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Questions about these materials may be directed to the Obstetrics & Gynecology editorial office: obgyn@greenjournal.org.
RE: Manuscript Number ONG-21-2038

Covid-19 vaccine hesitancy in women of reproductive age in the United States

Dear Dr. Girardi:

Your manuscript has been reviewed by the Editorial Board and by special expert referees. Although it is judged not acceptable for publication in Obstetrics & Gynecology in its present form, we would be willing to give further consideration to a revised version.

WE NEED ALL REVISIONS SENT BACK IN NO LATER THAN OCTOBER 19th. In order to publish ASAP with our January issue, we need all edits completely done by 10/25 and this includes any follow up queries by the editor.

If you wish to consider revising your manuscript, you will first need to study carefully the enclosed reports submitted by the referees and editors. Each point raised requires a response, by either revising your manuscript or making a clear and convincing argument as to why no revision is needed. To facilitate our review, we prefer that the cover letter include the comments made by the reviewers and the editor followed by your response. The revised manuscript should indicate the position of all changes made. We suggest that you use the "track changes" feature in your word processing software to do so (rather than strikethrough or underline formatting).

Please be sure to address the Editor comments (see "EDITOR COMMENTS" below) in your point-by-point response.

Your paper will be maintained in active status for 5 days from the date of this letter. If we have not heard from you by Oct 19, 2021, we will assume you wish to withdraw the manuscript from further consideration.

REVIEWER COMMENTS:

Reviewer #1:

The authors provide a commentary on vaccine hesitancy in reproductive age women.

1. Much of your introductory pages on the three vaccines and the issues surrounding herd immunity could be removed and are well known to this audience (pages 1-4).
2. Much of the information on page 5 could be condensed. It is known that misinformation has been widespread about the vaccines, and a simple sentence that this also occurs with HPV vaccine could be sufficient.
3. On pages 6-7, the complex mechanism about the vaccines affecting fertility, when it isn't a sound association, could be removed.
4. You delineate reasons why theories regarding the use of the vaccine and complications in reproductive age women are inaccurate. It might be helpful to develop more of a dialogue about what to say to women to help them consider vaccination in this commentary.

Reviewer #2:

The authors present a Current Commentary on covid vaccine hesitancy. I have a few questions/comments for the authors:

- this is an excellent and thorough review of the evidence. since the data on pregnant women are observational, the authors (appropriately) write in the summary that the data "suggest" that the benefits outweigh the risks (line 276). however, the conclusion is much more forceful. these two should be more in line with each other. either the authors should state that the data "demonstrate" or "prove" that the benefits outweigh the risks and therefore vaccination should
be recommended, or they should say that the data "suggest" the benefits outweigh the risk and therefore vaccination should "likely" be recommended or something along those lines. It would be very useful to add a paragraph about the vaccination booster.

- the paper primarily reviews safety, however, vaccine hesitancy is much more complicated than that. In my state, the vaccination rates for minority women (and men) is well below the rest of the population, despite ample availability. It is rather striking and suggests something much more complex than the three lines the authors dedicate to this (77-79). The authors should either add a paragraph about this, or at least state that they are not going to try to tackle that issue in this paper.

EDITOR COMMENTS:

1. Thank you for submitting this work to Obstetrics and Gynecology. If you opt to submit a revision, the request of the editors is similar to that of the reviewers- please reduce the length of the commentary and focus very specifically on the science related to vaccine efficacy and safety in the realm of fertility and pregnancy.

2. If you agree to refocus on the science, please change the title to reflect that it is not simple a commentary about vaccine hesitancy, but is instead a commentary about the science that can be used to address vaccine hesitancy.

3. Please make sure that you have done a recent literature search to make sure the commentary is as comprehensive as possible. There are a number of recent noteworthy articles that need to be included. For example: Kharbanda et al. Spontaneous abortion following COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy. JAMA 2021; Morgan JA et al. Maternal outcomes after severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in vaccinated compared with unvaccinated pregnant patients. Obstet Gynecol 2021. I am sure there are others, but these two came to mind as recent publications.

Please have all edits made and turned in by October 19th in order for us to process ASAP and get this out quickly.

EDITORIAL OFFICE COMMENTS:

1. The Editors of Obstetrics & Gynecology have increased transparency around its peer-review process, in line with efforts to do so in international biomedical peer review publishing. If your article is accepted, we will be posting this revision letter as supplemental digital content to the published article online. Additionally, unless you choose to opt out, we will also be including your point-by-point response to the revision letter. If you opt out of including your response, only the revision letter will be posted. Please reply to this letter with one of two responses:

A. OPT-IN: Yes, please publish my point-by-point response letter.
B. OPT-OUT: No, please do not publish my point-by-point response letter.

2. When you submit your revised manuscript, please make the following edits to ensure your submission contains the required information that was previously omitted for the initial double-blind peer review:
   * Include your title page information in the main manuscript file. The title page should appear as the first page of the document. Add any previously omitted Acknowledgements (ie, meeting presentations, preprint DOIs, assistance from non-byline authors).
   * Funding information (ie, grant numbers or industry support statements) should be disclosed on the title page and in the body text. For industry-sponsored studies, the Role of the Funding Source section should be included in the body text of the manuscript.
   * Include clinical trial registration numbers, PROSPERO registration numbers, or URLs at the end of the abstract (if applicable).
   * Name the IRB or Ethics Committee institution in the Methods section (if applicable).
   * Add any information about the specific location of the study (ie, city, state, or country), if necessary for context.
3. Obstetrics & Gynecology uses an "electronic Copyright Transfer Agreement" (eCTA), which must be completed by all authors. When you uploaded your manuscript, each co-author received an email with the subject, "Please verify your authorship for a submission to Obstetrics & Gynecology." Please check with your coauthors to confirm that they received and completed this form, and that the disclosures listed in their eCTA are included on the manuscript's title page.

4. If your study is based on data obtained from the National Center for Health Statistics, please review the Data Use Agreement (DUA) for Vital Statistics Data Files that you or one of your coauthors signed. If your manuscript is accepted for publication and it is subsequently found to have violated any of the terms of the DUA, the journal will retract your article. The National Center for Health Statistics may also terminate your access to any future vital statistics data.

5. Standard obstetric and gynecology data definitions have been developed through the reVITALize initiative, which was convened by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the members of the Women's Health Registry Alliance. Obstetrics & Gynecology has adopted the use of the reVITALize definitions. Please access the obstetric data definitions at https://www.acog.org/practice-management/health-it-and-clinical-informatics/revitalize-obstetrics-data-definitions and the gynecology data definitions at https://www.acog.org/practice-management/health-it-and-clinical-informatics/revitalize-gynecology-data-definitions. If use of the reVITALize definitions is problematic, please discuss this in your point-by-point response to this letter.

6. Because of space limitations, it is important that your revised manuscript adhere to the following length restrictions by manuscript type: Current Commentary articles should not exceed 3,000 words. Stated word limits include the title page, précis, abstract, text, tables, boxes, and figure legends, but exclude references.

7. Specific rules govern the use of acknowledgments in the journal. Please note the following guidelines:

* All financial support of the study must be acknowledged.
* Any and all manuscript preparation assistance, including but not limited to topic development, data collection, analysis, writing, or editorial assistance, must be disclosed in the acknowledgments. Such acknowledgments must identify the entities that provided and paid for this assistance, whether directly or indirectly.
* All persons who contributed to the work reported in the manuscript, but not sufficiently to be authors, must be acknowledged. Written permission must be obtained from all individuals named in the acknowledgments, as readers may infer their endorsement of the data and conclusions. Please note that your response in the journal's electronic author form verifies that permission has been obtained from all named persons.
* If all or part of the paper was presented at the Annual Clinical and Scientific Meeting of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists or at any other organizational meeting, that presentation should be noted (include the exact dates and location of the meeting).
* If your manuscript was uploaded to a preprint server prior to submitting your manuscript to Obstetrics & Gynecology, add the following statement to your title page: "Before submission to Obstetrics & Gynecology, this article was posted to a preprint server at: [URL]."

8. Provide a short title of no more than 45 characters (40 characters for case reports), including spaces, for use as a running foot.

9. Provide a précis on the second page, for use in the Table of Contents. The précis is a single sentence of no more than 25 words that states the conclusion(s) of the report (ie, the bottom line). The précis should be similar to the abstract's conclusion. Do not use commercial names, abbreviations, or acronyms in the précis. Please avoid phrases like "This paper presents" or "This case presents."
10. The most common deficiency in revised manuscripts involves the abstract. Be sure there are no inconsistencies between the Abstract and the manuscript, and that the Abstract has a clear conclusion statement based on the results found in the paper. Make sure that the abstract does not contain information that does not appear in the body text. If you submit a revision, please check the abstract carefully.

In addition, the abstract length should follow journal guidelines. The word limit for Current Commentary articles is 250 words. Please provide a word count.

11. Only standard abbreviations and acronyms are allowed. A selected list is available online at http://edmgr.ovid.com/ong/accounts/abbreviations.pdf. Abbreviations and acronyms cannot be used in the title or précis. Abbreviations and acronyms must be spelled out the first time they are used in the abstract and again in the body of the manuscript.

12. The journal does not use the virgule symbol (/) in sentences with words. Please rephrase your text to avoid using "and/or," or similar constructions throughout the text. You may retain this symbol if you are using it to express data or a measurement.

13. In your Abstract, manuscript Results sections, and tables, the preferred citation should be in terms of an effect size, such as odds ratio or relative risk or the mean difference of a variable between two groups, expressed with appropriate confidence intervals. When such syntax is used, the P value has only secondary importance and often can be omitted or noted as footnotes in a Table format. Putting the results in the form of an effect size makes the result of the statistical test more clinically relevant and gives better context than citing P values alone.

If appropriate, please include number needed to treat for benefits (NNTb) or harm (NNTh). When comparing two procedures, please express the outcome of the comparison in U.S. dollar amounts.

Please standardize the presentation of your data throughout the manuscript submission. For P values, do not exceed three decimal places (for example, "P = .001"). For percentages, do not exceed one decimal place (for example, 11.1%).

14. Your manuscript contains a priority claim. We discourage claims of first reports since they are often difficult to prove. How do you know this is the first report? If this is based on a systematic search of the literature, that search should be described in the text (search engine, search terms, date range of search, and languages encompassed by the search). If it is not based on a systematic search but only on your level of awareness, it is not a claim we permit.

15. Please review examples of our current reference style at http://ong.editorialmanager.com (click on the Home button in the Menu bar and then "Reference Formatting Instructions" document under "Files and Resources). Include the digital object identifier (DOI) with any journal article references and an accessed date with website references. Unpublished data, in-press items, personal communications, letters to the editor, theses, package inserts, submissions, meeting presentations, and abstracts may be included in the text but not in the reference list.

In addition, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists' (ACOG) documents are frequently updated. These documents may be withdrawn and replaced with newer, revised versions. If you cite ACOG documents in your manuscript, be sure the references you are citing are still current and available. Check the Clinical Guidance page at https://www.acog.org/clinical (click on "Clinical Guidance" at the top). If the reference is still available on the site and isn't listed as "Withdrawn," it's still a current document.

If the reference you are citing has been updated and replaced by a newer version, please ensure that the new version supports whatever statement you are making in your manuscript and then update your reference list accordingly (exceptions could include manuscripts that address items of historical interest). If the reference you are citing has been withdrawn with no clear replacement, please contact the editorial office for assistance (obgyn@greenjournal.org). In most cases, if an ACOG document has been withdrawn, it should not be referenced in your manuscript.
16. Authors whose manuscripts have been accepted for publication have the option to pay an article processing charge and publish open access. With this choice, articles are made freely available online immediately upon publication. An information sheet is available at http://links.lww.com/LWW-ES/A48. The cost for publishing an article as open access can be found at https://wkauthorservices.editage.com/open-access/hybrid.html.

If your article is accepted, you will receive an email from the editorial office asking you to choose a publication route (traditional or open access). Please keep an eye out for that future email and be sure to respond to it promptly.

If you choose open access, you will receive an Open Access Publication Charge letter from the Journal's Publisher, Wolters Kluwer, and instructions on how to submit any open access charges. The email will be from publicationservices@copyright.com with the subject line, "Please Submit Your Open Access Article Publication Charge(s)." Please complete payment of the Open Access charges within 48 hours of receipt.

***

If you choose to revise your manuscript, please submit your revision through Editorial Manager at http://ong.editorialmanager.com. Your manuscript should be uploaded as a Microsoft Word document. Your revision's cover letter should include the following:

* A confirmation that you have read the Instructions for Authors (http://edmgr.ovid.com/ong/accounts/authors.pdf),
and
* A point-by-point response to each of the received comments in this letter. Do not omit your responses to the Editorial Office or Editors' comments.

If you submit a revision, we will assume that it has been developed in consultation with your co-authors and that each author has given approval to the final form of the revision.

Again, your paper will be maintained in active status for 21 days from the date of this letter. If we have not heard from you by Oct 19, 2021, we will assume you wish to withdraw the manuscript from further consideration.

Sincerely,

Torri D. Metz, MD
Associate Editor, Obstetrics

2020 IMPACT FACTOR: 7.661
2020 IMPACT FACTOR RANKING: 3rd out of 83 ob/gyn journals

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: https://www.editorialmanager.com/ong/login.asp?a=r). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.