Guidance on Conducting and Reporting Delphi Studies (CREDES) Checklist	
Rationale for Delphi Technique	
Justification. The choice of the Delphi technique as a method of systematically	Background,
collating expert consultation and building consensus needs to be well justified.	page 2
Planning and Design	, ,
Planning and process. The Delphi technique is a flexible method and can be adjusted	Methods,
to the respective research aims and purposes. Any modifications should be justified by	page 5
a rationale and be applied systematically and rigorously	
<b>Definition of consensus</b> . Unless not reasonable due to the explorative nature of the	Methods,
study, an a priori criterion for consensus should be defined. This includes a clear and	page 6
transparent guide for action on (a) how to proceed with certain items or topics in	
the next survey round, (b) the required threshold to terminate the Delphi process and	
(c) procedures to be followed when consensus is (not) reached after one or more	
iterations	
Study Conduct	
Informational input. All material provided to the expert panel at the outset of the	Methods,
project and throughout the Delphi process should be carefully reviewed and piloted in	page 5
advance in order to examine the effect on experts' judgements and to prevent bias	
<b>Prevention of bias</b> . Researchers need to take measures to avoid directly or indirectly	No conflicts
influencing the experts' judgements. If one or more members of the research team	reported
have a conflict of interest, entrusting an independent researcher with the main	
coordination of the Delphi study is advisable	
Interpretation and processing of results. Consensus does not necessarily imply the	Methods,
'correct' answer or judgement; (non)consensus and stable disagreement provide	page 6-7
informative insights and highlight differences in perspectives concerning the topic in	
question	
<b>External validation</b> . It is recommended to have the final draft of the resulting	Reviewed by
guidance reviewed and approved by an external board or authority before publication	NTRAP
and dissemination	leadership
Reporting	
Purpose and rationale. The purpose of the study should be clearly defined and	Background,
demonstrate the appropriateness of the use of the Delphi technique as a method to	page 3-4
achieve the research aim. A rationale for the choice of the Delphi technique as the	
most suitable method needs to be provided	
<b>Expert panel</b> . Criteria for the selection of experts and transparent information on	Methods
recruitment of the expert panel	page 5,
	Supp. Table
Description of the mostle de The mostle de coule of could be because in 1911 1911	1
<b>Description of the methods</b> . The methods employed need to be comprehensible; this	Methods,
includes information on preparatory steps, piloting of material and survey	page 5-7
instruments, design of the survey instrument(s), the number and design of survey	
rounds, methods of data analysis, processing and synthesis of experts' responses to	

inform the subsequent survey round and methodological decisions taken by the	
research team throughout the process	
<b>Procedure</b> . Flow chart to illustrate the stages of the Delphi process, including a	Figure 1
preparatory phase, the actual 'Delphi rounds', interim steps of data processing and	
analysis, and concluding steps	
<b>Definition and attainment of consensus.</b> It needs to be comprehensible to the reader	Methods,
how consensus was achieved throughout the process, including strategies to deal with	page 6 + top
non-consensus	of page 7
Results. Reporting of results for each round separately is highly advisable in order to	Figure 1
make the evolving of consensus over the rounds transparent. This includes figures	
showing the average group response, changes between rounds, as well as any	
modifications of the survey instrument such as deletion, addition or modification of	
survey items based on previous rounds	
<b>Discussion of limitations.</b> Reporting should include a critical reflection of potential	Discussion,
limitations and their impact of the resulting guidance	page 15
Adequacy of conclusions. The conclusions should adequately reflect the outcomes of	Discussion
the Delphi study with a view to the scope and applicability of the resulting practice	
guidance	
<b>Publication and dissemination</b> . The resulting guidance should be clearly identifiable	This
from the publication, including recommendations for transfer into practice and	publication
implementation. A dissemination plan should include endorsement of the	+
guidance by professional associations and health care authorities to facilitate	presentation
implementation	at national
	meeting