

1 **Supplemental Data: A**

2 **CLINICAL SITES**

3 A total of 111 patients had been enrolled by treating physicians at 38 different sites in 10
4 countries. Most enrolling sites were in Europe (N=27), followed by the US (N=6) and
5 Australia/New Zealand (N=5).

6

7 **DATA**

8 **Data collection**

9 Data was collected from available medical records, by means of Case Record Forms (CRF):

- 10 - Demographic data (age, gender)
- 11 - Date of onset of symptoms on each eye
- 12 - Genetic confirmation (mutation)
- 13 - Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA) (see below)
- 14 ○ At start of treatment (Baseline)
- 15 ○ At follow-up visits
- 16 - Date of each visit
- 17 - Dose
- 18 - Adverse events

19

20 **Statistical Methods**

LHON treatment with Idebenone in clinical practice

21 There was no planned sample size as all requests for access to idebenone for eligible patients
22 which were bona fide and unsolicited had been granted. All treating physicians were
23 approached and invited to contribute data from their treated patients.

24 Efficacy criteria was based in the Responder Analyses (CRR, CRS and CRB) (see below)
25 with Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA) as efficacy variable. BCVA was assessed using
26 ETDRS (Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study) charts with logMAR (logarithm of the
27 minimal angle of resolution) values as units. In cases where VA was assessed using Snellen
28 fraction/units, logMAR values were calculated using standard conversion methods.

29 If VA was > 1.68 logMAR or off-chart (regardless of being assessed as counting fingers,
30 hand motion, light perception or no-light perception) it was imputed to 1.8 logMAR in order
31 to standardize visual acuity data from different physicians. The value 1.8 logMAR was based
32 on the CRR definition: it is considered a CRR any off-chart VA that recovers to at least 1.6
33 logMAR (being 1.6 logMAR the equivalent to reading one full line in the ETDRS chart).

34 Continuous data was summarised using the mean, standard deviation, median, 1st and 3rd
35 quartiles, minimum and maximum. Categorical data was presented in contingency tables with
36 frequencies and percentages.

37 CRR was summarised by means of descriptive statistics and Kaplan-Meier estimates,
38 presented with the 95% confidence interval (using the Greenwood formula) and reverse
39 Kaplan-Meier curves. Unless stated otherwise data was analysed using the observed cases or
40 missing data were imputed with the last available observation carried forward (LOCF).

41

42 **RATIONALE FOR EFFICACY OUTCOMES (CLINICALLY RELEVANT**
43 **RECOVERY, CRR, AND CLINICALLY RELEVANT STABILIZATION, CRS)**

44 In line with the approach previously used in RHODOS, efficacy can be evaluated both in
45 terms of improvement as well as maintenance of VA. In a rapid and severely progressive
46 disease for which the most frequent outcome is a disabling degree of blindness, recovery of
47 visual function is a desirable outcome. Likewise, prevention of VA deterioration is also a
48 therapeutic objective, especially if achieved when the degree of visual dysfunction is still
49 small and patient's autonomy is preserved.

50 On the other hand, in order to avoid VA variability interfering with efficacy analysis and
51 potentially driving clinically unmeaningful statistical significance, a responder approach was
52 chosen for determining efficacy both in terms of recovery (CRR) and prevention of
53 deterioration or stabilization (CRS).

54 **CRR**

55 In order to ensure that improvement in VA is clinically meaningful and “recovery” within
56 “off-chart” VA categories is not over-emphasized, a Responder Analysis was employed for
57 the assessment of efficacy of idebenone, in which only patients presenting with a clinically
58 relevant degree of VA recovery would be defined as “responders”. This approach reduces the
59 potential for over-emphasizing confounding influences of clinically less important VA
60 changes (e.g. changes between “off-chart” VA categories) and of day-to-day variability in
61 VA. This clinically relevant recovery (CRR) had been reported in the literature⁸ and was also
62 used in the post-hoc analysis of the RHODOS trial, ~~as well and~~ as an efficacy outcome
63 parameter in the study LEROS (External Natural History Controlled, Open-Label
64 Intervention Study to Assess the Efficacy and Safety of Long-Term Treatment With

65 Raxone® in Leber's Hereditary Optic Neuropathy (LHON) (ongoing at the date of
66 submission)). It was also proposed by an international consensus on the management of
67 LHON⁴. The criteria for the classification of a responder are described below (see
68 Definitions, CRR).

69 CRS

70 CRS evaluates the capacity of therapy to prevent deterioration into a more severe category of
71 visual impairment, without considering the numerical magnitude of VA change intra-
72 category. In patients starting therapy when VA is still near normal or moderately impaired
73 and, in the context of a rapidly progressing pathology, prevention of further deterioration to
74 “legal blindness” is important for patient’s autonomy. This approach was also employed in
75 RHODOS.

76

77 **PATIENT DISPOSITION/ANALYSIS POPULATIONS**

78 Data from a total of 111 patients was collected. The following populations were defined for
79 the analysis of safety and efficacy data:

- 80 ○ Safety Population (SP): used for analysis of safety information. It includes all patients
81 enrolled in the EAP who received at least one dose of idebenone (111 patients).
- 82 ○ Efficacy Population (EP): is defined as the sub-population of the SP who carried one
83 of the 3 major LHON-causative mtDNA mutations, who had time since onset at
84 Baseline of less than 12 months in the most recently affected eye and for whom post-
85 Baseline VA efficacy data was available (87 patients).

86

87 **DEFINITIONS**

88 - **Nadir:** Nadir is defined as the value when VA reaches its worst point (highest
89 logMAR value). Time of nadir is the first time that nadir is reached, which can take
90 place at baseline, or during the course of the treatment.

91

92 - **CRR (Clinically Relevant Recovery):** It is defined as an improvement:
93 ○ from “off-chart” (the equivalent of CF, HM, LP or NLP) VA to at least 1.6
94 logMAR value or
95 ○ of at least 0.2 logMAR value within “on-chart”

96 (cf. Supplemental Figure 1)

97

98

99 As response criteria, **all eyes/patients** qualified as responders (that is, that have
100 CRR) are only considered so when the criteria is evident at the **last available**
101 **observation (last visit)**. If a patient/eye shows a CRR at a visit during follow-
102 up, but not at the last visit, **it is not considered as a responder**, and no CRR is
103 accounted for.

104 CRR can be observed when last observation is compared to the *baseline* value
105 (*CRR from Baseline*) or when last observation is compared to the *nadir* (*CRR*
106 *from Nadir*).

107 When evaluating CRR in **patients** it is considered that:

LHON treatment with Idebenone in clinical practice

- 108 ▪ a patient has a CRR if at least one eye has a CRR;
- 109 ▪ time of CRR is the time when the 1st CRR occurred;
- 110 ▪ improvement of VA at CRR is the improvement observed at the time of 1st
- 111 CRR;
- 112 ▪ improvement of VA at last visit is the best improvement observed in both
- 113 eyes.

114

- 115 - **CRS (Clinically Relevant Stabilisation of residual VA):** is defined as a patient
- 116 having a logMAR of <1.0 at Baseline (below the threshold of severe vision loss,
- 117 legal blindness in the United States) in at least one eye and maintaining a logMAR
- 118 of <1.0 in that eye at their last follow-up assessment. A patient has a CRS if at least
- 119 one eye has a CRS.

120

- 121 - **Magnitude of Improvement:** “Magnitude of improvement from baseline” is
- 122 defined as the difference between VA logMAR at the visit and VA logMAR at
- 123 baseline. “Magnitude of improvement from nadir” is defined as the difference
- 124 between VA logMAR at the visit and VA logMAR at nadir.

- 125 ○ A decrease in logMAR of 0.02 (-0.02) is equivalent to an improvement in
- 126 reading ability of one letter (+1 letter) and an increase in logMAR of 0.02
- 127 (+0.02) is equivalent to the deterioration in reading ability of one letter (-1
- 128 letter).

129

- 130 - **Visual Impairment Categories:** Both at eye and subject level, BCVA values (in
131 logMAR) were classified in three categories (This classification allows to observe
132 changes related to quality of life relevant to the patient's function.)
133 (cf. Supplemental Figure 2)
- 134 - **Off-chart:** not reading any letter on the ETDRS chart at 1m (i.e. >1.68
135 logMAR)
- 136 - **From 1.0 to 1.68 logMAR:** not reading any letter on the ETDRS chart at 4m
137 (i.e. >1.00 logMAR) but being able to read at least one letter on the ETDRS
138 chart at 1m (i.e. 1.68 logMAR)
- 139 - **<1.0 logMAR:** Being able to read at one or more letters on the ETDRS chart at
140 4m.
141