

SUPPLEMENTAL DIGITAL CONTENT 2

Table S2: Measurement and inter-rater reliability of urban form characteristics in the 2006 neighborhood observations in the Dutch GLOBE study.

Urban form characteristics	Inter-rater reliability
<u>Accessibility ($\alpha=.57$)</u>	
<i>(Mean score goes from 0-1 with a higher score meaning better accessibility.)</i>	
Availability of a sidewalk (1=yes, 0=no)	0.97
Quality of sidewalk (1=good, 0=reasonable to bad)	0.70
<u>Safety ($\alpha=.73$)</u>	
<i>(Mean score goes from 0-1 with a higher score meaning a safer neighborhood.)</i>	
Graffiti (1= no, nothing, 0=yes, little to much)	0.70
Litter on the streets (1=no, little to nothing, 0=yes, some to much)	0.67
Houses for sale (1=yes, one or more, 0=no)	0.80
Empty houses (1=yes, one or more, 0=no)	0.70
Height of fences (1=higher than eye level, 0=lower than eye level)	0.73
Visibility of the street from surrounding houses (1=<1/2 of street is visible, 0=>1/2 street is visible)	0.73
Street lighting (1=one side of the street or less, 0=both sides of the street)	0.83
Signs of alcohol/drugs (1=yes, 0=no)	0.83
<u>Comfort ($\alpha=.72$)</u>	
<i>(Mean score goes from 0-1 with a higher score meaning a more comfortable neighborhood.)*</i>	
Traffic (1=only destination traffic, 0=through traffic or through traffic and destination traffic)	0.80
Crossovers present (1=no, 0=yes)	0.93
Traffic signs (1=no, 0=yes)	0.67
Traffic control devices (speed bumps) (1=yes, 0=no)	0.87
<u>Pleasurability ($\alpha=.86$)</u>	
<i>(Mean score goes from 0-1 with a higher score meaning a more pleasurable neighborhood.)</i>	
Maintenance of best buildings (1=good, 0=reasonable to bad)	0.67
Maintenance of worst buildings (1=good, 0=reasonable to bad)	0.67
Gardens present with all houses (1=yes, with all houses, 0=no)	0.87
Maintenance of best maintained gardens (1=good, 0=reasonable to bad)	0.80
Green diversity (1=more than 2 different types of green, 0=none or only one type of green)	0.83
Green maintenance (1=good, 0=reasonable to bad)	0.80