#### **APPENDICES**

### eAppendix 1. AAN Guideline Subcommittee Mission

The mission of the Guidelines Subcommittee is to develop, disseminate, and implement evidence-based systematic reviews and clinical practice guidelines related to the causation, diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of neurologic disorders.

The Guidelines Subcommittee is committed to using the most rigorous methods available within its budget, in collaboration with other available AAN resources, to most efficiently accomplish this mission.

### eAppendix 2. AAN Guideline Subcommittee Members 2021-2023

Alexander Rae-Grant, MD (Chair), John J. Halperin, MD (Vice-Chair), Matthew Bradford Bevers, MD, Lori L. Billinghurst, MD, Kelsey Cacic, MD, James Dorman, MD, Wendy S. Edlund, MD, Brittany Jade Farro, MSPAS, PA-C, Gary S. Gronseth, MD, FAAN, Le Hua, MD, Koto Ishida, MD, Mark Douglas Johnson, MD, Charles Kassardjian, MD, Mark Robert Keezer, MD, PhD, K.H. Vincent Lau, MD, Mia T. Minen, MD, Alison M. Pack, MD, Sonja Potrebic, MD, PhD, James J. Reese, Jr., MD, MPH, Sean C. Rose, Vishwanath Sagi, MD, Navdeep Sangha, MD, Nicolaos Scarmeas, MD, Niranjan N. Singh, MD, Sarah Tanveer, Benjamin D. Tolchin, MD, Shawniqua T. Williams Roberson, MD, Shuhan Zhu, MD

eAppendix 3. Questions for Each Recommendation to Determine the Cogency of the Rationale Supporting the Recommendation and the Strength of the Recommendation

## Round 1 and 2 Scheme

Assuming all premises in the rationale are true, does the recommendation logically
follow from the premises?
Yes
No
Abstain
Do you agree that all axiomatic premises (PRIN*) supporting the recommendation are
true? (Where applicable)
Yes
No
Abstain
Do the inferred premises (INFER) logically follow from the other premises? (Where
applicable)
Yes
No
Abstain

What is your judgment as to the balance between health-related benefits and health-related harms (risks/burdens) attained by compliance with the recommendation? Consider both the number of people who will be affected as well as the magnitude of the benefits and harms. Ignore cost and resource use in your assessment.

Benefits greatly outweigh harms

Benefits moderately outweigh harms

Benefits slightly outweigh harms

Benefits and harms are balanced or, harms outweigh benefits

How important are the outcomes that will be affected by the recommendation? If multiple outcomes are affected, rate the outcome with the highest importance.

Critically important

Very important

Mildly important

Not important or importance unknown

How much variation in patient preferences relative to complying with the recommendations do you expect (e.g., based on personal values, would many patients prefer not to comply with the recommendation)?

Minimal variation in preferences Modest variation in preferences Moderate variation in preferences Large variation in preferences Are the proposed interventions (including referrals, counseling discussions, etc, not just treatment interventions) discussed in the recommendation universally available? Universally available Usually available Sometimes available Not available What is your judgment of the incremental cost (or resource use) to the patient relative to the net benefits of complying with the recommendation? Cost is very small relative to the net benefits Cost is small relative to the net benefits Cost is high relative to the net benefits Cost is very high relative to the net benefits

# eAppendix 4. Revised Recommendation Voting Questions and Instructions

Round 3 through 6 Scheme
Asked once of all respondents:
The outcomes affected by these recommendations (the accurate determination of BD/DNC) are critically important.
Yes
No
Abstain
Among patients/families who accept the concept of BD/DNC, a large majority would prefer to increase the accuracy of the BD/DNC determination.
Yes
No
Abstain

Asked for all BD/DNC Determination questions, all others use prior scheme:

Assuming all premises in the rationale are true, does the recommendation logically					
follow from the premises?					
Yes					
No					
Abstain					
Do you agree that all axiomatic premises (PRIN*) supporting the recommendation are					
true? (Where applicable)					
Yes					
No					
Abstain					
Do the inferred premises (INFER) logically follow from the other premises? (Where					
applicable)					
Yes					
No					
Abstain					

determination of BD/DNC with acceptable risk.			
Agree			
Somewhat agree			
Neutral			
Disagree			
Abstain			
In the ICU setting, the action described in this recommendation is feasible.			
Agree			
Somewhat agree			
Neutral			
Disagree			
Abstain			
The incremental cost (or resource use) relative to the increased accuracy in the			
determination of BD/DNC provided by following the action described in this			
recommendation is acceptable.			
Agree			

The action described in the recommendation will increase the likelihood of an accurate

Somewhat agree
Neutral
Disagree
Abstain

# eAppendix 5. Brain Death/Death by Neurologic Criteria Checklist

Last	Name Prist name DOB			IVIIVI			
PRI	EREQUISITES FOR CLINICAL EXAMINATION						
1.	Ascertainment that the patient has sustained a catastrophic, permanent brain injury caused by an identified		☐ Yes		lo		
	mechanism that is known to lead to brain death/death by neurologic criteria (BD/DNC) (7a and 13a)			y:			
2.	Neuroimaging consistent with mechanism and severity of brain injury (in patients with primary posterior fossa	ı	□ Yes		lo		
	injury, neuroimaging should demonstrate catastrophic supratentorial injury) (7c and 40)						
3.	3. Observation for permanency			$\square$ N	lo		
	a) ≥48 hours after acute brain injury (particularly hypoxic ischemic brain injury) for patients ≤2-years-old (8)				Observation period (hours):		
	b) ≥24 hours after hypoxic ischemic brain injury for patients ≥2-years-old (9b)						
	c) A sufficient amount of time after brain injury to ensure there is no potential for recovery of brain function as						
	determined by the evaluator based on the pathophysiology of the brain injury (9a)						
4.	Core body temperature ≥ 36°C (for ≥24 hours for patients whose core body temperature has been ≤35.5°C [10]	a	☐ Yes		lo Value:		
	and b])						
5.	Systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 100 mm Hg and mean arterial pressure (MAP) ≥ 75 mm Hg for adults/SBP ar		☐ Yes		No Value:		
	MAP $\geq$ 5 <sup>th</sup> percentile for age in children (for patients on venoarterial ECMO: MAP $\geq$ 75 mm Hg for adults/MA	ΛP≥					
	5 <sup>th</sup> percentile for age in children) (11b and 11c)						
6.	Exclusion of pharmacologic paralysis (if administered or suspected) through use of train-of-four stimulator or		☐ Yes	⊔ No	☐ Not indicated		
7	demonstration of deep tendon reflexes (12a)	(:£	□ V		T_		
7.	Drug levels for medications that may suppress central nervous system function are therapeutic/subtherapeutic		☐ Yes		10		
	available), pentobarbital level is <5 mcg/mL (if the patient received phenobarbital) and at least five half-lives all other such drugs have passed (longer if there is renal/hepatic dysfunction or if the patient is obese or was	OI					
	hypothermic); (12a)						
8.	Alcohol blood level ≤ 80 mg/dL (if clinically indicated) (12a)		☐ Yes	□ No	☐ Not indicated		
9.	Toxicology screen (urine and blood) is negative (if clinically indicated) (12a)		□ Yes		□ Not indicated		
10.	Exclusion of severe metabolic, acid-base, and endocrine derangements; (12a)		□ Yes				
11.	A reasonable attempt has been made to inform the patient's family of the plan to perform a BD/DNC examinated by the performance by the performance by the	ion	☐ Yes ☐ No				
111	(35a)		_ 100				
Prer	requisite Summary (check one):						
	All prerequisites were met						
	Unable to adequately correct metabolic derangements, but all other prerequisites were met, so will complete the	ne neuro	logic ex an	ninations	and apnea test(s)		
	and if they are consistent with BD/DNC, will perform ancillary testing (12b)						
	One or more prerequisites were not met, so the evaluation was not completed						
CLI	NICAL EXAM (must be completed to fullest extent possible)	Ye	s	No	Not tested		
12.	Coma with unresponsiveness to visual, auditory, and tactile stimulation (15)		l l				
13.	Absent motor responses, other than spinally mediated reflexes, of the head/face, neck, and extremities after		I				
	application of noxious stimuli to the head/face, trunk, and limbs (16a and 16b)						
14.	Absent pupillary responses to bright light bilaterally (17)		I				
15.	Absent oculocephalic reflex (unless there is concern for cervical spine or skull base integrity) (18a)		l				
16.	Absent oculovestibular reflexes bilaterally (18b)		l				
17.	Absent corneal reflexes bilaterally (19)						
18.	Absent gag reflex (20)						
19.	Absent cough reflex (20)						
20.	Absence of sucking and rooting reflexes (patients <6-months only) (21)	<b>√</b>	1				
	ical examination results (check one):						
	All elements of the $\square$ First $\square$ Second clinical exam were completed and findings were consistent with I	BD/DNC	or all ele	ments of	the clinical exam		

A portion of the clinical exam other than the oculocephalic reflex could not be assessed safely or it was unclear whether observed limb movements were							
spinally mediated (note that even if a person does not have all limbs, painful stimulation can still be provided to the torso as close to the termination of the							
limb as possible, so this does not necessitate ancillary testing); however, the remainder of the test was performed to the	limb as possible, so this does not necessitate ancillary testing); however, the remainder of the test was performed to the fullest extent possible and responses						
were consistent with BD/DNC. (Ancillary testing is required.) (14a) Reason(s) for incomplete testing (check all that applications)	were consistent with BD/DNC. (Ancillary testing is required.) (14a) Reason(s) for incomplete testing (check all that apply):						
☐ Anophthalmia; ☐ Corneal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal trauma or transplantation or transp	☐ Anophthalmia; ☐ Corneal trauma or transplantation; ☐ Fracture of the base of the skull or petrous temporal bone; ☐ High cervical cord injury						
☐ Ophthalmic surgery that influences pupillary reactivity; ☐ Severe facial trauma; ☐ Severe pre-existing neuromuscular disorder							
☐ Severe orbital or scleral edema or chemosis; ☐ Limb movements that may be spinally mediated; ☐ Other (specify):							
One or more elements of the clinical exam were inconsistent with BD/DNC, so the patient does NOT meet criteria for BD/DNC (14b)							
Attending name, signature, date, time.							
APNEA TEST	Yes	No					
APNEA TESTING PREREQUISITES							
21. No hypoxemia, hypotension, hypovolemia (23)							
22. pH is normal (7.35-7.45) and PaCO <sub>2</sub> is normal (35-45 mm Hg) or if the patient is known to have chronic hypercarbia,							
Paco <sub>2</sub> is at baseline if baseline is known or at estimated baseline if baseline is not known (arterial blood gases [ABGs]	Value:						
should be taken from both the distal arterial line and the ECMO postcircuit oxygenator for patients on venoarterial	varue.						
ECMO) (24a-b and 26)							
23. $PaO_2 > 200 \text{ mm Hg } (25a)$							
	Value:						
APNEA TESTING PERFORMED							
24. Apnea duration (minutes)							
25. Post-PaCO <sub>2</sub> value (mm Hg)							
26. Post-pH value							
Final apnea testing results (check one):							
☐ Apnea confirmed – no respirations and targets reached (pH < $7.30$ and final PaCO <sub>2</sub> $\geq$ 60 mm Hg (8.0 kPa) and $\geq$ 20 mm H	g (2.7 kPa) above pre	e-apnea test					
baseline (≥ 20 mm Hg (2.7 kPa) above chronic baseline for patients known to have chronic hypercarbia whose baseline is	known) (Ancillary te	esting is required					
if patient is known/suspected to have chronic hypercarbia but baseline PaCO2 is not known.) (25f)							
Apnea testing is inconclusive (could not be completed and no respirations and targets not reached) due to:							
□ SBP < 100 mm Hg or MAP < 75 mm Hg or SBP/MAP < 5 <sup>th</sup> percentile for age in children							
☐ Progressive oxygen desaturation < 85%							
☐ Cardiac arrhythmia with hemodynamic instability (25h)							
☐ Apnea testing is negative – one or more spontaneous respirations were seen; findings are not consistent with BD/DNC (25g)							
Attending name, signature, date, time.							

ANCII	ANCILLARY TESTING				
27. R	eason(s) for ancillary testing (27b):	☐ Inability to correct metabolic derangements			
		☐ Inability to complete all clinical tests (e.g., fracture of the cervical spine, skull base, orbits,			
		face)			
		☐ Inability to complete apnea test due to risk of cardiopulmonary decompensation or inability			
		to interpret PaCO2 level in a patient with chronic hypoxemia for whom chronic baseline is			
		unknown			
		☐ Uncertainty regarding interpretation of spinally vs. cerebrally mediated motor responses			
		☐ Required by hospital/state guidelines			
28. T	ype of ancillary testing performed (29-31)	☐ Conventional 4-vessel catheter angiography (digital subtraction angiography)			
		☐ SPECT radionuclide perfusion scintigraphy or planar radionucleotide angiography			
		☐ Transcranial doppler ultrasonography (adults only)			
Final a	Final ancillary testing results (check one):				
□ An	cillary testing results are consistent with BD/DNC				
□ An	cillary testing results are not consistent with BD/DNO				
□ An	cillary testing results are inconclusive				
Date/T	ime of testing	Date of interpretation of results			
Attend	ing name, signature, date, time.				
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS				
	BRAIN DEATH/DEATH BY NEUROLOGIC O	CRITERIA DETERMINED CLINICALLY			
	• Prerequisites for clinical testing have been fulfi	illed, (Section II), and			
	Results of clinical exams, including apnea testi	ng, have been fully completed and are consistent with BD/DNC (Section III, IV)			
	Date (YYYY-MM-DD) and time of death (HR:MM A	AM/PM):			
	(Time of death is the time during the final apnea te	st [if more than one performed] that the ABG results are reported and demonstrate that the PaCO2 and			
	pH levels are consistent with BD/DNC criteria [36	a].)			
	BRAIN DEATH/DEATH BY NEUROLOGIC O	CRITERIA DETERMINED WITH CLINICAL ASSESSMENT AND ANCILLARY TESTING			
	Results of clinical exams, including apnea testing, where tested are consistent with BD/DNC (Section III, IV), and				
	Ancillary testing has been performed and results are consistent with BD/DNC (Section V)				
	Date (YYYY-MM-DD) and time of death (HR:MM AM/PM):				
		e.g., nuclear medicine physician or angiographer) documents in the medical record that the ancillary			
	test results are consistent with BD/DNC [36b].)				
	PATIENT DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA FOR BRAIN DEATH/DEATH BY NEUROLOGIC CRITERIA				
	Provide reasons:				

Attending name, signature, date, time.