20–25 years until age 40 years, then annually thereafter. Patients with familial adenomatous polyposis should undergo adenomatous polyposis coli mutation testing and, if negative, MYH-associated polyposis mutation testing. Patients with familial adenomatous polyposis or at risk of familial adenomatous polyposis based on family history should undergo annual flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy until such time when colectomy is deemed the best treatment by the patient and provider.[61]	

TOPIC: CONTRACEPTION, STIs, & REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH
(*See also* Alcohol Misuse, Preconception/Interconception Care, Sexual Health)

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents (13–18 years) Methods			
Adolescents of reproductive capacity should be counseled regarding contraceptive methods (including postponing sexual activity); ensured access to basic contraceptive services, either within the office setting or by referral to appropriate services; and offered appropriate follow-up to ensure compliance and monitor for adverse effects and complications. (Strong)	IOM, CDC: Recommends that women with reproductive capacity have access to the full range of FDA-approved contraceptive methods, sterilization procedures, and patient education and counseling.[58,63]	ACOG: Recommends preventing unwanted and unintended pregnancy by postponing sexual involvement or using contraceptive options, including emergency contraception.[2,64] Recommends first reproductive care visit at ages 13–15 years, prior to sexual activity (usually does not include a pelvic examination).[65] AAP: Pediatricians should counsel about and ensure access to a broad range of contraceptive services for their adolescent patients.[66] AAP Bright Futures: Integrate sexuality education into the longitudinal relationship developed through care experiences with the preadolescent child, the adolescent, and the family.[67]	Adolescent visit provides an opportunity to establish a relationship. Content of visit can include screening, education, and guidance on sexual development, menses, HPV vaccine, preventing pregnancy, STIs, and other sexual health issues.[68]
Adolescents (13–18 years) Screening/Testing			
Annual chlamydia and gonorrhea testing is recommended for those who are sexually active. (Strong)	CDC: Routine screening *for Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in all sexually active women at risk for infection is recommended annually. Women aged 25 years or younger are at highest risk of gonorrhea infection. Routine screening for *Chlamydia trachomatis* of all sexually active females aged 25 years or younger is recommended annually.[69] USPSTF: Recommends that clinicians screen all sexually active women, including those who are pregnant, for gonorrhea infection if they are at increased risk for infection (that is, if they are young or have other individual or population risk factors). Women and men under the age of 25 years—including sexually active adolescents—are at highest risk of genital gonorrhea infection (Grade B).[70] Recommends screening for chlamydia infection for all sexually active, nonpregnant young women aged 24 years and younger and for older nonpregnant women who are at increased risk (Grade A).[71] Recommends high-intensity behavioral counseling to prevent STIs for all sexually active adolescents and for adults at increased risk of STIs (Grade B).[72]	AAP: Routine laboratory screening for nonviral STIs as recommended in published screening guidelines for sexually active adolescents.[73] These recommendations summarize published federal agency and medical professional organizations' clinical guidance for all sexually active adolescents. ACOG: Recommends chlamydia and gonorrhea testing, if sexually active. Urine-based STI screening is an efficient method without a speculum examination.[2]	
Adolescents (13–18 years) Prevention of Unwanted/Unintended Pregnancy			
	CDC: Prevent unwanted or unintended pregnancy by assessing the woman's need for contraceptive methods and those that are available for her.[63,74]		
Adults (all reproductive-aged women)			
Adult women of reproductive capacity should be evaluated at least annually regarding contraceptive method use and satisfaction. Women with reproductive capacity should have access to the full range of FDA-approved contraceptive methods, sterilization procedures, and patient education and counseling appropriate to their childbearing intentions. (Strong)	IOM, CDC: Recommends that women with reproductive capacity have access to the full range of FDA-approved contraceptive methods, sterilization procedures, and patient education and counseling.[63,58] CDC: Prevent unwanted or unintended pregnancy by assessing the woman's need for contraceptive methods and those that are available for her.[63,74,75] Safety, efficacy, availability, and acceptability should be considered by women, men, or couples when choosing the most appropriate contraceptive method. Voluntary informed choice of contraceptive methods is an essential guiding principle, and contraceptive counseling, where applicable, may be an important contributor to the successful use of contraceptive methods. Assessment as to the safety of a given contraceptive method for a person with a particular characteristic or medical condition should be included in counseling.[74]	ACOG: Prevent unwanted or unintended pregnancy by assessing the woman's need for contraceptive methods and those that are available for her.[2]	Time to counsel, educate, and solve problems regarding contraceptive needs and management should be part of any given visit. Alternatively, arrangements should be made for a separate visit for contraception follow-up.
Adults (all reproductive-aged women) Method			
Women who use contraceptive methods other than condoms should be counseled about the use of condoms and the risk for STIs. (Strong)	CDC: In choosing a method of contraception, the risk of STIs, including HIV, also must be considered. Although hormonal contraceptives and IUDs are highly effective at preventing pregnancy, they do not protect against STIs. Consistent and correct use of the male latex condom reduces the risk for STIs. When a male condom cannot be used properly for infection prevention, a female condom should be considered.[63,69,75]		
Adults (all reproductive-aged women) Postpartum			
Evidence-based guidance should be used in contraceptive counseling and prescribing during the immediate postpartum period. (Strong)	CDC: Unintended pregnancy and short interpregnancy birth spacing contribute to poor maternal and newborn outcomes. Initiation of contraception during the postpartum period is important to prevent unintended pregnancy and short birth intervals, which can lead to negative health outcomes for mother and infant. Venous thromboembolism risk should be assessed when recommending and prescribing contraception during the immediate postpartum period.[63,75]		
Adults (all ages) Sexual History			
A sexual history and risk assessment for STI exposure should be incorporated into each well-woman visit. Screening should be instituted according to the CDC STD Treatment Guidelines. (Strong)	USPSTF: Recommends high-intensity behavioral counseling to prevent STIs for all sexually active adolescents and for adults at increased risk for STIs.[72] CDC: Health care providers should routinely and regularly obtain sexual histories from their patients and address management of risk reduction for STIs.[69,71,72]		

TOPIC: DEPRESSION

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents (13–18 years) *(See also Mental Health and Psychosocial Issues/Suicide/Behavioral Assessment; Preconception/Interconception Care)*			
Annual screening of adolescents for depressive disorders, using a validated tool, is recommended. (Qualified) **Screening postpartum adolescents for depression, using a validated tool, is recommended. (Qualified)**	USPSTF: Recommends screening adolescents (12–18 years of age) for major depressive disorder when systems are in place to ensure accurate diagnosis, psychotherapy (cognitive-behavioral or interpersonal), and follow-up (Grade B).[16]	AMA: Screen adolescents who may be at risk as a result of family problems, drug or alcohol use, or other indicators of risk.[17,18] AAP Bright Futures: Recommends universal screening for depression in adolescents ages 11–21 years. Ask questions about depression in routine history-taking throughout adolescence. Patients with depression risk factors should be identified and systematically monitored over time.[4] AAFP: Screen for major depressive disorder when systems are in place to ensure accurate diagnosis, psychotherapy (cognitive-behavioral or interpersonal), and follow-up.[19] AWHONN: Health care facilities that serve pregnant women, new mothers, and newborns should have routine screening protocols and educational mechanisms for staff training and education related to postpartum mood and anxiety disorders.[20] ACOG: Recommends asking annually about emotions and behaviors that indicate recurrent or severe depression and thoughts of killing or harming themselves.[5] Recommends screening in practices that have systems in place to ensure accurate diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up.[1] ACNM: Recommends universal screening, treatment, or referral for depression as part of routine primary health care. Recommends increasing the number of postpartum visits for all women.[21]	Patients should not be denied recommended screening because of any limitation of local resources. Rather, providers should have plans for referral, even if it means the patient will have to travel outside her community. Providers are encouraged to develop initial management skills that are within the scope of their specialty and practice to meet local patient needs. Patients should be counseled about limitations in local resources at the time of testing.
Adults (19 years and older) *(See also Mental Health and Psychosocial Issues/Suicide/Behavioral Assessment; Preconception/Interconception Care)*			
Annual screening for depression, using a validated tool, is recommended. (Qualified) **Screening postpartum women for depression, using a validated tool, is recommended. (Qualified)**	USPSTF: Recommends against routinely screening adults for depression when staff-assisted depression care supports are not in place. There may be considerations that support screening for depression in an individual patient (Grade C).[22] Recommends screening may be most productive in patients with a history of depression, unexplained somatic symptoms, comorbid psychological conditions (eg, panic disorder or generalized anxiety), substance abuse, or chronic pain. Recommends screening adults for depression when staff-assisted depression care supports are in place to assure accurate diagnosis, effective treatment, and follow up (Grade B).[16]	AWHONN: Health care facilities that serve pregnant women, new mothers, and newborns should have routine screening protocols and educational mechanisms for staff training and client education related to postpartum mood and anxiety disorders.[20] AAFP: Do not screen when staff-assisted depression care supports are not in place.[19] Screen when staff-assisted depression care supports are in place to assure accurate diagnosis, effective treatment, and follow-up.[19] ACOG: Evaluate and counsel women for suicide and depressive symptoms.[1] Recommends screening in practices that have systems in place to ensure accurate diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up.[1] ACNM: Recommends universal screening, treatment and/or referral for depression as part of routine primary health care. Recommends increasing the number of postpartum visits for all women.[21] PCHHC: Providers should screen and be vigilant for depression and anxiety disorders among women of reproductive age because treating or controlling these conditions before pregnancy may help prevent negative pregnancy and family outcomes. Women of reproductive age with depressive and anxiety disorders who are planning a pregnancy or who could become pregnant should be informed about the potential risks of an untreated illness during pregnancy and about the risks and benefits of various treatments during pregnancy (B-III).[10]	Patients should not be denied recommended screening because of any limitation of local resources. Rather, providers should have plans for referral, even if it means the patient will have to travel outside her community. Providers are encouraged to develop initial management skills that are within the scope of their specialty and practice to meet local patient needs. Patients should be counseled about limitations in local resources at the time of testing.

TOPIC: DIABETES

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents (13–18 years) *(See also Cardiovascular Disease/Dyslipidemia; Diabetes Postpartum; Diet, Fitness, Nutrition; Obesity)*			
Routine screening for diabetes in adolescents is not recommended except for those who are obese, defined as a body mass index (BMI) of 30 or higher. (Qualified)			There is no recommendation for routine screening in asymptomatic adolescents.
Adults *(See also Cardiovascular Disease/Dyslipidemia; Diabetes Postpartum; Diet, Fitness, Nutrition; Obesity)*			
Screening for diabetes is recommended every 3 years beginning at age 45 years or earlier for those with risk factors for diabetes. (Strong)	USPSTF: Screen for diabetes in adults with blood pressure over 135/85 mm Hg.[6]	AAFP: Screen asymptomatic adults with sustained blood pressure (either treated or untreated) greater than 135/80 mm Hg.[19] ACOG: Begin screening every 3 years beginning at age 45 years, more frequently if risk factors are present.[1] American Diabetes Association: Begin screening every 3 years beginning at age 45 years, more frequently if risk factors are present.[23] AACE: Begin screening at age 30 years.[24]	Currently there is no expert consensus.

TOPIC: DIABETES POSTPARTUM

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
All Women of Reproductive Capacity *(See also Diabetes)*			
For patients with a history of gestational diabetes, laboratory screening for diabetes is recommended at 6–12 weeks postpartum and follow-up screening at least every 3 years is recommended. (Strong)		ACOG: Recommends screening 6–12 weeks postpartum, then every 3 years.[25] American Diabetes Association: Recommends screening 6–12 weeks postpartum, then every 3 years.[6]	

TOPIC: DIET, FITNESS, NUTRITION

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents and Adults (all ages) *(See also Cardiovascular Disease/Dyslipidemia, Obesity, Preconception/Interconception Care)*			
Routine weight assessment using BMI calculation is recommended. For women with risk factors for diet-related chronic disease, BMI less than 19 or greater than 25, assessment of diet, nutritional status, and physical activity, followed by intensive counseling and behavioral interventions, is recommended. (Qualified)	USPSTF: Recommends intensive behavioral dietary counseling for adult patients with hyperlipidemia and other known risk factors for cardiovascular and diet-related chronic disease. All adult patients should be screened for obesity and offered intensive counseling and behavioral interventions.[26]	AAP: Recommends intensive behavioral dietary counseling for adult patients with hyperlipidemia and other known risk factors for cardiovascular and diet-related chronic disease. All adult patients should be screened for obesity and offered intensive counseling and behavioral interventions. Also recommends evaluation and counseling on physical activity.[7] American Dietetic Association: Recommends intensive behavioral dietary counseling for adult patients with hyperlipidemia and other known risk factors for cardiovascular and diet-related chronic disease. All adult patients should be screened for obesity and offered intensive counseling and behavioral interventions. Also recommends evaluation and counseling on physical activity.[27] AAP Bright Futures: Recommends assessing BMI annually.[4]	All agree that diet, nutrition, and exercise are keys to good health and improved health and can prevent illness. However, the science on how this is best accomplished is debatable.

TOPIC: DOMESTIC AND INTIMATE PARTNER VIOLENCE

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents (13–18 years) *(See also Preconception/Interconception Care)*			
Screening is recommended at least annually for intimate partner violence, such as domestic violence or reproductive or sexual coercion. Provide or refer women who screen positive to intervention services. (Strong)	USPSTF: Current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of primary care interventions to prevent child maltreatment (aged 0–18 years) (Grade I).[28] Recommends that clinicians screen women of childbearing age for intimate partner violence, such as domestic violence, and provide or refer women who screen positive to intervention services (Grade B).[29] IOM: Screening and counseling involve elicitation of information from women and adolescents about current and past violence and abuse in a culturally sensitive and supportive manner to address current health concerns about safety and other current or future health problems.[30]	AAP: Consider screening adolescents if they say they have a new intimate partner, when signs or symptoms raise concerns, or during any prenatal visits.[4] Screen for intimate partner violence and sexual coercion at least annually and with each new partner. (If a patient has multiple visits, for pregnancy or STI testing, consider these as clinical indictors to assess more frequently.) ACOG: Screen periodically in all women (eg, annual visit, new patient, postpartum visit) and in women at risk (signs of depression, substance abuse, mental health problems, requests for repeat pregnancy tests when the woman does not wish to be pregnant, new or recurrent STIs, requests for STI testing, expresses fear when negotiating condom use).[31] Signs or symptoms of abuse or neglect should prompt risk assessment. Evidence of neglect or abuse must be reported to law enforcement agencies as required by state or federal laws and regulations.[32]	
Adults (all ages) *(See also Preconception/Interconception Care)*			
Screening is recommended at least annually for intimate partner violence, such as domestic violence or reproductive or sexual coercion. Provide or refer women who screen positive to intervention services. (Strong)	IOM: Screening and counseling involve elicitation of information from women and adolescents about current and past violence and abuse in a culturally sensitive and supportive manner to address current health concerns about safety and other current or future health problems.[30] USPSTF: Recommends that clinicians screen women of childbearing age for intimate partner violence, such as domestic violence, and provide or refer women who screen positive to intervention services (Grade B).[29] Current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening all elderly or vulnerable adults (physically or mentally dysfunctional) for abuse and neglect (Grade I).[33]	AAP: Screen for intimate partner violence and sexual coercion at least annually and with each new partner. (If a patient has multiple visits, for pregnancy or STI testing, consider these as clinical indictors to assess more frequently.) ACOG: Screen periodically in all women (eg, annual visit, new patient, and postpartum visit) and in women at risk (signs of depression, substance abuse, mental health problems, requests for repeat pregnancy tests when the woman does not wish to be pregnant, new or recurrent STIs, requests STI testing, expressing fear when negotiating condom use).[31] Signs or symptoms of abuse or neglect should prompt risk assessment. Evidence of neglect or abuse must be reported to law enforcement agencies as required by state or federal laws and regulations.[32] AAFP: Screen women of childbearing age for intimate partner violence and provide or refer women who screen positive to intervention services; this applies to women who do not have signs or symptoms of abuse. (Grade B).[34] There is insufficient evidence to assess the benefits and harms of screening elderly and vulnerable adults for abuse and neglect (Grade I).[35]	

TOPIC: DRUG USE

Final WWTF Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Uniform Expert Agreement
Adolescents (13–18 years) *(See also Preconception/Interconception Care)*			
At least annual screening for substance abuse by history (not laboratory testing) is recommended. Provide or refer patients to counseling as needed. (Strong)	USPSTF: Current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening adolescents, adults, and pregnant women for illicit drug use (Grade I).[36]	ACOG: Recommends annual screening by questionnaire or history (not laboratory testing) for illicit drugs, prescription and nonprescription medications, and performance-enhancing drugs.[8] Evaluate and counsel on substance use other than alcohol and tobacco.[8] AAP: Evaluation and counseling and screening are part of an age-appropriate comprehensive history. Recommends annual screening for alcohol, tobacco, and substance abuse. Strongly advise against the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other illicit drugs by youth.[4] PCHHC: A careful history should be obtained to identify use of illegal substances as part of the preconception risk assessment. Men and women should be counseled about the risks of using illicit drugs before and during pregnancy and offered information on programs that support abstinence and rehabilitation. Contraception services should be offered, and pregnancy should be delayed until individuals are drug-free.[10] AAFP: Current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening adolescents, adults, and pregnant women for illicit drug use (Grade I).[37] NAHIC: Recommends annual screening and counseling for substance abuse.[38] AHRQ: Screen by history for substance use at every health maintenance examination or initial pregnancy visit (repeat as indicated), using a validated screening tool (improves accuracy of detecting substance abuse or dependence) (Grade D).[39] NCQA: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set discusses engagement in treatment and referral for smoking cessation and alcohol and marijuana dependence.[40]	
Adults (all ages) *(See also Preconception/Interconception Care)*			
At least annual screening for substance abuse by history (not laboratory testing) is recommended. Provide or refer patients to counseling as needed. (Strong)	USPSTF: Current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening adolescents, adults, and pregnant women for illicit drug use (Grade I).[36]	ACOG: Evaluate and counsel on substance use other than alcohol and tobacco.[1] PCHHC: A careful history should be obtained to identify use of illegal substances as part of the preconception risk assessment. Men and women should be counseled about the risks of using illicit drugs before and during pregnancy and offered information on programs that support abstinence and rehabilitation. Contraception services should be offered, and pregnancy should be delayed until individuals are drug-free.[10] AAFP: Current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening adolescents, adults, and pregnant women for illicit drug use.[41] NAHIC: Recommends annual screening and counseling for substance abuse.[42] AHRQ: Screen by history for substance use at every health maintenance examination or initial pregnancy visit (repeat as indicated), using a validated screening tool (improves accuracy of detecting substance abuse or dependence) (Grade D).[43] NCQA: Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set discusses engagement in treatment and referral for smoking cessation and alcohol and marijuana dependence.[44]	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 1: Promote digital literacy and awareness among farmers and other agri-food system actors, with additional focus on those who are most at risk of being left behind, including women, youth, and smallholders, to ensure equitable and meaningful participation in digital agriculture. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 2: Ensure that digital agriculture initiatives are accessible to all users, including those with limited literacy, by using simple, clear, and culturally appropriate interfaces and multimedia formats, such as audio and video, to enhance inclusion and effective use. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 3: Develop digital literacy programs tailored to enhancing the capacity of farmers, extension officers, and other agri-food system actors to effectively use digital tools and platforms for agricultural production, marketing, and decision-making. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 4: Integrate digital literacy into existing extension services and farmer training programs, leveraging trusted local networks and peer learning to maximize reach and impact. (Strong)	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 5: Provide ongoing capacity building and support to extension officers and other agri-food system actors to ensure they are equipped to guide farmers in using digital tools and platforms effectively. (Strong)	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 6: Develop and promote digital tools and platforms that are user-friendly, intuitive, and accessible, ensuring that they are adaptable to the needs and capabilities of different users. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 7: Ensure that digital agriculture initiatives are accessible to all users, including those with limited literacy, by using simple, clear, and culturally appropriate interfaces and multimedia formats, such as audio and video, to enhance inclusion and effective use. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 8: Leverage digital tools to facilitate peer learning and knowledge sharing among farmers, enhancing the overall digital literacy and capacity within the agricultural community. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 9: Develop and promote digital tools and platforms that are user-friendly, intuitive, and accessible, ensuring that they are adaptable to the needs and capabilities of different users. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 10: Integrate digital literacy into existing extension services and farmer training programs, leveraging trusted local networks and peer learning to maximize reach and impact. (Strong)	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 11: Provide ongoing capacity building and support to extension officers and other agri-food system actors to ensure they are equipped to guide farmers in using digital tools and platforms effectively. (Strong)	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 12: Develop and promote digital tools and platforms that are user-friendly, intuitive, and accessible, ensuring that they are adaptable to the needs and capabilities of different users. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 13: Ensure that digital agriculture initiatives are accessible to all users, including those with limited literacy, by using simple, clear, and culturally appropriate interfaces and multimedia formats, such as audio and video, to enhance inclusion and effective use. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 14: Leverage digital tools to facilitate peer learning and knowledge sharing among farmers, enhancing the overall digital literacy and capacity within the agricultural community. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 15: Develop and promote digital tools and platforms that are user-friendly, intuitive, and accessible, ensuring that they are adaptable to the needs and capabilities of different users. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 16: Integrate digital literacy into existing extension services and farmer training programs, leveraging trusted local networks and peer learning to maximize reach and impact. (Strong)	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 17: Provide ongoing capacity building and support to extension officers and other agri-food system actors to ensure they are equipped to guide farmers in using digital tools and platforms effectively. (Strong)	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. GSMA, 2020 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 18: Develop and promote digital tools and platforms that are user-friendly, intuitive, and accessible, ensuring that they are adaptable to the needs and capabilities of different users. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	
Recommendation 19: Ensure that digital agriculture initiatives are accessible to all users, including those with limited literacy, by using simple, clear, and culturally appropriate interfaces and multimedia formats, such as audio and video, to enhance inclusion and effective use. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	

Digital Literacy & Awareness in Agriculture

Study/WHO Recommendation	Evidence Based	Evidence Informed	Evidence Based Agnostic
Recommendation 20: Leverage digital tools to facilitate peer learning and knowledge sharing among farmers, enhancing the overall digital literacy and capacity within the agricultural community. (Strong)	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture.	Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were more likely to use mobile services for agricultural information, underscoring the importance of digital literacy and access in shaping equitable participation in digital agriculture. Kirkpatrick, 2017 (Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia): Farmers in Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia (n = 1,000) were surveyed on their use of and preferences for mobile phone services, including agricultural information services. Results showed that farmers with more education and better access to mobile phones were

Some references cited have been updated or archived since the Task Force meeting and, therefore, are for historical purposes only.

References

[1]Well-woman visit. Committee Opinion No. 534. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2012;120:421-4.

PMID: 22825111.

[2]American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Well-woman recommendations. Washington, DC: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2015. Available at: http://www.acog.org/About-ACOG/ACOG-Departments/Annual-Womens-Health-Care/Well-Woman-Recommendations. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[3]American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Guidelines for women's health care. 4th ed. Washington, DC: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2014.

[4] American Academy of Pediatrics. Recommendations for preventive pediatric health care. Elk Grove Village (IL): AAP; 2014. Available at: https://www.aap.org/en-us/professional-resources/practice-support/Periodicity/Periodicity%20Schedule_FINAL.pdf. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[5]Moyer VA. Screening and behavioral counseling interventions in primary care to reduce alcohol misuse: U.S. preventive services task force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2013;159:210-8.

PMID: 23698791.

[6]Tanski S, Garfunkel LC, Duncan PM, Weitzman M, editors. Performing preventive services: A bright futures handbook. Elk Grove Village (IL): American Academy of Pediatrics; 2010. Available at: http://brightfutures.aap.org/continuing_education.html. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[7]Kokotailo PK. Alcohol use by youth and adolescents: a pediatric concern. Committee on Substance Abuse. Pediatrics 2010;125:1078-87.

PMID: 20385640.

[8]American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Guidelines for adolescent health care [CD-ROM]. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2011.

[9] At-risk drinking and alcohol dependence: obstetric and gynecologic implications. Committee Opinion No. 496. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2011;118:383-8.

PMID: 21775870.

[10]Jack BW, Atrash H, Coonrod DV, Moos MK, O'Donnell J, Johnson K. The clinical content of preconception care: an overview and preparation of this supplement. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2008;199:S266-79.

PMID: 19081421.

[11]American Academy of Family Physicians. Alcohol misuse: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/alcohol-misuse.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[12]Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Binge drinking: a serious, under-recognized problem among women and girls. CDC Vital signs. Atlanta (GA): CDC; 2013. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/pdf/2013-01-vitalsigns.pdf. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[13]National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Alcohol screening and brief intervention for youth: a practitioner's guide. Bethesda (MD): NIAAA; 2011. Available at: http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/Practitioner/YouthGuide/YouthGuide.pdf. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[14]U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Iron deficiency anemia: screening. Rockville (MD): USPSTF; 2006. Available at: http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/uspsiron.htm. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[15]Screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria in adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force reaffirmation recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2008;149:43-7.

PMID: 18591636.

[16] American Academy of Family Physicians. Asymptomatic bacteriuria: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/bacteriuria.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[17]Screening for high blood pressure: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force reaffirmation recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:783-6.

PMID: 18056662.

[18]Moyer VA. Screening for primary hypertension in children and adolescents: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Pediatrics 2013;132:907-14.

PMID: 24101758.

[19]American Academy of Family Physicians. Summary of recommendations for clinical preventive services. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2012. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/patient_care/clinical_recommendations/October2012SCPS.pdf. Retrieved June 29, 2015.

[20]Nelson HD, Tyne K, Naik A, Bougatsos C, Chan BK, Humphrey L. Screening for breast cancer: an update for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:727-37, W237-42.

PMID: 19920273.

[21]Nelson HD, Tyne K, Naik A, Bougatsos C, Chan B, Humphrey L. Screening for breast cancer: systematic evidence review update for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Evidence Review Update No. 74. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2009. Available at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK36392/pdf/TOC.pdf. Retrieved April 9, 2015.

[22]Kösters JP, Gøtzsche PC. Regular self-examination or clinical examination for early detection of breast cancer. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2003, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD003373. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003373.

[23]Breast cancer screening. Practice Bulletin No. 122. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2011;118:372-82.

PMID: 21775869.

[24]National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Ft. Washington (PA): NCCN; 2015. Available at: http://www.nccn.org/default.aspx. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[25]American Cancer Society. Breast cancer prevention and early detection. Atlanta (GA): ACS; 2014. Available at: http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/cid/documents/webcontent/003165-pdf.pdf. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[26]Moyer VA. Medications to decrease the risk for breast cancer in women: recommendations from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2013;159:698-708.

PMID: 24061412.

[27]Medications for risk reduction of primary breast cancer in women: recommendation statement. Am Fam Physician 2014;90:652a-652d. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/afp/2014/1101/od1.pdf. Retrieved April 9, 2015.

PMID: 25368932.

[28]Nelson HD, Tyne K, Naik A, Bougatsos C, Chan BK, Humphrey L. Screening for breast cancer: an update for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:727-37, W237-42.

PMID: 19920273.

[29]Qaseem A, Snow V, Sherif K, Aronson M, Weiss KB, Owens DK. Screening mammography for women 40 to 49 years of age: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Clinical Efficacy Assessment Subcommittee of the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2007;146:511-5.

PMID: 17404353.

[30]American Academy of Family Physicians. Breast Cancer: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/breast-cancer.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[31]Association of Women's Health, Obstetric & Neonatal Nursing. Breast cancer screening. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2010;39:608-10.

PMID: 20698934.

[32]Ringash J. Preventive health care, 2001 update: screening mammography among women aged 40-49 years at average risk of breast cancer. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care [published erratum appears in CMAJ 2001;164:753]. CMAJ 2001;164:469-76.

PMID: 11233866.

[33]Smith RA, Saslow D, Sawyer KA, Burke W, Costanza ME, Evans WP, 3rd, et al. American Cancer Society guidelines for breast cancer screening: update 2003. American Cancer Society High-Risk Work Group, American Cancer Society Screening Older Women Work Group, American Cancer Society Mammography Work Group, American Cancer Society Physical Examination Work Group, American Cancer Society New Technologies Work Group, and American Cancer Society Breast Cancer Advisory Group. CA Cancer J Clin 2003;53:141-69.

PMID: 12809408.

[34]Mandelblatt JS, Cronin KA, Bailey S, Berry DA, de Koning HJ, Draisma G, et al. Effects of mammography screening under different screening schedules: model estimates of potential benefits and harms. Breast Cancer Working Group of the Cancer Intervention and Surveillance Modeling Network [published erratum appears in Ann Intern Med 2010;152:136]. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:738-47.

PMID: 19920274.

[35]American Cancer Society. American Cancer Society guidelines for the early detection of cancer. Dallas (TX): ACS; 2014. Available at: http://www.cancer.org/healthy/findcancerearly/cancerscreeningguidelines/american-cancer-society-guidelines-for-the-early-detection-of-cancer. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[36]Oestreicher N, Lehman CD, Seger DJ, Buist DS, White E. The incremental contribution of clinical breast examination to invasive cancer detection in a mammography screening program. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2005;184:428-32.

PMID: 15671358.

[37]Chiarelli AM, Majpruz V, Brown P, Theriault M, Shumak R, Mai V. The contribution of clinical breast examination to the accuracy of breast screening. J Natl Cancer Inst 2009;101:1236-43.

PMID: 19720967.

[38]Tonelli M, Connor Gorber S, Joffres M, Dickinson J, Singh H, Lewin G, et al. Recommendations on screening for breast cancer in average-risk women aged 40-74 years. Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care [published erratum appears in CMAJ 2011;183:2147]. CMAJ 2011;183:1991-2001.

PMID: 22106103.

[39]World Health Organization. Breast cancer: prevention and control. Geneva: WHO; 2015. Available at: http://www.who.int/cancer/detection/breastcancer/en/. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[40]Screening for breast cancer in women at average risk: guideline syntheses. Rockville (MD): National Guideline Clearinghouse; 2015. Available at: http://www.guideline.gov/syntheses/synthesis.aspx?id=48927. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[41]Screening for carotid artery stenosis: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force [published erratum appears in Ann Intern Med 2008;148:248]. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:854-9.

PMID: 18087056.

[42]Moyer VA. Screening for coronary heart disease with electrocardiography: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2012;157:512-8.

PMID: 22847227.

[43]LeFevre ML. Screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2014;161:281-90.

PMID: 24957320.

[44]Moyer VA. Vitamin, mineral, and multivitamin supplements for the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer: U.S. Preventive services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2014;160:558-64.

PMID: 24566474.

[45]U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Lipid disorders in adults (cholesterol, dyslipidemia): screening. Rockville (MD): USPSTF; 2008. Available at: http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Topic/recommendation-summary/lipid-disorders-in-adults-cholesterol-dyslipidemia-screening. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[46]Aspirin for the prevention of cardiovascular disease: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2009;150:396-404.

PMID: 19293072.

[47]Moyer VA. Screening for cervical cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force [published erratum appears in Ann Intern Med 2013;158:852]. Ann Intern Med 2012;156:880-91, W312.

PMID: 22711081.

[48]Saslow D, Solomon D, Lawson HW, Killackey M, Kulasingam SL, Cain J, et al. American Cancer Society, American Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology, and American Society for Clinical Pathology screening guidelines for the prevention and early detection of cervical cancer. ACS-ASCCP-ASCP Cervical Cancer Guideline Committee. CA Cancer J Clin 2012;62:147-72.

PMID: 22422631.

[49]Screening for cervical cancer. Practice Bulletin No. 131. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2012;120:1222-38.

PMID: 23090560.

[50]American Academy of Family Physicians. Cervical cancer: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/cervical-cancer.html. Retrieved February 9, 2015.

[51]Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Human papillomavirus (HPV) ACIP vaccine recommendations. Atlanta (GA): CDC; 2014. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/hcp/acip-recs/vacc-specific/hpv.html. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[52]Human papillomavirus vaccination. Committee Opinion No. 588. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2014;123:712-8.

PMID: 24553168.

[53]American Academy of Family Physicians, American Academy of Pediatrics, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, American College of Physicians, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Immunization Action Coalition. Give a strong recommendation for HPV vaccine to increase uptake! Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2014. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/patient_care/immunizations/hpv-recommendation-letter.pdf. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[54]Association of Women's Health, Obstetric & Neonatal Nursing. HPV vaccination for the prevention of cervical cancer. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2010;39:129-30.

PMID: 20040016.

[55]Masur H, Brooks JT, Benson CA, Holmes KK, Pau AK, Kaplan JE. Prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents: Updated Guidelines from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institutes of Health, and HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. National Institutes of Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2014;58:1308-11.

PMID: 24585567.

[56]Bridges CB, Coyne-Beasley T. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices recommended immunization schedule for adults aged 19 years or older - United States, 2014. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), ACIP Adult Immunization Work Group, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014;63:110-2.

PMID: 24500291.

[57]Akinsanya-Beysolow I. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices recommended immunization schedules for persons aged 0 through 18 years - United States, 2014. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, ACIP Child/Adolescent Immunization Work Group, and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2014;63:108-9.

PMID: 24500290.

[58]Committee on Preventive Services for Women, editor. Clinical preventive services for women: Closing the gaps. Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine; 2011. Available at: http://www.nap.edu/download.php?record_id=13181. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[59]Qaseem A, Denberg TD, Hopkins RH, Jr, Humphrey LL, Levine J, Sweet DE, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer: a guidance statement from the American College of Physicians. Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians [published erratum appears in Ann Intern Med 2012;157:152]. Ann Intern Med 2012;156:378-86.

PMID: 22393133.

[60]Screening for colorectal cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2008;149:627-37.

PMID: 18838716.

[61]Rex DK, Johnson DA, Anderson JC, Schoenfeld PS, Burke CA, Inadomi JM. American College of Gastroenterology guidelines for colorectal cancer screening 2009. American College of Gastroenterology [published erratum appears in Am J Gastroenterol 2009;104:1613]. Am J Gastroenterol 2009;104:739-50.

PMID: 19240699.

[62]American Academy of Family Physicians. Colorectal cancer: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/colorectal-cancer.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[63]Gavin L, Moskosky S, Carter M, Curtis K, Glass E, Godfrey E, et al. Providing quality family planning services: Recommendations of CDC and the U.S. Office of Population Affairs. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR Recomm Rep 2014;63:1-54.

PMID: 24759690.

[64]Emergency contraception. Practice Bulletin No. 112. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; Obstet Gynecol 2010;115:1100-9.

PMID: 20410799.

[65]The initial reproductive health visit. Committee Opinion No. 598. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2014;123:1143-7.

PMID: 24785880.

[66]Blythe MJ, Diaz A. Contraception and adolescents. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Adolescence. Pediatrics 2007;120:1135-48.

PMID: 17974753.

[67]Promoting healthy sexual development and sexuality. In: Hagan JF, Shaw JS, Duncan PM, editors. Bright futures: guidelines for health supervision of infants, children, and adolescents. 3rd ed. Elk Grove Village (IL): AAP; 2008. p. 169-76. Available at: http://brightfutures.aap.org/pdfs/Guidelines_PDF/9-Promoting-Healthy-Sexual-Development.pdf. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[68]Hoover KW, Tao G, Berman S, Kent CK. Utilization of health services in physician offices and outpatient clinics by adolescents and young women in the United States: implications for improving access to reproductive health services. J Adolesc Health 2010;46:324-30.

PMID: 20307820.

[69]Workowski KA, Berman S. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2010. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [published erratum appears in MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2011;60:18]. MMWR Recomm Rep 2010;59:1-110.

PMID: 21160459.

[70]LeFevre ML. Screening for chlamydia and gonorrhea: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2014;161:902-10.

PMID: 25243785.

[71]Screening for chlamydial infection: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:128-34.

PMID: 17576996.

[72]LeFevre ML. Behavioral counseling interventions to prevent sexually transmitted infections: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2014;161:894-901.

PMID: 25244227.

[73]Screening for nonviral sexually transmitted infections in adolescents and young adults. Policy statement. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Adolescence, Society for Adolescent Health and Medicine. Pediatrics 2014;134:e302-11.

[74]U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2010. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR Recomm Rep 2010;59 (RR-4):1-86.

PMID: 20559203.

[75]Update to CDC's U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2010: revised recommendations for the use of contraceptive methods during the postpartum period. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2011;60:878-83.

PMID: 21734635.

[76]Screening and treatment for major depressive disorder in children and adolescents: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. US Preventive Services Task Force [published erratum appears in Pediatrics 2009;123:1611]. Pediatrics 2009;123:1223-8.

PMID: 19336383.

[77]American Medical Association. Guidelines for adolescent preventive services (GAPS): Recommendations mongraph. Chicago (IL): AMA; 1997.

[78]Screening for depression in adults: U.S. preventive services task force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:784-92.

PMID: 19949144.

[79]American Academy of Family Physicians. Depression: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/depression.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[80]Association of Women's Health, Obstetric and Neonatal Nurses. The role of the nurse in postpartum mood and anxiety disorders. Washington, DC: AWHONN; 2008. Available at: https://www.awhonn.org/awhonn/binary.content.do?name=Resources/Documents/pdf/5_PMAD.pdf. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[81]American College of Nurse Midwives. Depression in women. Position statement. Silver Spring (MD): ACNM; 2013. Available at: http://www.midwife.org/ACNM/files/ACNMLibraryData/UPLOADFILENAME/000000000061/Depression%20in%20Women%20May%202013.pdf. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[82]Screening for type 2 diabetes mellitus in adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force [published erratum appears in Ann Intern Med 2008;149:147]. Ann Intern Med 2008;148:846-54.

PMID: 18519930.

[83]Executive summary: Standards of medical care in diabetes--2013. Diabetes Care 2013;36(suppl):S4-10.

PMID: 23264424.

[84]American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists. Screening and monitoring of prediabetes. AACE Diabetes Resource Center. Jacksonville (FL): AACE; 2014. Available at: http://outpatient.aace.com/prediabetes/screening-and-monitoring-prediabetes. Retrieved February 9, 2015.

[85]Gestational diabetes mellitus. Practice Bulletin No. 137. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2013;122:406-16.

PMID: 23969827.

[86]Moyer VA. Behavioral counseling interventions to promote a healthful diet and physical activity for cardiovascular disease prevention in adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2012;157:367-71.

PMID: 22733153.

[87]Maillet JO, Young EA. Position of the American Dietetic Association: nutrition education for health care professionals. J Am Diet Assoc 1998;98:343-6.

PMID: 9508021.

[88]Moyer VA. Primary care interventions to prevent child maltreatment: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2013;159:289-95.

PMID: 23752681.

[89]Moyer VA. Screening for intimate partner violence and abuse of elderly and vulnerable adults: U.S. preventive services task force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2013;158:478-86.

PMID: 23338828.

[90]Intimate partner violence. Committee Opinion No. 518. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2012;119:412-7.

PMID: 22270317.

[91]Chamberlain L, Levenson R. Addressing intimate partner violence, reproductive and sexual coercion: a guide for obstetric, gynecologic and reproductive health care settings. 2nd ed. Washington, DC: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; San Francisco (CA): Futures Without Violence; 2012. Available at: http://www.futureswithoutviolence.org/userfiles/file/HealthCare/reproguidelines_low_res_FINAL.pdf. Retrieved April 1, 2015.

[92]American Academy of Family Physicians. Intimate partner violence and abuse of elderly and vulnerable adults. Clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2013. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/domestic-violence.html. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[93]Final recommendation statement: drug use, illicit: screening. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Rockville (MD): USPSTF; 2008. Available at: http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/Page/Document/RecommendationStatementFinal/drug-use-illicit-screening. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[94]Floyd RL, Jack BW, Cefalo R, Atrash H, Mahoney J, Herron A, et al. The clinical content of preconception care: alcohol, tobacco, and illicit drug exposures. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2008;199:S333-9.

PMID: 19081427.

[95]American Academy of Family Physicians. Illicit drug use: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/illicit-drug-use.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[96]National Adolescent and Young Adult Health Information Center. Summary of recommended guidelines for clinical preventive services for young adults ages 18-26. San Francisco (CA): NAHIC; 2014. Available at: http://nahic.ucsf.edu/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/FINAL-Guidelines-Summary-4.171.pdf. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[97]Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium. Screening, diagnosis and referral for substance use disorders. Michigan Quality Improvement Consortium Guideline. Southfield (MI): MQIC; 2013. Available at: http://www.mqic.org/pdf/mqic_screening_diagnosis_and_referral_for_substance_use_disorders_cpg.pdf. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[98]National Committee for Quality Assurance. Summary table of measures, product lines and changes. HEDIS 2015. Washington, DC: NCQA; 201. Available at: http://www.ncqa.org/Portals/0/HEDISQM/Hedis2015/List_of_HEDIS_2015_Measures.pdf. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[99]Hereditary breast and ovarian cancer syndrome. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 103. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2009;113:957-66.

PMID: 19305347.

[100]Ethical and policy issues in genetic testing and screening of children. Committee on Bioethics, Committee on Genetics, American College of Medical Genetics, Genomics Social Committee, Ethical Committee, and Legal Issues Committee. Pediatrics 2013;131:620-2.

PMID: 23428972.

[101]Moyer VA. Risk assessment, genetic counseling, and genetic testing for BRCA-related cancer in women: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2014;160:271-81.

PMID: 24366376.

[102]Family history as a risk assessment tool. Committee Opinion No. 478. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2011;117:747-50.

PMID: 21343792.

[103]American Academy of Family Physicians. Cardiovascular disease: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/cvd.html. Retrieved February 9, 2015.

[104]Recommendations from the EGAPP Working Group: genomic profiling to assess cardiovascular risk to improve cardiovascular health. Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP) Working Group. Genet Med 2010;12:839-43.

PMID: 21042222.

[105]Moyer VA. Screening for hearing loss in older adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2012;157:655-61.

PMID: 22893115.

[106]American Academy of Family Physicians. Hearing: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/hearing.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[107]LeFevre ML. Screening for hepatitis B virus infection in nonpregnant adolescents and adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2014;161:58-66.

PMID: 24863637.

[108]Screening for hepatitis B virus infection in pregnancy: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force reaffirmation recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2009;150:869-73, W154.

PMID: 19528565.

[109]Viral hepatitis in pregnancy. ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 86. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2007;110:941-56.

PMID: 17906043.

[110]Moyer VA. Screening for hepatitis C virus infection in adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2013;159:349-57.

PMID: 23798026.

[111] American Academy of Family Physicians. Hepatitis: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/hepatitis.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[112]Recommendations for prevention and control of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and HCV-related chronic disease. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR Recomm Rep 1998;47:1-39.

PMID: 9790221.

[113]Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Testing recommendations for Hepatitis C virus infection. Atlanta (GA): CDC; 2014. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/HCV/GuidelinesC.htm. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[114]Floyd RL, Johnson KA, Owens JR, Verbiest S, Moore CA, Boyle C. A national action plan for promoting preconception health and health care in the United States (2012-2014). J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2013;22:797-802.

PMID: 23944970.

[115]Screening for thyroid disease: recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2004;140:125-7.

PMID: 14734336.

[116]American Academy of Family Physicians. Thyroid: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/thyroid.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[117]Garber JR, Cobin RH, Gharib H, Hennessey JV, Klein I, Mechanick JI, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for hypothyroidism in adults: cosponsored by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and the American Thyroid Association. American Association Of Clinical Endocrinologists And American Thyroid Association Taskforce On Hypothyroidism In Adults [published errata appear in Thyroid 2013;23:251. Thyroid 2013;23:129]. Thyroid 2012;22:1200-35.

PMID: 22954017.

[118]Lazarus JH, Bestwick JP, Channon S, Paradice R, Maina A, Rees R, et al. Antenatal thyroid screening and childhood cognitive function [published erratum appears in N Engl J Med 2012;366:1650].

PMID: 22316443.

[119]Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Birth-18 years & "catch-up" immunization schedules: United States, 2015. Atlanta (GA): CDC; 2015. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/schedules/hcp/child-adolescent.html. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[120]Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Adult immunization schedules, United States, 2015. Atlanta (GA): CDC; 2015. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/schedules/hcp/adult.html. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[121]U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Counseling about proper use of motor vehicle occupant restraints and avoidance of alcohol use while driving: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med 2007;147:187-93.

PMID: 17679707.

[122]Gardner HG. Office-based counseling for unintentional injury prevention. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Injury, Violence, and Poison Prevention. Pediatrics 2007;119:202-6.

PMID: 17200289.

[123]Moyer VA. Screening for chronic kidney disease: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2012;157:567-70.

PMID: 22928170.

[124]Qaseem A, Hopkins RH, Jr, Sweet DE, Starkey M, Shekelle P. Screening, monitoring, and treatment of stage 1 to 3 chronic kidney disease: A clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. Clinical Guidelines Committee of the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med 2013;159:835-47.

PMID: 24145991.

[125]ASN disagrees with new guidelines, says adults should be screened for kidney disease [press release]. Nephrol News Issues [electronic] 2013. Available at: http://www.nephrologynews.com/articles/109817-asn-disagrees-with-new-guidelines-says-adults-should-be-screened-for-kidney-disease. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[126]K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. National Kidney Foundation. Am J Kidney Dis 2002;39:S1-266.

PMID: 11904577.

[127]LeFevre ML. Screening for suicide risk in adolescents, adults, and older adults in primary care: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2014;160:719-26.

PMID: 24842417.

[128]American Academy of Family Physicians. Clinical preventive service recommendation: suicide. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2014. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/suicide.html. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[129]Barton M. Screening for obesity in children and adolescents: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Pediatrics 2010;125:361-7.

PMID: 20083515.

[130]American Academy of Pediatrics. Bright futures medical screening reference table: adolescent visits. Bright futures tool and resource kit. Elk Grove Village (IL): AAP; 2010. p. 1-2. Available at: https://brightfutures.aap.org/pdfs/Other%203/D.Adol.MST.Adolescence.pdf. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[131]Ervin RB. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among adults 20 years of age and over, by sex, age, race and ethnicity, and body mass index: United States, 2003-2006. Natl Health Stat Report 2009;(13):1-7.

PMID: 19634296.

[132]Folic acid for the prevention of neural tube defects: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2009;150:626-31.

PMID: 19414842.

[133]Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Preventing neural tube birth defects: a prevention model and resource guide. Atlanta (GA): CDC; 2009. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/orders/pdfs/09_202063-a_nash_neural-tube-bd-guide-final508.pdf. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[134]Folic acid for the prevention of neural tube defects. American Academy of Pediatrics. Committee on Genetics. Pediatrics 1999;104:325-7.

PMID: 10429019.

[135]American Academy of Family Physicians. Neural tube defects: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/neural-tube-defects.html. Retrieved February 9, 2015.

[136]National Healthy Mothers, Health Babies Coalition. National healthy mothers, health babies mother's day call to action [press release]. Alexandria (VA): HMHB; 2012. Available at: http://www.hmhb.org/press_release/mothers-day-call-to-action/. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[137]March of Dimes. Birth defects. White Plains (NY): March of Dimes; 2012. Available at: http://www.marchofdimes.org/baby/neural-tube-defects.aspx. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[138]Barlow SE, Expert Committee. Expert committee recommendations regarding the prevention, assessment, and treatment of child and adolescent overweight and obesity: summary report. Pediatrics 2007;120 (suppl):S164-92.

PMID: 18055651.

[139]Davis MM, Gance-Cleveland B, Hassink S, Johnson R, Paradis G, Resnicow K. Recommendations for prevention of childhood obesity. Pediatrics 2007;120 (suppl):S229-53.

PMID: 18055653.

[140]Moyer VA. Screening for and management of obesity in adults: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2012;157:373-8.

PMID: 22733087.

[141]American Academy of Family Physicians. Obesity: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/obesity.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[142]Moyer VA. Screening for oral cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2014;160:55-60.

PMID: 24276469.

[143]American Cancer Society. Oral cavity and oropharyngeal cancer. Dallas (TX): ACS; 2014. Available at: http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/cid/documents/webcontent/003128-pdf.pdf. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[144]Rethman MP, Carpenter W, Cohen EE, Epstein J, Evans CA, Flaitz CM, et al. Evidence-based clinical recommendations regarding screening for oral squamous cell carcinomas. American Dental Association Council on Scientific Affairs Expert Panel on Screening for Oral Squamous Cell Carcinomas. J Am Dent Assoc 2010;141:509-20.

PMID: 20436098.

[145]Moyer VA. Prevention of dental caries in children from birth through age 5 years: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Pediatrics 2014;133:1102-11.

PMID: 24799546.

[146]American Academy of Family Physicians. Dental caries: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/dental-caries.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[147]Preventive oral health intervention for pediatricians. Section on Pediatric Dentistry and Oral Health. Pediatrics 2008;122:1387-94.

PMID: 19015205.

[148]Moyer VA. Screening for ovarian cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force reaffirmation recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2012;157:900-4.

PMID: 22964825.

[149]American Academy of Family Physicians. Ovarian cancer: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/ovarian-cancer.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[150]The role of the obstetrician-gynecologist in the early detection of epithelial ovarian cancer. Committee Opinion No. 477. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2011;117:742-6.

PMID: 21343791.

[151]National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Genetic/familial high-risk assessment: breast and ovarian. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology Version 2.2014. Ft. Washington (PA): NCCN; 2014. Available at: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/genetics_screening.pdf. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

Available after login.

[152]Braverman PK, Breech L, Committee on Adolescence. American Academy of Pediatrics. Clinical report--gynecologic examination for adolescents in the pediatric office setting. Pediatrics 2010;126:583-90.

PMID: 20805151.

[153]American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Guideline on periodicty of examination, preventive dental services, anticipatory guidance/counseling, and oral treatment for infants, children, and adolescents. In: 2014-15 Reference manual: definitions, oral health policies, and clinical guidelines. Chicago (IL): AAPD; 2014. p. 118-25. Available at: http://www.aapd.org/media/Policies_Guidelines/G_Periodicity.pdf. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[154]American Academy of Pediatrics, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Guidelines for perinatal care. 7th ed. Elk Grove Village (IL): Washington, DC: AAP; American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2012.

[155]Mosca L, Benjamin EJ, Berra K, Bezanson JL, Dolor RJ, Lloyd-Jones DM, et al. Effectiveness-based guidelines for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in women--2011 update: a guideline from the American Heart Association. American Heart Association [published erratum appears in J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;59:1663]. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57:1404-23.

PMID: 21388771.

[156]Bushnell C, McCullough LD, Awad IA, Chireau MV, Fedder WN, Furie KL, et al. Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in women: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. American Heart Association Stroke Council, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council for High Blood Pressure Research [published erratum appears in Stroke 2014;45:e214]. Stroke 2014;45:1545-88.

PMID: 24503673.

[157]Counseling and interventions to prevent tobacco use and tobacco-caused disease in adults and pregnant women: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force reaffirmation recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med. 2009 Apr 21;150(8):551-5.

PMID: 19380855.

[158]Carmona RH. Advisory on alcohol use in pregnancy. A 2005 message to women from the U.S. Surgeon General. Washington, DC: U.S. Surgeon General's Office; 2005. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/fasd/documents/sg-advisory.pdf. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[159]Fiore MC, Jaen CR, Baker TB, Bailey WC, Benowitz NL, Curry SJ, et al. Treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 update. Clinical Practice Guideline. Rockville (MD): U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2008. Available at: http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/clinicians-providers/guidelines-recommendations/tobacco/clinicians/update/treating_tobacco_use08.pdf. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[160]Satcher D. Addressing sexual health: looking back, looking forward. Public Health Rep 2013;128 (suppl):111-4.

PMID: 23450892.

[161]Douglas JM, Jr, Fenton KA. Understanding sexual health and its role in more effective prevention programs. Public Health Rep 2013;128 (suppl):1-4.

PMID: 23450880.

[162]Nystrom RJ, Duke JE, Victor B. Shifting the paradigm in Oregon from teen pregnancy prevention to youth sexual health. Public Health Rep 2013;128 (suppl):89-95.

PMID: 23450889.

[163]World Health Organization. Sexual health. Geneva: WHO; 2015. Available at: http://www.who.int/topics/sexual_health/en/. Retrieved March 30, 2015.

[164]Female sexual dysfunction. Practice Bulletin No. 119. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2011;117:996-1007.

PMID: 21422879.

[165]Ford JV, Barnes R, Rompalo A, Hook EW, 3rd. Sexual health training and education in the U.S. Public Health Rep 2013;128 (suppl):96-101.

PMID: 23450890.

[166]Farrell J, Belza B. Are older patients comfortable discussing sexual health with nurses? Nurs Res 2012;61:51-7.

PMID: 22166909.

[167]Moyer VA. Behavioral counseling to prevent skin cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2012;157:59-65.

PMID: 22751761.

[168]American Academy of Family Physicians. Skin Cancer: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/skin-cancer.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.

[169]Balk SJ. Ultraviolet radiation: a hazard to children and adolescents. Council on Environmental Health and Section on Dermatology. Pediatrics 2011;127:588-97.

PMID: 21357336.

[170]Screening for skin cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2009;150:188-93.

PMID: 19189908.

[171]Moyer VA. Behavioral counseling to prevent skin cancer: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2012;157:59-65.

PMID: 22751761.

[172]American Academy of Family Physicians. Tobacco: preventing and treating nicotine dependence and tobacco use. Position Paper. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2014. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/about/policies/all/nicotine-tobacco-prevention.html. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[173]American Academy of Family Physicians. Tobacco use. Clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2009. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/tobacco-use.html. Retrieved March 12, 2015.

[174]Sims TH. From the American Academy of Pediatrics: Technical report--Tobacco as a substance of abuse. Committee on Substance Abuse. Pediatrics 2009;124:e1045-53.

PMID: 19841120.

[175]Humphrey LL, Deffebach M, Pappas M, Baumann C, Artis K, Mitchell JP, et al. Screening for lung cancer with low-dose computed tomography: a systematic review to update the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendation. Ann Intern Med 2013;159:411-20.

PMID: 23897166.

[176]Moyer VA. Screening for glaucoma: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 2013;159:484-9.

PMID: 24325017.

[177]American Academy of Family Physicians. Glaucoma: clinical preventive service recommendation. Leawood (KS): AAFP; 2015. Available at: http://www.aafp.org/patient-care/clinical-recommendations/all/glaucoma.html. Retrieved March 5, 2015.