

## Low-Quality Reviews:

### Example #1:

<b><u>Reviewer Recommendation:</u></b> Reject
<b><u>Manuscript Ranking:</u></b> Below Top 20% (not suited for PRS)
<b><u>Confidential Comments to Editor:</u></b> None
<b><u>Comments to Author:</u></b> Well written manuscript with good conclusions. Major issue is that this has been written about before so there are really no new conclusions here.

Example #2

<b><u>Reviewer Recommendation:</u></b> Reject
<b><u>Manuscript Ranking:</u></b> Top 10% (must publish in PRS)
<b><u>Confidential Comments to Editor:</u></b> Simply measuring the orbital volumes on children with craniofacial microsomia does not merit publication. I think most surgeons have always known that the facial structures on the more affected side are all smaller. This is a basic study and will not create significant interest among the readership.
<b><u>Comments to Author:</u></b> None

Example #3

<b><u>Reviewer Recommendation:</u></b> Major Revision
<b><u>Manuscript Ranking:</u></b> Below Top 20% (not suited for PRS)
<b><u>Confidential Comments to Editor:</u></b> None
<b><u>Comments to Author:</u></b> This is a well written article. The conclusions are well supported and the limitations recognized. Based on their findings what do the authors suggest about Albumin? Should the levels be used in decision making?

Example #4:

<b><u>Reviewer Recommendation:</u></b> Minor Revision
<b><u>Manuscript Ranking:</u></b> Top 10%-20% (good; okay if materials needed for PRS)
<b><u>Confidential Comments to Editor:</u></b> None
<b><u>Comments to Author:</u></b> A nice synopsis of the benefits of prepectoral breast reconstruction. Some of the language is redundant and could be modified. In addition, not sure that all of the photographs are necessary and could be cut down.