

## Supplementary Material

	Page
<b>Supplementary Methods</b>	2
<b>Supplementary Table 1.</b> Definitions used to ascertain a recent disease relapse at the index date.	5
<b>Supplementary Table 2.</b> Definitions used to ascertain disease relapse after the index date to generate the primary outcome.	6
<b>Supplementary Table 3.</b> Patient characteristics on the index date associated with the occurrence of glomerular disease relapse during the follow-up period based on the primary outcome definition.	7
<b>Supplementary Table 4.</b> The relative hazard of disease flare that is associated with COVID-19 vaccine exposure using the primary outcome and a 45-day window period after vaccine administration to define exposure status.	8
<b>Supplementary Table 5.</b> The relative hazard of disease flare that is associated with COVID-19 vaccine exposure using the secondary outcome.	9
<b>Supplementary Table 6.</b> The relative hazard of disease flare that is associated with COVID-19 vaccine exposure among patients who received at least one vaccine dose during follow-up (n=1011).	10
<b>Supplementary Table 7.</b> The relative hazard of disease flare that is associated with COVID-19 vaccine exposure among patients who received at least two vaccine doses during follow-up (n=982).	11
<b>Supplementary Table 8.</b> Comparison of results from the primary analysis (extended Cox regression model) and the self-controlled case series (SCCS).	12

## Supplementary Methods

### **Estimating the 30-day rate of disease relapse throughout follow-up**

In our Cox regression model, COVID-19 vaccine exposure was considered as a time-dependent variable where a patient was considered exposed to the vaccine for 30 days after the vaccination date. As such, an individual could be at an altered risk of glomerular disease relapse attributable to the vaccine for a maximum of 30 days after each dose of vaccine. Based on this Cox regression model, we can derive at any given day ( $t_1$ ) during the follow-up period, the relapse risk in the next 30 days in the following three scenarios, conditional on no relapse prior to time  $t_1$ :

- (i) Scenario 1. Patient unexposed to vaccine up to time  $t_1$  as well as in the next 30 days, i.e., unexposed from time  $t_1$  to  $t_1+30$
- (ii) Scenario 2. Patient received the 1<sup>st</sup> dose of vaccine at  $t_1$  and did not receive the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose within 30 days, therefore, was exposed to the 1<sup>st</sup> dose from time  $t_1$  to  $t_1+30$
- (iii) Scenario 3. Patient received the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose of vaccine at  $t_1$  and did not receive the 1<sup>st</sup> dose within 30 days prior and nor the 3<sup>rd</sup> dose within 30 days after, therefore, was exposed to the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose from time  $t_1$  to  $t_1+30$ .

At time  $t_1$ , the difference in the 30-day relapse risk was calculated comparing the risk if the patient was exposed to the 1<sup>st</sup> dose of vaccine compared to remaining unexposed, and comparing the risk if the patient was exposed to the 2<sup>nd</sup>/3<sup>rd</sup> dose of vaccine compared to remaining unexposed. The calculations are as follows:

#### ***Absolute risk difference for scenario 2 vs scenario 1***

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1) - S(t_1+30) | X_{t < t_1} = 0, X_{t \geq t_1} = 1, \beta_1}{S_0(t_1)} \right] - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1) - S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{e^{-\int_0^{t_1} h_0(s) ds} - e^{-\int_0^{t_1} h_0(s) ds - e^{\beta_1} \int_{t_1}^{t_1+30} h_0(s) ds}}{e^{-\int_0^{t_1} h_0(s) ds}} \right] - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1) - S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1) - S_0(t_1) e^{\left[ \int_0^{t_1+30} h_0(s) ds - \int_0^{t_1} h_0(s) ds \right] e^{\beta_1}}}{S_0(t_1)} \right] - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1) - S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1) - S_0(t_1) \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right] e^{\beta_1}}{S_0(t_1)} \right] - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1) - S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right] \\ &= 1 - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right]^{HR_1} - \left[ 1 - \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right]^{HR_1}, \quad \dots \text{eq.1}$$

where  $X_{t < t_1}$  is the exposure status to the 1<sup>st</sup> dose up to time  $t_1$ ,  $h_0(t)$  and  $S_0(t)$  are the glomerular disease type specific hazard and survival function, respectively, if a patient was never exposed to a COVID-19 vaccine, and  $\beta_1$  and  $HR_1$  are the coefficient and hazard ratio, respectively, associated with exposure to the 1<sup>st</sup> dose of vaccine that were estimated from the extended Cox proportional hazards regression model in Table 3 stratified on type of glomerular disease and without interaction between disease and vaccine exposure.

**Absolute risk difference for scenario 3 vs scenario 1**

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \frac{S_1(t_1) - S(t_1+30|Z_{t < t_1}=0, Z_{t \geq t_1}=1, \beta_2)}{S_1(t_1)} \right] - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1) - S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right] \\ &= \left[ \frac{S_1(t_1) - S_1(t_1) \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right]^{e^{\beta_2}}}{S_1(t_1)} \right] - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1) - S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right] \\ &= 1 - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right]^{HR_2} - \left[ 1 - \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right] \\ &= \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} - \left[ \frac{S_0(t_1+30)}{S_0(t_1)} \right]^{HR_2}, \quad \dots \text{eq.2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $Z_{t < t_1}$  is the exposure status to the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose,  $S_1(t_1) = S_0(l) \left[ \frac{S_0(l+30)}{S_0(l)} \right]^{e^{\beta_1}} \frac{S_0(t_1)}{S_0(l+30)}$  is the survival function for a patient who received the first dose at time  $l$ ,  $0 < l < t_1 - 30$ . and  $\beta_2$  and  $HR_2$  are the coefficient and hazard ratio, respectively, associated with exposure to the 2<sup>nd</sup>/3<sup>rd</sup> dose of vaccine that were also estimated from the same model as above.

The above calculations were carried out for all days ( $t_1$ ) during the follow-up period between day 43 (the first day a 2<sup>nd</sup> dose of vaccine was administered in the cohort) and day 210 (30 days after the median time to the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose of vaccine administration in the cohort from Table 1). The absolute risk difference was plotted against time using LOESS to generate a smoothed curve.

### **Self-controlled case series**

To further interrogate the potential for confounding in the primary analysis (extended Cox regression model with vaccination as a time-varying exposure), we conducted a self-controlled case series (SCCS). The SCCS is an alternative method to estimate the risk of an outcome event from a transient exposure, and has been previously used to estimate the incidence of adverse events following vaccination<sup>1</sup>. As opposed to a cohort design where comparison of the outcome event is made between individuals (exposed versus unexposed), estimation of incidence in the SCCS is made only within individuals who experienced the outcome. Patient-level characteristics that remain constant over the observation period are therefore implicitly accounted for, with the result that the SCCS effectively removes all time-invariant confounding (measured and unmeasured)<sup>2</sup>.

In the SCCS design, the analysis is conducted in the subgroup of patients who experienced a relapse. Each patient who experienced a relapse is followed from the index date until the end of the observation period (i.e. they are not censored at the time of disease relapse). Their observation time is divided into “exposed” time (a 30-day exposure window after each vaccine dose they receive) and “unexposed” time (all other times). Using a Poisson model, the rate of relapse in exposed person-time is compared to the rate of relapse in unexposed-person time to generate a rate ratio and associated 95% confidence interval. The SCCS Poisson model included a variable for calendar time intervals to account for changing background rate of relapse over time, as is typical in SCCS analyses<sup>3</sup>. The advantage of the SCCS is that by comparing risk within individuals, it effectively accounts for all measured and unmeasured time-invariant confounding. A disadvantage of the method is that it is conducted in a much smaller subgroup of patients who experienced a relapse, compared to the extended Cox regression analysis which is conducted in a much larger cohort that includes all patients and was therefore considered a priori as the primary analysis to assess a rare outcome event such as vaccine-induced relapse events.

**Supplementary Table 1:** Definitions used to ascertain a recent disease relapse at the index date.

Baseline laboratory value was the closest that preceded the index date. The window period used to define minimum or maximum values was 12 months prior to the index date. Definitions were chosen to be consistent with KDIGO guidelines on glomerular diseases and existing clinical trials<sup>4,5</sup>. The index date was December 14<sup>th</sup>, 2020. eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate. ANCA-GN: ANCA-vasculitis associated glomerular disease. MMF, mycophenolate mofetil. N/a, not applicable.

Disease type	Kidney function-based definition	Proteinuria-based definition	Drug exposure-based definition
<b>Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis</b>	n/a	(i) Baseline value >3.5g/day	(i) On prednisone >10mg/day on index date (ii) On any other immunosuppressive medication newly initiated within 6 months prior to the index date
<b>Membranous nephropathy</b>	n/a	(i) Baseline value >3.5g/day	(i) On prednisone >10mg/day on index date (ii) On any other immunosuppressive medication newly initiated within 6 months prior to the index date
<b>Minimal change disease</b>	n/a	(i) Baseline value >3.5g/day	(i) On prednisone >10mg/day on index date (ii) On any other immunosuppressive medication newly initiated within 6 months prior to the index date
<b>IgA nephropathy</b>	(i) Baseline eGFR >25% lower than maximum value, and (ii) Baseline eGFR <90ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	(i) Baseline value >50% higher than minimum value, and (ii) Baseline value >0.5g/day	(i) On prednisone at any dose on index date (ii) On cyclophosphamide within 2 months prior to index date (iii) On any other immunosuppressive medication newly initiated within 6 months prior to the index date
<b>Lupus nephritis</b>	(i) Baseline eGFR >25% lower than maximum value, and (ii) Baseline eGFR <90ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	(i) Baseline value >50% higher than minimum value, and (ii) Baseline value >0.5g/day	(i) On prednisone >10mg/day on index date, or (ii) On cyclophosphamide within 2 months prior to index date, or (iii) On MMF >2g/day on index date
<b>ANCA-GN</b>	(i) Baseline eGFR >25% lower than maximum value, and (ii) Baseline eGFR <90ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	(i) Baseline value >50% higher than minimum value, and (ii) Baseline value >0.5g/day	(i) On prednisone >10mg/day on index date, or (ii) On cyclophosphamide within 2 months prior to index date, or (iii) ≥3 doses of rituximab of any amount received within 3 months prior to the index date; or at least one dose of rituximab >500mg within 3 months prior to the index date
<b>C3 glomerulonephritis</b>	(i) Baseline eGFR >25% lower than maximum value, and (ii) Baseline eGFR <90ml/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	(i) Baseline value >50% higher than minimum value, and (ii) Baseline value >0.5g/day	(i) On prednisone at any dose on index date (ii) On cyclophosphamide within 2 months prior to index date (iii) On any other immunosuppressive medication newly initiated within 6 months prior to the index date

**Supplementary Table 2:** Definitions used to ascertain disease relapse after the index date to generate the primary outcome.

Baseline laboratory value was the closest that preceded the index date. The index date was December 14<sup>th</sup>, 2020. Acute dialysis was that used for acute kidney injury, not dialysis started for end-stage kidney disease. Definitions were chosen to be consistent with KDIGO guidelines on glomerular diseases and acute kidney injury, and existing clinical trials<sup>4-6</sup>. ANCA-GN: ANCA-vasculitis associated glomerular disease. N/a, not applicable.

<b>Disease type</b>	<b>Kidney function-based definition</b>	<b>Proteinuria-based definition</b>
<b>Focal segmental glomerulosclerosis</b>	n/a	(i) Value >50% higher than baseline value, and (ii) Value >3.5g/day
<b>Membranous nephropathy</b>	n/a	(i) Value >50% higher than baseline value, and (ii) Value >3.5g/day
<b>Minimal change disease</b>	n/a	(i) Value >50% higher than baseline value, and (ii) Value >3.5g/day
<b>IgA nephropathy</b>	(i) Creatinine >1.5x higher than baseline value, or (ii) Acute dialysis	(i) Value >50% higher than baseline value, and (ii) Value >1.0g/day
<b>Lupus nephritis</b>	(i) Creatinine >1.5x higher than baseline value, or (ii) Acute dialysis	(i) Value >50% higher than baseline value, and (ii) Value >0.5g/day
<b>ANCA-GN</b>	(i) Creatinine >1.5x higher than baseline value, or (ii) Acute dialysis	(i) Value >50% higher than baseline value, and (ii) Value >0.5g/day
<b>C3 glomerulonephritis</b>	(i) Creatinine >1.5x higher than baseline value, or (ii) Acute dialysis	(i) Value >50% higher than baseline value, and (ii) Value >1.0g/day

**Supplementary Table 3:** Patient characteristics on the index date associated with the occurrence of glomerular disease relapse during the follow-up period based on the primary outcome definition.

Data reported as count (frequency) or median (interquartile range). FSGS, focal segmental glomerulosclerosis; ANCA-GN, ANCA-vasculitis associated glomerular disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate. \*Intravenous cyclophosphamide and rituximab use includes administration within 6 months prior to index date. \*\*Based on applying the criteria from Supplementary Table 1 on December 14<sup>th</sup>, 2019 (one year prior to the index date), which use a one-year prior window period from December 14<sup>th</sup>, 2018 to December 14<sup>th</sup>, 2019 to ascertain worsening disease activity. Note that inclusion criteria for this analysis were based on the criteria in Supplementary Table 1 to exclude patients with worsening disease activity in the one-year period prior to the index date.

Characteristics	Did not experience disease relapse	Experienced disease relapse	P-value
<b>Number of patients</b>	971	134	
<b>Age (years)</b>	60.3 (46.6, 70,8)	58.2 (46.7, 66.5)	0.12
<b>Female sex</b>	502 (52%)	81 (60%)	0.06
<b>eGFR(ml/min/1.73m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	51.0 (32.0, 78.0)	50.5 (32.0, 79.0)	0.99
<b>Glomerular disease type:</b>			
<b>IgA nephropathy</b>	256 (26%)	45 (34%)	0.002
<b>Minimal change disease</b>	75 (8%)	7 (5%)	
<b>FSGS</b>	220 (23%)	19 (14%)	
<b>Membranous nephropathy</b>	164 (17%)	18 (13%)	
<b>ANCA-GN</b>	140 (14%)	14 (10%)	
<b>Lupus nephritis</b>	112 (12%)	30 (22%)	
<b>Proteinuria (g/day)</b>	0.3 (0.1, 1.0)	0.6 (0.3, 1.7)	<0.01
<b>Albumin (g/L)</b>	42.0 (40.0, 44.0)	41.0 (39.0, 43.0)	<0.01
<b>Immunosuppression use:</b>			
<b>Any (n, %)</b>	276 (28%)	52 (39%)	0.01
<b>Mycophenolate mofetil or azathioprine</b>	163 (17%)	31 (23%)	0.07
<b>Tacrolimus or cyclosporine</b>	86 (9%)	23 (17%)	<0.01
<b>Cyclophosphamide*</b>	9 (1%)	1 (1%)	0.99
<b>Prednisone</b>	95 (10%)	16 (12%)	0.44
<b>Rituximab*</b>	26 (3%)	2 (1%)	0.57
<b>Time from biopsy to index date (years)</b>	5.2 (2.5, 10.9)	5.7 (2.9, 10.9)	0.34
<b>Worsening disease activity between 1-2 years prior to index date**</b>	43 (4%)	15 (11%)	<0.01

**Supplementary Table 4:** The relative hazard of disease flare that is associated with COVID-19 vaccine exposure using the primary outcome and a 45-day window period after vaccine administration to define exposure status.

Vaccine exposure was modeled as a time-varying variable with exposure continuing until 45 days after vaccine administration. All models were stratified on glomerular disease (GN) type, and exclude 5 patients with C3 glomerulonephritis because of too few patients to allow stratification.

<b>Exposure</b>	<b>Type of GN</b>	<b>Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)</b>	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Any vaccine exposure</b>	All GN	1.11 (0.69, 1.79)	0.66
<b>Dose of vaccine</b>	All GN		
	1 <sup>st</sup> dose	0.73 (0.39, 1.35)	0.32
	2 <sup>nd</sup> or 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose	2.18 (1.09, 4.37)	0.03



**Supplementary Table 5:** The relative hazard of disease flare that is associated with COVID-19 vaccine exposure using the secondary outcome.

Vaccine exposure was modelled as a time-varying variable with exposure continuing until 30 days after vaccine administration. All models were stratified on glomerular disease (GN) type, and exclude 5 patients with C3 glomerulonephritis because of too few patients to allow stratification.

<b>Exposure</b>	<b>Type of GN</b>	<b>Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)</b>	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Any vaccine exposure</b>	All GN	1.38 (0.79, 2.44)	0.26
<b>Dose of vaccine</b>	All GN		
	1 <sup>st</sup> dose	0.85 (0.39, 1.88)	0.69
	2 <sup>nd</sup> or 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose	2.72 (1.20, 6.18)	0.02

**Supplementary Table 6:** The relative hazard of disease flare that is associated with COVID-19 vaccine exposure among patients who received at least one vaccine dose during follow-up (n=1011).

Vaccine exposure was modelled as a time-varying variable with exposure continuing until 30 days after vaccine administration. All models were stratified on glomerular disease (GN) type, and exclude 5 patients with C3 glomerulonephritis because of too few patients to allow stratification.

<b>Exposure</b>	<b>Type of GN</b>	<b>Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)</b>	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Any vaccine exposure</b>	All GN	1.05 (0.62, 1.76)	0.86
<b>Dose of vaccine</b>	All GN		
	1 <sup>st</sup> dose	0.65 (0.32, 1.32)	0.23
	2 <sup>nd</sup> or 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose	2.25 (1.04, 4.88)	0.04

**Supplementary Table 7:** The relative hazard of disease flare that is associated with COVID-19 vaccine exposure among patients who received at least two vaccine doses during follow-up (n=982).

Vaccine exposure was modelled as a time-varying variable with exposure continuing until 30 days after vaccine administration. All models were stratified on glomerular disease (GN) type, and exclude 5 patients with C3 glomerulonephritis because of too few patients to allow stratification.

<b>Exposure</b>	<b>Type of GN</b>	<b>Hazard Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)</b>	<b>P-value</b>
<b>Any vaccine exposure</b>	All GN	0.99 (0.58, 1.67)	0.95
<b>Dose of vaccine</b>	All GN		
	1 <sup>st</sup> dose	0.58 (0.28, 1.22)	0.15
	2 <sup>nd</sup> or 3 <sup>rd</sup> dose	2.18 (1.0, 4.74)	0.05

**Supplementary Table 8.** Comparison of results from the primary analysis (extended Cox regression model) and a self-controlled case series (SCCS).

	<b>Hazard ratio (95% CI) from Cox regression model</b>	<b>Rate ratio (95% CI) from SCCS</b>
Any vaccine exposure	1.08 (0.65, 1.80)	1.09 (0.66, 1.78)
Vaccine #1 vs unexposed	0.67 (0.33, 1.36)	0.73 (0.37, 1.43)
Vaccine #2/3 vs unexposed	2.23 (1.06, 4.71)	1.92 (0.94, 3.90)

## **References**

1. Smeeth L, Thomas SL, Hall AJ, Hubbard R, Farrington P, Vallance P: Risk of myocardial infarction and stroke after acute infection or vaccination. *N Engl J Med*, 351: 2611-2618, 2004 10.1056/NEJMoa041747
2. Whitaker HJ, Farrington CP, Spiessens B, Musonda P: Tutorial in biostatistics: the self-controlled case series method. *Stat Med*, 25: 1768-1797, 2006 10.1002/sim.2302
3. Petersen I, Douglas I, Whitaker H: Self controlled case series methods: an alternative to standard epidemiological study designs. *BMJ*, 354: i4515, 2016 10.1136/bmj.i4515
4. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes Glomerular Diseases Work G: KDIGO 2021 Clinical Practice Guideline for the Management of Glomerular Diseases. *Kidney Int*, 100: S1-S276, 2021 10.1016/j.kint.2021.05.021
5. Furie R, Rovin BH, Houssiau F, Malvar A, Teng YKO, Contreras G, Amoura Z, Yu X, Mok CC, Santiago MB, Saxena A, Green Y, Ji B, Kleoudis C, Burriss SW, Barnett C, Roth DA: Two-Year, Randomized, Controlled Trial of Belimumab in Lupus Nephritis. *N Engl J Med*, 383: 1117-1128, 2020 10.1056/NEJMoa2001180
6. Khwaja A: KDIGO clinical practice guidelines for acute kidney injury. *Nephron Clinical practice*, 120: c179-184, 2012 10.1159/000339789