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Abstract

Background and Objectives
To determine the association between surgical lesions of distinct gray and white structures and connections with favorable postoperative seizure outcomes.

Methods
Patients with drug-resistant temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) from 3 epilepsy centers were included. We employed a voxel-based and connectome-based mapping approach to determine the association between favorable outcomes and surgery-induced temporal lesions. Analyses were conducted controlling for multiple confounders, including total surgical resection/ablation volume, hippocampal volumes, side of surgery, and site where the patient was treated.

Results
The cohort included 113 patients with TLE (54 women; 86 right-handed; mean age at seizure onset 16.5 years [SD 11.9]; 54.9% left) who were 61.1% free of disabling seizures (Engel Class I) at follow-up. Postoperative seizure freedom in TLE was associated with (1) surgical lesions that targeted the hippocampus as well as the amygdala–piriform cortex complex and entorhinal cortices; (2) disconnection of temporal, frontal, and limbic regions through loss of white matter tracts within the uncinate fasciculus, anterior commissure, and fornix; and (3) functional disconnection of the frontal (superior and middle frontal gyri, orbitofrontal region) and temporal (superior and middle pole) lobes.

Discussion
Better postoperative seizure freedom is associated with surgical lesions of specific structures and connections throughout the temporal lobes. These findings shed light on the key components of epileptogenic networks in TLE and constitute a promising source of new evidence for future improvements in surgical interventions.

Classification of Evidence
This study provides Class II evidence that for patients with TLE, postoperative seizure freedom is associated with surgical lesions of specific temporal lobe structures and connections.
Surgery for temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE) can be curative. Nonetheless, 30%–40% of patients with TLE continue to experience seizures with loss of awareness after surgery. Seizures with loss of awareness are directly associated with a lower quality of life. The reasons for suboptimal surgical outcomes are complex and many studies have investigated presurgical clinical factors that may be associated with seizure freedom. Consistently, good outcomes are most frequent among patients with unilateral signs of underlying hippocampal sclerosis on MRI. Other factors associated with favorable outcomes include shorter duration of epilepsy, younger age at surgery, history of febrile seizures, unilateral, and infrequent interictal epileptiform discharges. Nonetheless, even when combined, these predictive factors only partially explain postoperative outcomes, and many patients who are expected to achieve optimal results continue to have seizures after surgery.

Beyond clinical variables, the location and extent of the anatomical resection have been deemed to be a crucial determinant of outcome, with hippocampal removal being necessary for seizure freedom. However, recent studies have challenged this notion and other medial temporal structures may be similarly important for outcomes, such as the entorhinal and piriform cortices, which can independently generate or sustain seizures in TLE. Furthermore, many recent whole-brain neuroimaging studies have indicated that the structural and functional connectivity of the medial temporal lobe can also support seizures in TLE.

Voxel-based lesion-mapping neuroimaging methods have been extensively employed and refined to assess the quantitative relationship between brain injury and clinical variables outside of epilepsy. When a lesion to a specific brain region is statistically associated with a clinical variable, it constitutes a rigorous demonstration that the region is critical for that function. In this context, a lesion-based approach is optimally suited to elucidate the relationship between finer anatomical landmarks in TLE resections and outcomes. Moreover, our group has expanded conventional lesion-based approaches to include structural connectivity lesion mapping, which can greatly elucidate the anatomy of medial temporal network disconnections and their importance towards seizure freedom.

Based on the evidence supporting the role of the medial temporal cortical structures in seizure onset in TLE, we hypothesized that resection of the entorhinal and amygdala–piriform regions would be associated with favorable postoperative outcomes. We further hypothesized that medial temporal structural and functional disconnections are also independently associated with better surgical outcomes. In this study, we employed a multimodal quantitative lesion–clinical approach to test these hypotheses leveraging a large dataset from multiple epilepsy centers and a combination of voxel-based outcome–resection mapping combined with structural and functional connectivity outcome–lesion mapping.

**Methods**

**Standard Protocol Approval, Registrations, and Patient Consents**

Data gathering followed institutional review board (IRB) approval at each site. The majority of patients had been enrolled prospectively through informed consent for the use of anonymized neuroimaging and clinical data. The IRB at the Medical University of South Carolina approved the use of retrospective analysis of anonymized multicentric data.

**Patients**

We included data from 113 patients with TLE from 3 different epilepsy centers: Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC; n = 10), Emory University (Emory; n = 59), and Bonn University (Bonn; n = 44). Patients were included at each site following local IRB protocols. Patients were diagnosed with unilateral TLE following the criteria proposed by the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE). Only patients who had normal MRI or findings suggestive of underlying medial temporal sclerosis were included in the study; that is, we did not include patients who had other lesions (e.g., tumors, cavernoma). All patients were refractory to pharmacologic treatment, prompting presurgical evaluation at their site, and were referred to epilepsy surgery based on expert consensus. The choice between the type of procedure (open resection or laser ablation) depended on a combination of factors including the availability of laser ablation at the time and place of the surgery referral, suitng the size of the procedure to the putative or demonstrated early ictal network, and patient preference. A subset of patients from this study was studied as part of prior multisite studies from our group.

**Surgical Outcome**

Seizure control outcomes were quantified at least 1 year after the procedure by an epileptologist based on the Engel outcome scale where Engel 1 indicates freedom from disabling seizures (i.e., without loss of consciousness), Engel 2 indicates significant improvement in seizures with persistence of rare disabling seizures, Engel 3 indicates worthwhile improvement, and Engel 4 indicates no changes. If patients underwent more than 1 procedure (e.g., resection following ablation),
only data related to the first surgical procedure were included in this study. For the majority of patients, imaging included for the purposes of this analysis was obtained within a 2-month window of the clinical assessment of seizure outcomes.

**Neuroimaging Acquisition and Processing**

T1-weighted isotropic volumetric images were acquired at each site with the following measures:

- **MUSC**: Siemens Skyra 3T scanner, isotropic voxel size 1 mm, 12-channel head coil, repetition time (TR) 2,050–2,250 ms, echo time (TE) 2.5–3 ms, field of view 256–320 mm, flip angle 10°
- **Emory**: Siemens Skyra 3T scanner, isotropic voxel size 0.8 mm, 12-channel head coil, TR 2,300 ms, TE 2.75 ms, inversion time (TI) 1,100 ms, flip angle 8°
- **Bonn**: Siemens Magneton Trio 3T scanner, 8-channel head coil, isotropic voxel size of 1 mm, TR 1,300 ms, TE 3.97 ms, TI 650 ms, flip angle 10°

Individual voxels were rearranged by flipping sides so that voxels represented ipsilateral vs contralateral (to the side of surgery) areas rather than a left vs right dichotomy. For example, for a patient with left-sided TLE, voxels on the left hemisphere became ipsilateral, whereas voxels in the right hemisphere were considered contralateral. We arbitrarily chose to represent ipsilateral findings on the left side of the brain.

We employed 3 different and complementary approaches to define the relationship between surgical cavity and clinical outcomes: voxel-based lesion–outcome mapping, which is based on conventional voxel-based lesion–symptom mapping from classical cognitive neuroscience studies, as well as structural connectivity lesion–outcome mapping and functional connectivity lesion–outcome mapping. All analyses were performed based on postoperative high-resolution volumetric T1-weighted imaging acquired at least 12 months after surgery to ensure complete or near-complete resolution of edema and gliosis. Structural and functional connectivity analyses were calculated from the T1-defined surgical cavity using high-volume normative databases of brain connectivity, from which projected connectivity loss from the resection was calculated. These steps are summarized in the following.

**Definition of the Surgical Cavity and Spatial Normalization**

Manual tracing of the surgical cavity on all postoperative T1-weighted scans was performed by a blinded rater trained on neuroanatomy with emphasis on medial temporal lobe anatomy using the open source software MRICroGL (nitrc.org/projects/mricrogl). All surgical delineations were reviewed by the first author (E.G.). We employed an enantomorphic approach described by Nachev et al. implemented into the software SPM by the NiiStat toolbox developed by Chris Rorden (nitrc.org/projects/niistat/) to normalize brain images and surgical masks into standard space (see details below).

**Assessment of Structural Connectivity**

We measured structural connectivity as the predicted structural network damage from the surgical cavity on a normative structural white matter connectogram derived from the diffusion MRI Human Connectome Project template HCP842 with 1 mm resolution, a map of diffusion tensor imaging pathways in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) 152 space consistent across a large number of healthy adult individuals. For each patient with TLE, we assessed which connectogram fibers would have been affected by the surgical cavity by evaluating the overlap between voxels in the surgical cavity in stereotaxic space and each fiber in the connectogram. The result was a map of lesioned fibers (a “disconnectogram”) per individual, from which a tract density image was created, where each voxel in MNI 152 space represented a continuous integer denoting the number of fibers affected by the surgical resection at that voxel.

**Assessment of Functional Connectivity**

Similarly, we measured functional connectivity based on the predicted functional network affected by the surgical cavity using a large normative meta-analytical functional MRI database. Specifically, using the surgical cavity in MNI space as the region of interest (ROI), we used the Neurosynth meta-analytical data and code to calculate the ROI’s coactivation. Neurosynth is a seed-based approach that generates a whole brain map of areas with reported coactivation with a given ROI across a large database including 14,371 functional MRI studies at the time of this study. We employed an false discovery rate–corrected threshold of $p < 0.01$, resulting in a voxel-wise whole brain map per patient where each voxel indicated the potential loss of connectivity from the surgical cavity represented as a Z score float variable. This step was performed using the Neurosynth coactivation function and in-house developed Python and MATLAB scripts for image coregistration.

Steps A, B, and C are shown for one representative patient in eFigure 1, links.lww.com/WNL/B651.

**Presurgical Hippocampal Atrophy as a Covariate Measure**

Presurgical hippocampal atrophy is an important confounder in TLE. For example, patients with less prominent degree of preoperative hippocampal atrophy would require more hippocampal tissue resected. As such, an association between higher hippocampal volume resection and outcomes would not be truly related to the resection per se, but merely to the presence or absence of hippocampal atrophy. To account for this important confounder, we used voxel-based morphometry (VBM) to measure presurgical hippocampal volumes/concentration, which were used as control variables in the statistical analyses described above. VBM was computed using the software package SPM with iterative normalization and segmentation using the modulated normalization option. The resulting probabilistic gray matter map was segmented into ROIs based on the automatic anatomical labeling (AAL).
The cohort’s demographic and clinical profile is summarized in Table 1. Of the 113 patients included, 61 (54%) were female and 98 (86%) were right-handed. Mean age at seizure onset was 16.5 years (SD 11.9). Side of surgery was left in 54.9% of cases. A total of 62 (54.9%) patients underwent resection and 51 (45.1%) underwent stereotactic laser ablation at an average age of 38.8 years (SD 12.9). At least 12 months postoperatively, 69 (61.1%) patients were free of disabling seizures (Engel Class 1). Of those who experienced postoperative seizures, 19
(16.8%) were Engel Class 2, 20 (17.7%) were Engel Class 3, and 5 (4.4%) were Engel Class 4. There were no significant differences across sites except for the proportion of each surgical approach ($\chi^2 = 69.5$, $df = 2$, $p < 0.001$), with Emory performing mostly laser ablations (81.3% of their cohort) and Bonn performing exclusively resections. Of note, neither the site ($\chi^2 = 6.5$, $df = 6$, $p = 0.37$) nor the type of surgery was significantly associated with differences in outcomes ($\chi^2 = 1.85$, $df = 3$, $p = 0.60$).

**Neuroimaging Results**

The overlay of all surgical cavities in stereotaxic space is shown in Figure 1. Lesions were flipped to be represented as ipsilateral to the area of TLE onset, represented on the figure over the left side according to radiologic convention. eFigure 2 (links.lww.com/WNL/B651) demonstrates the association between preoperative gray matter volume and area of resection, demonstrating that there are larger resections associated with more prominent presurgical atrophy particularly in the hippocampus but less so in the surrounding tissue.

**Voxel-Based Outcome–Resection Mapping**

Voxel-based outcome–resection mapping revealed 2 clusters associated with better outcomes (Figure 2 and Table 2). More specifically, resection of voxels in the hippocampus and the amygdala–piriform cortex complex (cluster 1) as well as in the parahippocampal gyrus (entorhinal cortex) extending into the rhinal sulcus (cluster 2) were associated with better outcomes, controlling for the total volume of resection/ablation, side of surgery, treatment center, and presurgical hippocampal volumes.

### Table 1 Demographic and Clinical Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All (n = 113)</th>
<th>Emory (n = 59)</th>
<th>Bonn (n = 44)</th>
<th>MUSC (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at onset, y</strong></td>
<td>16.7 (11.9)</td>
<td>15.5 (11.5)</td>
<td>15.9 (11.6)</td>
<td>25.0 (13.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>M:F</strong></td>
<td>52:61</td>
<td>24:35</td>
<td>21:23</td>
<td>7:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% Resection</strong></td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>% Seizure-free</strong></td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: MUSC = Medical University of South Carolina; TLE = temporal lobe epilepsy. Values represent mean (SD), n, or %.
Structural Connectivity Outcome–Lesion Mapping
Structural connectivity outcome–lesion mapping demonstrated a single cluster where structural disconnections were associated with better outcomes (Figure 3 and Table 2). Disconnection of fibers in the uncinate fasciculus, anterior commissure, and fornix (cluster 1) were associated with better outcomes. This association was also observed after controlling for the total volume of resection/ablation, side of surgery, treatment center, and presurgical hippocampal volumes.

Functional Connectivity Outcome–Lesion Mapping
Functional connectivity outcome–lesion mapping revealed 4 clusters where functional disconnection was associated with better outcomes (Figure 4 and Table 2). Functional disconnection of the

Table 2 Anatomic Locations and Peak Stereotaxic Coordinates Related to Each Lesion-Symptom Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Size (voxels)</th>
<th>Peak X, Y, Z</th>
<th>Peak structure</th>
<th>Structures involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voxel-based outcome resection mapping (atlas: AAL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−21.9, −3.1, −23.8</td>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>Hippocampus, amygdala–piriform complex, parahippocampal gyrus (entorhinal cortex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>−33, −14.2, −31.9</td>
<td>Fusiform (^a)</td>
<td>Fusiform gyrus (entorhinal-perirhinal region) (^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural connectivity outcome lesion mapping (atlas: Natbrain)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>−33.0, 16.1, −31.2</td>
<td>Uncinate fasciculus</td>
<td>Uncinate fasciculus, anterior commissure, fornix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional connectivity outcome lesion mapping (atlas: AAL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>20.1, 59.6, 27.8</td>
<td>Middle frontal gyrus (contralateral)</td>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus (contralateral), middle frontal gyrus (contralateral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−2.0, 24.2, −27.5</td>
<td>Gyrus rectus (ipsilateral)</td>
<td>Gyrus rectus (ipsilateral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>−24.1, 16.1, −34.2</td>
<td>Middle aspect of the temporal pole (ipsilateral)</td>
<td>Middle aspect of the temporal pole (ipsilateral), superior aspect of the temporal pole (ipsilateral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>−24.1, 64.0, −7.6</td>
<td>Middle orbitofrontal cortex (ipsilateral)</td>
<td>Middle orbitofrontal cortex (ipsilateral), middle orbitofrontal cortex (ipsilateral)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) The region of interest “fusiform gyrus” from the AAL encompasses the entorhinal and perirhinal regions.\(^{37}\)
contralateral superior frontal gyrus and contralateral middle frontal gyrus (cluster 1), bilateral gyri rectus (cluster 2), ipsilateral middle and superior gyri of the temporal pole (cluster 3), and the ipsilateral middle orbitofrontal cortex (cluster 4) were all associated with better outcomes, controlling for the total volume of resection/ablation, side of surgery, treatment center, and presurgical hippocampal volumes.

Concordant Anatomical Pattern Between Connectivity Modalities
Structural and functional connectivity outcome–lesion mapping are based on different brain tissues, that is, white and gray matter, respectively. For this reason, it is expected that there is incomplete overlap between their statistical maps. It is nonetheless possible to evaluate the concordance between the results by qualitatively inspecting the projection of disconnected fibers towards disconnected cortex. This is demonstrated in Figure 5, where a clear pattern reveals the correspondence between disconnected anterior temporal fibers (corresponding to cluster 1 from structural connectivity outcome lesion mapping) with temporal pole and inferior frontal functional disconnection (clusters 2 and 3 from functional connectivity outcome lesion mapping). Functional disconnection of the orbitofrontal cortex was not associated with significantly disconnected structural fibers; nonetheless, there is a clear and unique projected structural pathway towards the orbitofrontal cortex that might be significant in larger cohorts with increased power.

Discussion
This study employed a multimodal lesion mapping approach to evaluate the relationship between surgical outcomes in TLE and resection/ablation location or its ensuing disconnection from the brain network. Controlling for presurgical medial TLE, we observed that better outcomes were associated with resections involving the hippocampal formation and the entorhinal–rhinal and amygdala–piriform cortices, as well as temporal pole and temporal–frontal structural and functional disconnections. The results reconcile previous seemingly inconsistent findings from the literature and can provide insight into factors related to surgical outcomes. They also underscore the value and caveats of voxel-based approaches for this type of investigation. These are discussed in further detail below.

Many studies have underscored the importance of complete hippocampal resection for seizure freedom. Nonetheless, there are anecdotal reports of optimal outcomes with incomplete resection, and a recent elegant study challenged the importance of hippocampal resection in nonlesional cases by demonstrating overall favorable outcomes in patients with hippocampal-sparing surgery. Our analysis shows that there is indeed a tendency to perform more extensive resections/ablations when the hippocampal volume is more atrophied preoperatively (as seen in eFigure 1, links.lww.com/WNL/B651). Hence, we sought to understand the anatomy that supports seizure outcomes beyond hippocampal resection. This stems from the observation of patients who continue to have seizures despite surgical lesioning of the hippocampus as well as intracranial EEG studies demonstrating that the entorhinal and perirhinal cortices are likely involved in seizure onset in a proportion of patients. Our results indicate that resection of the anterior hippocampus–amygdala–piriform region or the entorhinal–perirhinal regions is associated with better outcomes, thus reconciling these observations. We did not specifically test whether the resection of the rhinal or amygdala–piriform cortices are the crucial determinant for patients with hippocampal-sparing surgery, which may be a focus of a future study. Nonetheless, our findings suggest that resection of these regions is associated with better outcomes. Further studies are needed to determine whether resection/ablation of these regions can yield surgical freedom independently of each other or whether their combined removal is associated with better outcomes. It should be noted that we did not observe a relationship between hippocampal body resection and better outcomes, but this was likely an artifact related to the use of hippocampal volumes as a control covariate; that is, patients with less atrophied hippocampus would have large hippocampal body resections. Our findings also corroborate converging evidence from prior independent studies showing favorable outcomes seen with surgical lesioning of the entorhinal and piriform cortices independent of lesion volume, controlling for potential confounders such as total surgical resection volume, hippocampal volumes, side of surgery, and site performing the surgery.
Gray matter disconnection is an important factor in various conditions in neurology such as stroke, dementia, and traumatic brain injury. Yet disconnection in epilepsy remains underappreciated as a potential factor associated with clinical phenotypes, including surgical outcomes. Essentially, cortical disconnection stipulates that seemingly intact gray matter has impaired function despite structural preservation directly as a result of reduced white matter connections. For example, we have recently demonstrated that cortical disconnection of the left cingulum, bilateral amygdala, and left thalamus was associated with worse memory performance even after controlling for medial temporal volume loss, confirming the functional consequences of disconnection beyond the degree of gray matter loss. For example, we have recently demonstrated that cortical disconnection of the left cingulum, bilateral amygdala, and left thalamus was associated with worse memory performance even after controlling for medial temporal volume loss, confirming the functional consequences of disconnection beyond the degree of gray matter loss. Our present findings demonstrate that cortical disconnection of the left cingulum, bilateral amygdala, and left thalamus was associated with worse memory performance even after controlling for medial temporal volume loss, confirming the functional consequences of disconnection beyond the degree of gray matter loss.

Our results also indicate that functional disconnection of regions in the frontal (superior and middle frontal gyri, orbitofrontal region) and temporal (superior and middle pole) lobes are likely crucial for postoperative seizure freedom. Concordant anatomical patterns between connectivity modalities suggest these functionally disconnected regions could be related to the structural disconnections identified above.

Figure 4 Summary Results From Functional Connectivity Outcome–Lesion Mapping

The results are corrected for multiple comparisons using a cluster-based threshold of $p < 0.05$. The ipsilateral and contralateral sides are identified on the first axial slice for orientation. For each modality, significant cluster details are in Table 1. Color bars represent $p$ values at each voxel.
Voxel lesion–symptom mapping was introduced by Bates et al.\textsuperscript{32} to statistically assess which brain regions are not just associated with but are essential for a given function or behavior. Few in vivo methods confirm the essential regions, because other imaging modalities, for example, fMRI, cannot dissociate between areas that are involved or are required for a function. Since then, lesion mapping has been widely used to determine the crucial anatomy of higher cognitive functions\textsuperscript{e13-e15} and has been refined to take into account caveats related to spatial normalization of brains with lesions\textsuperscript{e16} with implementation of statistical approaches to avoid statistical errors.\textsuperscript{e17} Lesion variability is one of the most desirable characteristics for datasets in lesion–symptom mapping studies\textsuperscript{e18} as interindividual differences in resection sites and outcomes provide statistical power to define voxel-based differences. The combination of temporal lobe open resections with laser ablation from multiple sites was purposefully chosen for this study for this reason. Lesion–symptom mapping approaches allow for a systematic and objective statistical approach to confirm anecdotal observations from lesion case series.

Cluster correction of voxel-based lesion analyses is a well-established method to control for multiple comparisons\textsuperscript{e19-e21}; however, massive univariate analyses carry the risk of type I errors and these should be taken in context. Second, the occurrence of parahippocampal and hippocampal lesions in traditional approaches (i.e., nonhippocampal sparing) limits the ability to dissociate the differential role of each structure in outcomes, a well-established phenomenon in lesion–symptom mapping studies.\textsuperscript{e18} Third, the regions of interest in the AAL atlas employed may be too large for a fine-grained characterization of subtle anatomical variability. For example, the AAL “amygdala” ROI actually extends to the piriform cortex—a reason why we have chosen to refer to this as the amygdala–piriform complex. Similarly, the AAL “fusiform” ROI has more prominent involvement of the entorhinal and perirhinal regions. Thus, it will be critical for future studies following this approach to apply atlases with a focus on mesial temporal subfields.\textsuperscript{37} Fourth, we employed indirect measures of disconnection instead of de facto disconnections obtained from individual diffusion and functional MRI. The advantage of the indirect approach lies in the larger number of individuals from a connectome template, yielding a realistic approximation of white matter anatomy and functional synchronicity,\textsuperscript{e22} but it does not take into account individual-specific changes related to chronic white matter disease.\textsuperscript{23} Along the same lines, it is important to recognize that left- and right-sided TLE may have subtle differences in the pattern of aberrant structures and pathways; in addition, their surgical lesions may differ (e.g., right-sided lesions tend to be more extensive). Larger cohorts

Figure 5 Overlay Between Statistical $p$ Value Maps From Structural and Functional Connectivity Outcome–Lesion Mapping ($p < 0.5$)

The crosshairs indicate the locations with significant structural or functional disconnection associated with outcomes. Note the correspondence between fiber projections (blue) and cortical disconnections (red).
may allow enough power to explore independent lesion–symptom mapping for each side independently. Finally, seizures can relapse after more than 1 year post-surgery. We employed the 1-year cutoff routinely used in outcome analysis of epilepsy surgery, but this study did not assess longer-term outcomes, which should be of interest for future studies in this field.

To conclude, we found that postoperative seizure freedom in TLE is associated with (1) surgical lesions that target the hippocampus as well as the amygdala–piriform cortex complex and entorhinal cortex; (2) disconnection of temporal, frontal, and limbic regions through loss of white matter tracts within the uncinate fasciculus, anterior commissure, and fornix; and (3) functional disconnection of the frontal (superior and middle frontal gyrus, orbitofrontal region) and temporal (superior and middle pole) lobes may be associated with better postoperative seizure freedom. These findings shed light on the key components of epileptogenic networks in TLE and constitute a promising source of new evidence for future improvements in surgical interventions. Seizure freedom is an important outcome of epilepsy surgery. However, destructive or resective procedures need to be balanced with minimally invasive and highly targeted approaches to diminish morbidity, including visual field defects as well as language and memory impairments. Future studies that focus on the trade-off between these detrimental consequences of surgery and seizure outcomes will be critical for personalized medicine in epilepsy.

**Study Funding**

This study was supported by grants from the National Institute of Neurologic Disorders and Stroke (1R01NS110347-01A).

**Disclosure**

The authors report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures.

**Publication History**

Received by Neurology March 27, 2021. Accepted in final form October 21, 2021.

## Appendix Authors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ezequiel Gleichgerrcht, MD, PhD</td>
<td>Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston</td>
<td>Designed and conceptualized study; analyzed the data; drafted the manuscript for intellectual content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel L. Drane, PhD</td>
<td>Emory University, Atlanta, GA</td>
<td>Major role in the acquisition of data; drafted the manuscript for intellectual content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon Sean Keller, PhD</td>
<td>University of Liverpool, UK</td>
<td>Major role in the acquisition of data; drafted the manuscript for intellectual content</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## References

Association Between Anatomical Location of Surgically Induced Lesions and Postoperative Seizure Outcome in Temporal Lobe Epilepsy


Neurology 2022;98:e141-e151 Published Online before print October 29, 2021
DOI 10.1212/WNL.0000000000013033

This information is current as of October 29, 2021

Updated Information & Services
including high resolution figures, can be found at:
http://n.neurology.org/content/98/2/e141.full

References
This article cites 49 articles, 8 of which you can access for free at:
http://n.neurology.org/content/98/2/e141.full#ref-list-1

Subspecialty Collections
This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):
Class II
http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/class_ii
Epilepsy surgery
http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/epilepsy_surgery_
MRI
http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/mri
Volumetric MRI use in epilepsy
http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/volumetric_mri_use_in_epilepsy

Permissions & Licensing
Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions

Reprints
Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise