

Appendix I Checklist

Examiner initials _____ Candidate ID _____

Sawbones _____ Virtual Simulator _____

Objective Checklist For Application Of Neutralization Plate Of The Ulna

Please check the item if the candidate has performed the task correctly.

Application of Neutralization Plate	No (0)	Yes (1)
1) Fracture anatomically reduced	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2) Template used to determine contour of plate	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3) Plate centered over fracture (onto bone)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4) Place 2.5mm drill guide into plate hole closest to fracture line (proximal END)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5) Place 2.5mm drill bit into drill guide (in hole) and drill first screw hole	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6) Drill did not plunge UNSAFELY for screw #1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7) Screw length measured with depth gauge	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8) Place 3.5mm drill guide into drilled hole	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9) Place 3.5 mm tap in 3.5 mm drill guide, and tap	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10) Appropriate 3.5 mm cortex screw inserted into first hole	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11) 2.5mm drill guide placed in other hole nearest fracture (distal END)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12) Second screw inserted with correct steps	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13) Drill did not plunge UNSAFELY for screw #2	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14) All the remaining screws are inserted alternating from one side to the other, utilizing proper sequence of steps and tools	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15) Drill did not plunge UNSAFELY for the remaining screws	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
16) Time to completion (min:seconds)		

Appendix II Global Rating Scale

Global Rating Scale – Sawbones and Virtual Ulna

Please circle the number corresponding to the candidate's performance in each category.				
Rating Key				
1	2	3	4	5
Inferior	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent
Below minimally accepted	Minimally acceptable	Average/acceptable	Superior level of skill	Expert (Top 10%)
Principles of fracture fixation				
1	2	3	4	5
Poor knowledge of principles		Knows important concepts in this type of fracture fixation.		Knowledge of both basic& advanced principles
Definitive fixation				
1	2	3	4	5
Inappropriate fixation methods.		Appropriate fixation methods. Stable fixation		Achieves excellent fixation.
Flow of operation				
1	2	3	4	5
Frequently stopped operating. Unsteady and hesitant of equipment.		Demonstrated ability for forward planning with steady progression of operative procedure. Familiar with equipment.		Well planned course of operation, effortless flow from one move to the next. Excellent knowledge of equipment.
Instrument handling				
1	2	3	4	5
Repeatedly makes tentative or awkward moves with instruments		Competent use of instruments although occasionally appeared stiff or awkward		Fluid moves with instruments and no awkwardness
Time & motion				
1	2	3	4	5
Many unnecessary moves		Efficient time/motion but some unnecessary moves		Economy of movement and maximum efficiency
Overall performance				
1	2	3	4	5
Inferior	Poor	Average	Good	Excellent

Appendix III Questionnaire Completed After the Sawbones Procedure

Type surgical simulator used first: (circle) Sawbones Virtual

Using 5-point Likert scale please answer following questions:
 (1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree)

Sawbones Model Environment	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1) The Sawbones model was responsive to the actions performed (overall)	1	2	3	4	5
2) The tools were not problematic to use for the Sawbones model	1	2	3	4	5
3) Visual representation of the forearm was realistic enough for the procedure	1	2	3	4	5
4) Visual representation of the tools in the Sawbones model are important in the performance of this procedure	1	2	3	4	5
5) The general performance using the Sawbones model was close in comparison to my general performance in the clinical settings	1	2	3	4	5
Using 5-point Likert scale please answer following questions: (1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree) Sawbones Model Equipment	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
6) The Sawbones model demonstrated precise movements of tools	1	2	3	4	5
7) All tools/equipment required were accessible during the Sawbones model simulation	1	2	3	4	5
8) Tactile force feedback was simulated accurately on the Sawbones model	1	2	3	4	5
9) Placement of tools was properly simulated on the Sawbones model	1	2	3	4	5
10) Drilling through bone was accurate on the Sawbones model	1	2	3	4	5
11) Plunging (exiting second cortex) of the drill was easy to feel on the Sawbones model	1	2	3	4	5
Sawbones Model Psychological	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
12) While performing this procedure on the Sawbones model, it felt like I was actually doing the procedure on a patient	1	2	3	4	5
13) I felt comfortable performing the procedure	1	2	3	4	5

15) I felt like all my senses were engaged during the procedure	1	2	3	4	5
14) The actual drilling made me feel as though I were performing a real procedure (in OR)	1	2	3	4	5
16) The visual aspects of the environment (i.e. Sawbones, tools, table) made me feel as if I were performing the real procedure (in OR)	1	2	3	4	5
17) The feel of the equipment made me feel as if I were actually doing the real procedure (in OR)	1	2	3	4	5
18) The events around me made me feel as though I were actually doing the real procedure (in OR)	1	2	3	4	5
19) My experience in the Sawbones environment (overall) seemed consistent with my real world experiences	1	2	3	4	5
Using 5-point Likert scale please answer following questions: (1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree)	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
20) The Sawbones model is an effective method for learning surgical fixation procedures	1	2	3	4	5
21) The Sawbones model is effective for the <u>introduction</u> of basic surgical skills	1	2	3	4	5
22) The Sawbones model is an effective method to practice <u>previously learned</u> techniques for my surgical training	1	2	3	4	5
23) Sawbones model based examinations would be useful for the assessment of surgical fixation of the ulna	1	2	3	4	5
24) The Sawbones model would be valuable for refresher skills	1	2	3	4	5
25) People were available to answer my questions when needed during the procedure	1	2	3	4	5
26) The Sawbones model (overall) provided a challenging surgical experience	1	2	3	4	5
27) Further development of the Sawbones model is needed prior to formal evaluation tool	1	2	3	4	5
28) Prior Sawbones course/experience is needed prior to examination using the Sawbones model	1	2	3	4	5
29) I would likely use a Sawbones model in my spare time for practicing procedures, if it were readily available	1	2	3	4	5
30) I would be more likely to use a Sawbones model in my spare time than the virtual reality model for practicing procedures, if both were readily available (answer only if second procedure completed)	1	2	3	4	5
31) Fracture fixation using the Sawbones model was a valuable experience	1	2	3	4	5
32) This Sawbones model should be included in residency training program	1	2	3	4	5
33) A surgical skills laboratory would be valuable to my surgical training	1	2	3	4	5

Short answers: (write on back if more space is needed)

1) What were the strengths and weakness of the Sawbones Surgical Simulator?

Advantages:

i.

ii.

iii.

Disadvantages:

i.

ii.

iii.

2) What were your frustrations with the Sawbones Simulator?

a.

b.

c.

3) What would you change on the Sawbones simulator?

a.

b.

c.

4) What do you see as being the benefits of using a Sawbones simulator:

a.

b.

5) Other Comments ? (please write on back if need more room)

Thank you