

Online Appendix 11: Evidence Table, Rivermead Mobility Index

Author and Year	Primary Population and Impairment Level (if available)	Level of Evidence	Internal Consistency	Reliability (Type, results)	Standard Error; MDCs and MCIDs	Floor Effects	Ceiling Effects
<b>Rivermead Mobility Index, Acute Samples</b>							
Franchignoni et al (2003) <sup>1</sup>	Stroke	I	Chronbach's alpha=0.93	NT	NT	30% at admission to in-patient rehab; 12% at 5 weeks	NT
Hsueh et al (2003) <sup>2</sup>	Stroke	I	NT	Inter-rater ICC=0.92	NT	14 days=40.4%; 30 days=11.1%; 90 days=2.3%; 180 days=0%	14 days=0%; 30 days=3.7%; 90 days=6.8%; 180 days=4.7%
<b>Rivermead Mobility Index, Chronic Stable Samples</b>							
Chen et al (2007) <sup>3</sup>	Stroke	I	NT	Test-retest ICC=0.96	SEM=0.8; SRD=2.2	NT	NT
<b>Rivermead Mobility Index, Chronic Progressive Samples</b>							
Freeman et al (2013) <sup>4</sup>	MS (44% relapsing remitting; 22% secondary progressive; 13% primary progressive; 7% benign)	II	NT	NT	SEM=0.49	NT	NT

Author and Year	Primary Population and Impairment Level (if available)	Level of Evidence	Internal Consistency	Reliability (Type, results)	Standard Error; MDCs and MCIDs	Floor Effects	Ceiling Effects
Quinn et al (2013) <sup>5</sup>	HD from pre-manifest - late state	I	NT	Test-retest ICC=0.81-0.98	MDC - pre manifest HD=1; manifest HD=4, early stage HD=2; middle stage HD=3; late stage HD=5	NT	NT
<b>Rivermead Mobility Index, Mixed Chronic Stable and Chronic Progressive Samples</b>							
Rossier and Wade (2001) <sup>6</sup>	Various neurologic conditions; primarily BI (8); stroke (21); tumor (3); myelopathy (3); and Huntington's (3)	I	NT	Test-retest ICC=0.96	NT	NT	NT

1. Franchignoni F, Tesio L, Benevolo E, Ottonello M. Psychometric properties of the Rivermead Mobility Index in Italian stroke rehabilitation inpatients. *Clinical rehabilitation* 2003;17(3):273-82.
2. Hsueh IP, Wang CH, Sheu CF, Hsieh CL. Comparison of psychometric properties of three mobility measures for patients with stroke. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 2003;34(7):1741-5.
3. Chen HM, Hsieh CL, Sing Kai L, Liaw LJ, Chen SM, Lin JH. The test-retest reliability of 2 mobility performance tests in patients with chronic stroke. *Neurorehabilitation and neural repair* 2007;21(4):347-52.
4. Freeman J, Walters R, Ingram W, Slade A, Hobart J, Zajicek J. Evaluating change in mobility in people with multiple sclerosis: relative responsiveness of four clinical measures. *Multiple sclerosis (Houndmills, Basingstoke, England)* 2013;19(12):1632-9.
5. Quinn L, Khalil H, Dawes H, Fritz NE, Kegelmeyer D, Kloos AD et al. Reliability and minimal detectable change of physical performance measures in individuals with pre-manifest and manifest Huntington disease. *Physical therapy* 2013;93(7):942-56.
6. Rossier P, Wade DT. Validity and reliability comparison of 4 mobility measures in patients presenting with neurologic impairment. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation* 2001;82(1):9-13.