Copyright © By The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Navarro Ronald A., MD et al. Orthopaedic Systems Response to and Return from the COVID-19 Pandemic http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.20.00709 1 of 2

August 13, 2020

Safety in the operating room during COVID 19 for Orthopaedic Surgery

Terrence Jose Jerome Chief Hand Surgery Unit Olympia Hospital and Research Centre, Trichy, Tamilnadu, India. 620017

We read the recently published article "Orthopaedic Systems Response to and Return from the COVID-19 Pandemic: Lessons for Future Crisis Management" (1) where the authors had briefed the safety concerns of the operating room.

Jerome et al (2) had reported the safety concerns from their survey involving 100 Orthopaedics surgeons from 50 countries during the early and mid-lockdown phase of corona virus disease. Interestingly 73% of Orthopaedic surgeries were performed in their normal operating room (ORs) and 18% had COVID-19 makeshift ORs with negative pressure control and filters. Minor ORs and emergency ORs were used in 8%. More than one-third of the surgeons (40%) did surgery with full PPE kits, N95 masks, face shields, shoe covers, and protective glass, with proper donning and doffing techniques before and after procedures. Surgeons (25%) performed surgeries with normal surgical masks, operating gowns, shoes, and usual accessories during and after the procedures, partly attributing to non-availability, poor supply, and increased demand for the PPE kits and the accessories. More cautiously 5% wore both surgical and N95 masks together during the procedures. Surgeons had perspirations, heat, fogs, and occasional breathlessness wearing the PPE kits and the accessories. Surgeons (2%) preferred (filtering face piece level 1, 2, and 3) FFP3 masks over N95 masks, which are slightly better and advantageous than N95 masks. (2)

PPE kits include surgical gloves, water-resistant gowns with long sleeves, a surgical mask, and full-face protection with a face shield. This reduces intraoperative wound contaminations from blood and body fluids, which get sprayed in an area of 2 to 8 meters around the operating table.(3) There are four levels of safety in gowns: level 1(use in minimal risk environment), level 2 (low risk procedures), level 3 (moderate risk), and level 4 (high-risk procedures/infectious diseases). There are three types of face masks protecting the mouth and the nose.

1. Single-use face mask: it filters large particles of 3 ?m, prevents droplet transfer, and is used by the health care workers (4) to protect and patients to limit COVID-19 transmission. (5)

2. Respirators mask (6): it filters small particles of 0.3 ?m and protects against airborne transmission. The

Copyright © By The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery, Incorporated Navarro Ronald A., MD et al. Orthopaedic Systems Response to and Return from the COVID-19 Pandemic http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.20.00709 2 of 2

European Standard (EN 149:2001) classifies respirator masks into three types: FFP1, FFP2, and FFP3. The N95 mask is FFP2 type which has 95% filtering capacity and provides good protection. FFP3 is an N99 mask, which gives 99% filtration against airborne contamination of 0.3 ?m particles. 3. Power air-purifying respirator (7): it was used mainly during the severe acute respiratory syndrome

(SARS) outbreaks by the health care workers and persons with high risk of transmission (surgeons).

Jerome et al (2) recommendations for safety in the operating room for performing Orthopaedic surgeries 1. Respirators (FFP1, FFP2, and FFP3) protect against droplets and aerosols (percentage of filtered particles ?300?nm).

- 2. N95 masks filter 95% of ?300?nm particles.
- 3. Both have high protective potential.
- 4. WHO recommends all heath care workers should wear a respirator (FFP3/N95).
- 5. Surgical masks are reasonably safe for patients with COVID-19 and health care providers.

Though the survey and study had limited evidence, 76% of the participating Orthopaedics surgeons agreed to form a super majority and strong consensus with 95% CI (69 to 86).

References

References

1. Navarro RA, Reddy NC, Weiss JM, et al. Orthopaedic Systems Response to and Return from the COVID-19 Pandemic: Lessons for Future Crisis Management. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2020;102(14):e75. doi:10.2106/JBJS.20.00709

- 2. Jerome et al. Perspectives and Consensus among International Orthopaedic Surgeons during Initial and Mid-lockdown Phases of Coronavirus Disease. J Hand Microsurg .DOI: 10.1055/s-0040-1713964. Accessed July, 20,2020
- 3. Nogler M, Lass-Flörl C, Wimmer C, Mayr E, Bach C, Ogon M. Contamination during removal of cement in revision hip arthroplasty. A cadaver study using ultrasound and high-speed cutters. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2003; 85 (03) 436-439

4. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) F2407. (2020). Available at: https://www.astm.org/COVID-19/. Accessed June 11, 2020

5. He X, Lau EHY, Wu P. et al. Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat Med 2020; 26 (05) 672-675 6. Lee SA, Hwang DC, Li HY, Tsai CF, Chen CW, Chen JK. Particle size-selective assessment of protection of European standard FFP

respirators and surgical masks against particles-tested with human subjects. J Healthc Eng 2016; 2016: 8572493

7. Burnett RS, Berger RA, Paprosky WG, Della Valle CJ, Jacobs JJ, Rosenberg AG. Extensor mechanism allograft reconstruction after total knee arthroplasty. A comparison of two techniques. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2004; 86 (12) 2694-2699

Conflict of Interest: None Declared